

AGENDA # 6

City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION	PRESENTED: April 22, 2009
TITLE: 1900 East Washington Avenue – PUD(GDP-SIP), Mixed-Use Development in Urban Design District No. 4. 12 th Ald. Dist. (13195)	REFERRED: REREFERRED: REPORTED BACK:
AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary	ADOPTED: POF:
DATED: April 22, 2009	ID NUMBER:

Members present were: Richard Wagner, Marsha Rummel, Todd Barnett, Dawn Weber, Richard Slayton, John Harrington, Ron Luskin and Jay Ferm.

SUMMARY:

At its meeting of April 22, 2009, the Urban Design Commission **REFERRED** consideration of a PUD(GDP-SIP) located at 1900 East Washington Avenue. Registered neither in support nor opposition was John Koch, representing the Emerson East Neighborhood Association. Prior to the presentation on the project staff apprised the Commission of several outstanding issues that required address that would impede its consideration of the project. Staff noted that the project had received an informational presentation at its meeting of April 1, 2009; where the submission of the applicant packet for this meeting lacked a required application, combined with the absence of a PUD(GDP-SIP) letter of intent and zoning text. Staff noted that any level of formal approval of the project required that the Commission be provided copies in advance of consideration of this item. The absence of these materials does not provide for the Commission's ability to provide for review of the proposed zoning on these combined properties and replacement for the existing conventional zoning that exists. The other issue is the recent discovery that the application to rezone the property required to be submitted for Plan Commission and Common Council review did not provide adequate evidence of an ownership interest in two of the combined properties. The applicant was notified by Planning Unit staff to resolve this issue prior to any formal consideration of the project. As of the consideration of the hearing at this point in time, these issues were not yet resolved. Based on these two factors, staff recommends prompt referral. Further consideration of these items without verification of ownership interest cannot be provided and is in violation of applicable ordinance provisions. Ald. Satya Rhodes-Conway noted her concurrence with the need to refer. Staff informed the Commission that public hearing item would also require renotification prior to any further consideration of the project.

ACTION:

On a motion by Wagner, seconded by Rummel, the Urban Design Commission **REFERRED** consideration of this item. The motion was passed on a vote of (8-0).