JULY 22,08 KP RANTS U.S. BANK

THE DEVELOPERS MAKING THIS PROPOSALI ARE WELL WORTHY OF **OUR RECOGNITION AND** COMMENDATION FOR THE WORK THEY HAVE DONE OVER THE PAST FOUR DECADES IN A VARIETY OF PROJECTS BUT ESPECIALLY FOR THEIR EFFORTS IN PRESERVING THE ARCHITECTURAL HERITAGE OF OUR CITY! THEY HAVE SUCCESSFULLY PRESERVED AND RENEWED A NUMBER OF BIILDINGS REPRESENT; ING THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE 19TH AND EARLY 20TH CENTURY! MOST NOTABLY FIRE STATION NO.2; DOTY SCHOOL;|LINCOLN SCHOOL;|

THE AMERICAN EXCHANGE BANK. AND THE TOBACCO WAREHOUS ALL ARE WHAT CAN BE CALLED "DERIVATIVE" ARCHITECTURE; THAT IS REPLICATIONS OF STYLES COMMONIN OTHER CITIES, COUNTRIES AND CULTURES THEY WERE IMPORTED STYLES. BUT THEY DID GIVE US INSIGHT INTO THE PEOPLE WHO BUILT THEM AND THE SOCIETIES IN WHICH THEY WERE BUILT. THEY WERE A HISTORICAL RECORD. BUT, THEY WERE NOT ORIGINAL NOR INATE TO THIS

BUT NOW, WITH THIS PROPOSAL
TO "MODERNIZE" THE U.S. BANK
BUILDING, THIS NOTABLE AND

COMMENDABLE COMMITMENT TO RESPECT AND SAVE OUR ARCHITECTUAL HERITAGE HAS BEEN SET ASIDE. UNDER THE POLITICALLY CORRECT MONTRA OF "ENERGY CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABILITY" THEY INTEND TO SACRIFICE THE ORIGINAL CHARACTER AND SPIRIT OF WHAT IS TRULY AN OUTSTANDING EXAM;PLE OF"MODERN" ARCHITECTURAL STYLE.AND THE SEMENAL AGE IN WHICH IT WAS CONCIEVED.

AS THE ONLY BUILDING DESIGNED BY THE INTERNATIONALLY KNOWN FIRM OF SKIDMORE, OWINGS AND MERRILL, SOM, IN THE CITY OF MADISON, IT IS

TRULY AN INTEGRAL PART OF OUR ARCHITECTURAL HERITAGE.

SOM CAN BE CRTEDITED WITH DESIGNING SOME OT THE MOST SIGNIFICANT BUILDINGS IN AMERICA; THE JOHN HANDCOCK TOWER, THE SEARS TOWER; THE AIR FORCE ACADEMY; THE LEVER HOUSE; AND MANY, MANY OTHERS ADDITIONALLY, THIS FIRM WAS THE TRAINGROUND FOR HUNDREDS OF OUTSTANDING YOUNG ARCHITECTS IN THE MIDDLE YEARS OF THE 20TH CENTURY.\

SOM EXERTED A MUCH GREATER"
INFLUENCE ON THE EVOLUTION
OF THE ARCHITECTURE OF TODAY

THAN DID FRANK LLOYD
WRIGHT.THE U.S. BANK BUILDING
IS PART OF THAT LEGACY. THE
DESIGN OF THIS LOCAL ICON
SHOULD NOT BE CHANGED.

