

City of Madison Meeting Minutes - Final CITY-COUNTY LIAISON COMMITTEE

City of Madison Madison, WI 53703 www.cityofmadison.com

Tuesday, January 2, 2007

5:30 PM

Room 310, City-County Building

Present: Janet L. Piraino, Kenneth Golden, Jed Sanborn, Judy Compton, Topf Wells,

Carousel Andrea S. Bayrd and David J. Ripp

Excused: Matt Veldran

Othes Present: Travis Myren, Ald. Zach Brandon, Ald. Tim Bruer, Supv. Eileen Bruskewitz, Aaron Krebs, Connie Shomberg, Stuart Seffern, Tim Saterfield

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by County Co-Chair Bayrd at 5:30 p.m.

Public Comment

There was no public comment on items not on the agenda.

Approval of the Minutes of the August 3, 2006 meeting

A motion was made by Wells, seconded by Compton, to Approve the Minutes. The motion passed by acclamation.

<u>05318</u>

Dane County Resolution 111, 06-07 - To provide for coordination and cooperation between the City of Madison and Dane County in finding short- and long-term, safe and size-appropriate locations for service providers currently serving Allied Drive

Attachments: Dane Co Resolution 111, 06-07

A motion was made by Compton, seconded by Wells, to Approve County Resolution 111 and City Resolution File ID 04262 are companion resolutions that create a staff team to address service provider space needs in the Allied Drive area, necessitated by the City purchase for redevelopment of several properties. The intent is to have staff work on a plan to relocate the service providers into suitable space. Bayrd said she has mentioned to a member of the Fitchburg City Council that they may wish to pass a similar measure.

The motion passed by acclamation.

04262

To provide for coordination and cooperation between the City of Madison and Dane County in finding short- and long-term locations for service providers currently serving Allied Drive.

Sponsors: Kenneth Golden

A motion was made by Compton, seconded by Wells, to Return to Lead with the Recommendation for Approval to the ALLIED AREA TASK FORCE. The motion passed by acclamation.

Building Use Requests

<u>05320</u> Building Use Request: Leadership Greater Madison - Room 201 on January 11, 2007.

A motion was made by Compton, seconded by Sanborn, to Approve Leadership Greater Madison, a program of the Greater Madison Chamber of Commerce, wishes to use Room 201 on January 11, 2007 for a leadership session including a mock Common Council meeting. The motion passed by acclamation.

<u>05321</u> Building Use Request: Dane County Department of Planning & Development CCB Map Displays.

Attachments: Krebs Memo-Map Display for 1st Floor CCB 1-2-07.doc

A motion was made by Golden, seconded by Compton, to Approve Dane County Department of Planning and Development wishes to work with Facilities Management to arrange for map displays on the first floor of the CCB. A recent display in conjunction with GIS Day was well received. Maps would be changed periodically. Golden suggested working with the MPO and others. The motion passed by acclamation.

Discussion/Update on Huber/AODA - MI treatment jail facility siting process (for information only - no action will be taken)

Bayrd and Ripp, who were members of the county joint subcommittee that reviewed potential sites for the new Huber/treatment facility, gave an overview of the process that led to the recommendation for the current highway garage site on Fish Hatchery Road at Badger Road. Golden asked whether a demographic study of the neighborhood had been done, what other cities are doing with these types of facilities, and whether options for community corrections had been explored. Bayrd, Ripp and Wells indicated no specific demographic studies had been done, and that the questions about programming were outside the mission of the siting subcommittee. Piraino asked what the process would be from here on out. Bayrd and Wells indicated that the work of the subcommittee is over, city and county staff review of sites is ongoing, and that some other committee or committees will be involved. A joint meeting is being held January 9, 2007 by the county's Public Works and Transportation Committee and Public Protection and Judiciary Committee at the site.

Mr. Stuart Seffren, a neighborhood resident, addressed the committee, and expressed a number of concerns. He thought the siting subcommittee's focus had been too narrow, and criteria too limited, and that neighborhoods should have been involved in the review process. It does not appear neighborhood impacts were taken into account. He said the neighborhood hoped that developments in the area should benefit the area and improve the tax base. He pointed out that many believe the county had promised in 1992 not to consider locating a jail in the neighborhood. A site adjacent to Oakhill Correctional Center should be considered.

Wells described the broader process the county has been using while developing the concept of the Huber/treatment facility and addressed some of Mr. Seffren's comments. The county had in the past explored selling the highway garage

property to developers, but did not get any viable offers. Neighborhood concerns about ongoing drug use and prostitution in the neighborhood should be addressed by the city.

Golden discussed the siting process in 1992 that involved county and city staff working closely together to develop viable sites, which resulted in the public safety building being built downtown. He raised concerns about the need for a 400 bed facility and suggested that more dispersed, community based housing should be considered. Bayrd discussed the type of population that would be using the facility, and pointed out that the length of stay is typically 45 days or less. Ripp said operating a shuttle from Oakhill would be difficult since it would likely have to be operated around the clock to accommodate varied work schedules. Parking is necessary for those who have driving privileges. Piraino indicated the Mayor's general support for the concept of a Huber/treatment facility, his willingness to participate in the siting process, and but indicated he is not supportive of the proposed site.

Alder Bruer addressed the committee and voiced his concerns about the proposed site, that neighbors had read about the site in the papers and had not been involved in the process, and that there had been a previous commitment from the county not to locate this type of facility on the south side.

Piraino asked that the committee meet again in January and get an update on City County Building construction projects.

Such Other Business as Allowed by Law

There was no other business.

Adjournment

COMMITTEE

A motion was made by Compton, seconded by Ripp, to Adjourn The meeting adjourned at 6:25 p.m. The motion passed by acclamation.