

# CITY OF MADISON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

#### VARIANCE APPLICATION

\$300 Filing Fee

Ensure all information is typed or legibly printed using blue or black ink.

Address of Subject Property:1315 Rutledge St. Ma	adison, WI 53703
Name of Owner:	
Daytime Phone:	Evening Phone:
	Associated Housewrights
Daytime Phone: 608-204-7665  Email Address: meri.tepper@housewrights.com	Evening Phone:
Description of Requested Variance:	•
attached single car garage plus storage and the other is an enclo	ances to construct additions to the principal structure. One addition is an sed unheated sunroom. The garage will replace an existing garden shed g receptacles, a lawn mower and snow blower. The enclosed porch is an
9	(See reverse side for more instructions)
	OFFICE USE ONLY
Amount Paid: 300 -  Receipt: 107278-0032  Filing Date: 1-20-21  Received By: 70 W +  Parcel Number: 0710-073-0208  Zoning District: TR-C4  Alder District: 6- Rummel	Hearing Date: 2-18-21 Published Date: 2-11-21 Appeal Number: LNDVAR-2021-00002 GQ: Third Lake Hilliam District - S Code Section(s): 28.045 (2)

## **Standards for Variance**

# The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not grant a variance unless it finds that the applicant has shown the following standards are met:

S	nown the following standards are met:
1.	There are conditions unique to the property of the applicant that do not apply generally to other properties in the district.
	-The existing house built in 1892 is positioned very far back on the lot. The back of the house is presently 8'-4" into the rear yard setback.
	-This property is in the Third Lake Ridge Historic District. The Landmarks Commission has definitively said that the will not allow any additions in front fo the existing house. The Historic Preservation standards for exterior additions in this district focus on the appearance of the — additions from the street facade. Both additions have received Landmarks certificate of appropriateness pending the receipt of the zoning variances.
2.	The variance is not contrary to the spirit, purpose, and intent of the regulations in the zoning district and is not contrary to the public interest.
	As the additions are positioned far back from the street there is noting contrary to public interest. In fact, the position of the garage as an attached structure that maintains the 5'-0" side yard setback actually improves light and air to the neighbors to the side and rear. The designs have already received certificate of appropriateness demonstrating that the the proposed work will not frustrate the public interest expressed in the Historic Preservation ordinance for protecting, promoting, conserving, and using the City's historic resources.
3.	unreasonably prevent use of the property for a permitted purpose or would render compliance with the ordinance unnecessarily hurdensome
	- There is no position on the property that would be able to strictly comply with all the Zoning, Conditional Use, or Landmarks ordinances. Had the garage been positioned as a detached structure it could have been placed 3 ft off the rear and side property lines, however, there is not enough rear yard for the garage to be positioned fully within the back yard, so a variance would have been needed to have the garage project past the back wall of the house. As an attached structure it is in the rear yard setback. The garage cannot move forward because of the architecture of the existing house, including exterior walls and windows. There is also an existing AC unit that the front wall of the garage avoids.  -For the enclosed porch addition, we applying the spirit of Ordinance (Cr. by ORD-13-00143, 9-11-13) that states single-story unheated open or enclosed porches attached to single-family or two-family dwellings may encroach into the rear yard setback if the porch extends no more than fourteen (14) feet from the exterior wall of the building and is no more than sixteen (16) feet wide. The position of the porch addition is the expansion of an existing space. It extends off the back wall of part of the house and holds up an existing sleeping porch above.
4.	The alleged difficulty or hardship is created by the terms of the ordinance rather than by a person who has a present interest in the property.
	Because Landmarks will not allow any building in-front of the existing house there are limited areas in-which to improve the use of the property for generally accepted structures likes garages and porches.
	There is no zoning compliant location for a garage for this property that would not require a conditional use approval or zoning variance
	The porch expansion is taking an existing inadequately sized space and expanding it to the current size permitted by Zoning Ordinance (Cr. by ORD-13-00143, 9-11-13)
5.	The proposed variance shall not create substantial detriment to adjacent property.
	The position selected for the garage was studied as the best location to keep the structure away from the side and rear lot lines, thereby preserving light and air to the neighbors. The design conforms to the required side yard setback of 5'-0" which creates a nice space between the structure and the side lot line. The attached solar study shows that the bulk of the garage addition will not shade the neighbor's garden plot any more than the existing house and fence do. As previously discussed, any other location would position the garage closer to the property lines and adjacent property. Rainwater has been carefully considered. There is a gutter and downspout plan that shows that all rainwater will be discharged towards the street and onto the new driveway.
6	The proposed variance shall be compatible with the sharester of the immediate neighborhood
ο.	The proposed variance shall be compatible with the character of the immediate neighborhood.
	The the designs have already met the Historic Preservation standards for the certificate of appropriates for gross volume, height, proportion and rhythm of solids to voids in street facades.

### **Application Requirements**

Please provide the following information: Incomplete applications could result in referral or denial by the Zoning Board of Appeals. (Maximum size for all drawings is  $11'' \times 17''$ .)

K	<b>Pre-application meeting with staff</b> : Prior to submittal of this application, the applicant has met to discuss the proposed project and submittal material with the Zoning Administrator.	
×	Site plan, drawn to scale. A registered survey is recommended, but not required. Show the following:  Lot lines  Existing and proposed structures, with dimensions and setback distances to all property lines  Approximate location of structures on neighboring properties adjacent to variance  Major landscape elements, fencing, retaining walls or other relevant site features  Scale (1" = 20' or 1' = 30' preferred)  North arrow	
<b>A</b>	<b>Elevations</b> from all relevant directions showing existing and proposed views, with notation showing the existing structure and proposed addition(s).	
XI	<b>Interior floor plan of existing and proposed structure,</b> when relevant to the variance request and required by Zoning Staff (Most additions and expansions will require floor plans).	
	<b>Front yard variance requests only.</b> Show the building location (front setback) of adjacent properties on each side of the subject property to determine front setback average.	
	<b>Lakefront setback variance requests only.</b> Provide a survey prepared by a registered land surveyor showing existing setbacks of buildings on adjacent lots, per MGO 28.138.	
	Variance requests specifically involving slope, grade, or trees. Approximate location and amount of slope, direction of drainage, location, species and size of trees.	
X	Digital copies of all plans and drawings should be emailed to: zoning@cityofmadison.com	
×	<b>CHECK HERE.</b> I understand that in order to process my variance application, City Staff will need access to my property so that they can take photographs and conduct a pre-hearing inspection of the property. I therefore give City Staff my permission to enter my property for the purpose of conducting a pre-hearing inspection and taking photographs.	
A	CHECK HERE. I acknowledge any statements implied as fact require supporting evidence.	
X	<b>CHECK HERE.</b> I have been given a copy of and have reviewed the standards that the Zoning Board of Appeals will use when reviewing applications for variances.	
Owner's Signature:         Heathar 201/20		
DECISION  The Board, in accordance with its findings of fact, hereby determines that the requested variance for (is) (is not) in compliance with all of the standards for a variance.  Further findings of fact are stated in the minutes of this public hearing.		
The Z	oning Board of Appeals: Approved Denied Conditionally Approved	
Zonin	g Board of Appeals Chair: Date:	