BUT UNFORTUNATELY, HISTORIC PRESERVATION IN MADISON IS ROOTEN NOT IN TRUE HISTORY BUT IN AESTHETIC TASTE OF OLD BUILDINGS. BUILDINGS ARE LANDMARKED BECAUSE PEOPLE LIKE A SPECIFIC STYLE, OR STYLES, IRRESPECTIVE OF ITS HISTORICAL AUTHENTICITY

ALSO UNFORTUNATELY,
"MODERN" ARCHITECTURE IS NOT
A FAVORED COMMODITY IN
MADISON. SO, AS THESE

DEVELOPERS DETERINED, IT IS ESPENDBLE. HISTORY IS LIMITED ONLY TO DERIVATIVE STYLES THAT ARE IN FAVOR. IT IS INDICATIVE THAT THE LANDMARKS COMMISSION, PROTECTORS OF OUR ARCHITECTURAL HERATIGE, HAVE BEEN SILENT ON THIS PROJECT WHILE ACTING UNANIMOUSLY TO PRESERVE AS A PRAYER GARDEN, THE BURNT OUT REMAINS OF AN OLD BUILDING. BUILT IN A DESIGN STYLE OF REMANISCENT OF ANCIENT EUROPE,

IT IS DISAPPOINTING AND SADDENING TO OBSERVE THE EASE WITH WHICH THE VALUE OF AN ARCHITECTURAL HERITAGE

WHICH WAS SO HIGHLY REGARDED WHEN IT SERVED A COMMERCIAL PURPOSE AND **ENVOLED ONLY THOSE** STRUCTURES THAT MET A CERTAIN AESTHETIC STANDARD, IS NO DISCARDED TO SERVE ANOTHER COMMERCIAL PURP[OSE. IN THE PAST, PAYING AN ADDED PRICE TO PRESERVE AN OLD ECLECTIC BUILDING WAS WILLINGLY ACCEPTED AS A JUSTIFIABLE COST OF HISTORICAL INTEGRITY.

BUT NOW, WHEN IT ENVOLVES A
VALUABLE HISTORIC ICON, ONE
OF A KIND IN MADISON, BUT OF A
STYLE NOT OF THEIR LIKING THIS
DEDICATION IS FORGOTTON. AND

EXPLAINED AWAY AS THE COMMENDABLE EFFORT TO "MODERNIZE:"\

THERE ARE MANY WAYS TO MODERNIZZE, TO SAVE ENERGY THAT DO NOT ENVOLE **DESTROYING A SIGNIFICANT** PIECE OF MADISON'S ARCHITECTURAL HERITAGE. DOUBLE, OR TRIPLE INSULATING GLASS; GLASS THAT CHANGES **COLOR IN DIFFERENT LEVELS OF** SUNLIGHT; REARRANGE INTERIOR LAYOUTS SO THAT CIRULATION SPACES ARE LOCATED ON THE EXTERIOR TO CREATE TEMPERATURE BUFFERS FOR INTERIOR WORKING SPACES;REDUCE THE VETILATION

IN THE GARAGE BY USING
CARBON MONOXIDE SENSERS;
ADDING 15000 SQ.FT. IN NOT A
WAY TO CONSERVE ENERGY.; USE
WINDOW BLINDS PRUDENTLY; USE
HEAT EXCHANGERS TO DRAW
HEAT FROM EXHAUST AIR TO
HEAT INTAKE FRESH AIR;. AND ON
AND ON.

THE POINT IS; THE
"MODERNIZING" EFFORTS
OFFERED AS JUSTIFICATION TO
DESTROY THE CHARACTER OF
THIS OUTSTANDING EXAMPLE OF
MODERN" ARCHITECTURE ARE
NOT ACCEPTABLE. THE
IMPORTANCE OF THIS SOM ICON,
LIKE ITS STYLE OR NOT, IS FAR
TOO GREAT IN THE

EVOLUTIONARY PATH OF MADISON'S ARCHITECTURAL HISTORY, FOR THAT FRAGILE REASONING TO BE GIVEN ANY CREDENCE.

I MOST STRONGLY URGE THIS
COMMISSION TO REFECT THIS
PROPOSAL AND TO RECOMMENT
TO THESE GENTLEMEN THAT
THEY EXPLORE "MODERNIZING"
METHODS THAT EVIDENCED MUCH
GREATER RESPECT FOR OUR
ARCHITECTURAL HERITAGE.