

Summary of Community Responses to the Cypress Spray Park proposals 11/12/07

Carrie Fonder

Positive Comments:

Design is nice; takes into account the need for durable materials; effort to included the local children.

Concerns:

Sublimates the diversity of the neighborhood; too literal-kids at play; figures too vague; did art teacher agree to work with her?

Heidi Natura

Positive Comments:

Aesthetically pleasing; useful, provides necessary shade (several comments); inclusive, cross-cultural reflects diversity, (several comments); fun school project, collaboration; would result in source of pride and identity for community; pleasing design; meaty; less literal-more abstract; woven.

Concerns:

Material holding up in winter; need more than one day with students for quality weaving; material vulnerable to arson; shade canopy too low; will be easy to grab and climb (suggestion to locate it within the park); no footing for canopy.

Melanie Kehoss

Positive Comments:

Representations of children playing; ability to identify the vague shapes; straightforward and fun; strong concept & design; practical; vivacious; humorous; no forced notions of diversity; figures universally appealing.

Concerns:

Lack of involvement of the neighborhood children; sublimates the diversity of the neighborhood; too literal-kids at play; budget seems inflated; check credentials of welder; too much orange; paint not durable (suggest auto paint job); figures too detailed (suggest simplifying outlines); figures might get lost on the fence.