

STAFF REVIEW OF SUBMITTED TRUMAN OLSON NOIs

Name of NOI Submitter _____ AAEA

Name of NOI Reviewer _____

NOI Instruction	Completed (yes/no)?	Pros (+)	Cons (-)	Questions/Comments
1. Have the requested components of the Organizational Profile been provided?	No and Yes	Did not respond to all elements for educational proposals	History of program is incomplete: describes organization only through 1999.	
2a. Have the requested components of the Proposed Program been provided?	No		Statement does not address need for a building for the academy, or use of proposed property in terms of square feet. Lacks operating budget if Academy were to move into a building on the site. Proposed program is not clear, and omits some of each required component.	
2b. Has the submitter demonstrated a need for the Proposed Program?	No	Includes citation of some data showing performance or achievement disparity.	Proposal cites documentation of community need, but not specific on programming proposal. AAEA attendance statistics for 2005-6 show a low attendance rate of 57%.	
2c. Has the submitter described how the Proposed Program will be coordinated with other homeless assistance programs in the vicinity of the Truman Olson facility?	NA			
3. Has the submitter documented the buildings or property needed to carry out the proposed program?	No	Proposed use of building in "as is" condition.	Proposes use of entire site, which may limit some redevelopment opportunities.	
4a. Have the requested components of Organizational Capacity been provided?	Yes	Includes org chart and biographies	Does not includes property management capacity nor description of how program might change and organizational capacity to meet that change. Did not include audited financial statement.	

NOI Instruction	Completed (yes/no)?	Pros (+)	Cons (-)	Questions/Comments
4b. Has the submitter demonstrated the ability to carry out similar programs in the past?	Yes/ no		Program trend has been to utilize other organizations' programmatic resources, such as UW or Edgewood or Bootstrap. Little demonstration of need to expand program beyond current capacity.	
4c. Has the submitter demonstrated the ability to carry out the Proposed Program?	No		Must be "certified" as a school through the State DPI to be eligible through US Department of Education	
5a. Have the requested components of the Financial Plan been provided?	No, but not needed at this time.		Financial statements indicate that half of the small budget comes from Madison Public Schools.	
5b. Has the submitter provided a reasonable assumption regarding the cost of the Proposed Program?	No			
5c. Is the proposed program financially viable?				
5d. Does the submitter appear to have the financial wherewithal to carry out the program?				