



# City of Madison

City of Madison  
Madison, WI 53703  
www.cityofmadison.com

## Master

**File Number: 21510**

<b>File ID:</b> 21510	<b>File Type:</b> Discussion Item	<b>Status:</b> In Committee
<b>Version:</b> 1	<b>Reference:</b>	<b>Controlling Body:</b> COMMITTEE ON SWEATFREE PURCHASES
		<b>File Created Date :</b> 02/22/2011

**File Name:** Deferred item from last meeting. Develop a "sliding scale" method of compliance that will promote competition and incent vendors to move towards 100% compliance. - Bracewell

**Final Action:**

**Title:** Deferred item from last meeting. Develop a "sliding scale" method of compliance that will promote competition and incent vendors to move towards 100% compliance. - Bracewell  
McGuire provided additional discussion points

**Notes:**

**Agenda Number:** 6.

**Sponsors:**

**Effective Date:**

**Attachments:** Attachment A-2-24-11MinutesCSP.pdf

**Enactment Number:**

**Author:**

**Hearing Date:**

**Entered by:** srebello@cityofmadison.com

**Published Date:**

### Approval History

Version	Date	Approver	Action
---------	------	----------	--------

### History of Legislative File

Ver- sion:	Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:
1	COMMITTEE ON SWEATFREE PURCHASES	02/22/2011	Discuss and continue				
	<b>Action Text:</b>		This Discussion Item was Discuss and continue				
	<b>Notes:</b>						
1	COMMITTEE ON SWEATFREE PURCHASES	02/24/2011					

**Action Text:** Bracewell provided brief background on the proposal evaluation procedure that City Purchasing uses where different criteria are assigned relative weights or points. The sweatfree requirement has never been evaluated as one of the criteria with assigned points. Applying the same point system to the evaluation of the sweatfree requirement would promote competition and compliance of vendors. Point system would be based on the value of the completed forms as a percentage of total contract value. One of the goals of the consortium is to assign more importance to accurate factory locations and less importance to the level of wage detail required in the bidder disclosure form. The proposed formula would be to assign ¾ of the weight on the factory location and ¼ of the weight would be on the wages.

Bracewell – demonstrated the formula using the spreadsheet. See Attachment A.  
Additional discussions will include how to set a point value to this requirement relative to the other non-sweatfree evaluation criteria, including local preference.  
Further discussion will continue at the next meeting.

McGuire provided additional discussion points

Notes:

---

### **Text of Legislative File 21510**

#### **Title**

Deferred item from last meeting. Develop a "sliding scale" method of compliance that will promote competition and incent vendors to move towards 100% compliance. - Bracewell  
McGuire provided additional discussion points

**T = \$ Total Dollar Value of Apparel in Contract**

**F = \$ Value of Contract for Completed Forms**

**T \$ Total Dollar Value of Apparel in Contract**

**F = 75% credit for accurate Location (L)  
+ 25% credit for Wage details (W)**

**P =  $\frac{(L+W)_1}{100} + \frac{(L+W)_2}{100} + \frac{(L+W)_3}{100}$  = % of SF points**