From: Kim Jorgensen
To: Kapusta-Pofahl, Karen
Subject: Re: Report edits for use at 12/21 meeting
Date: Monday, December 21, 2020 7:23:07 AM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Good Morning

I am sending some suggestions but realize this is short notice.....

on page 3 the second sentence is confusing to me, so I suggest:

Support for BWCs is not universal. The broad public support they enjoy masks challenges to and unintended consequences of BWCs. This makes the decision whether to implement a BWC program, and if so, how, more complicated than they first appear. Our Committee worked hard to understand all of these potential benefits and potential harms. The picture that emerged is a complicated one.

Page 6 and 7 - can we make our recommendations stand out - bold or something

page 7 and beyond - The Pro's don't really seem like pro's when reading the analysis - sometimes the discussion in the Pro's ends up discounting it -

could each topic be divided into pro's and con's?

Increased transparency in law enforcement:

Pro's
Con's

On Thu, Dec 17, 2020 at 8:13 PM Kapusta-Pofahl, Karen <KKapusta-Pofahl@cityofmadison.com> wrote:

> Attached and I will make sure the most recent versions are attached on Legistar:
>
> -Findley draft updated 12/17/20
>
> -Gelembiuk edits pt. 1 including edits made at 12/17 meeting
>
> -Schieve edits
>
> Karen Kapusta-Pofahl, PhD, MPA
>
> (pronouns: she/her/hers; they/their/theirs)
>
> Legislative Analyst

Common Council Office

City of Madison
210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd., Room 417
Madison WI 53703

608-261-9159