

Smart Asset Public Transportation Ranking
Kara Gibson 4/26/2016

TORC
04-27-16

Rank	City	Average Commute Time for Cars (Mins)	Average Commute Time for Transit (Mins)	% Difference	Population Using Public Transit	Income Difference for Transit Users	Index
1	Washington, D.C.	27.8	36.4	31%	36%	-4%	100
2	San Francisco	30	40.3	34%	34%	-4%	98.12
3	Boston	29.2	39.8	36%	34%	-13%	96.63
4	Chicago	33.2	43.6	31%	28%	-9%	95.84
5	New York	32.6	48.1	48%	57%	-2%	93.96
6	Seattle	25	38.9	56%	21%	-14%	93.56
7	Jersey City	30.2	46.3	53%	49%	16%	93.37
8	Pittsburgh	23.3	32.4	39%	17%	-18%	93.17
9	Philadelphia	30.1	44	46%	27%	-16%	92.87
10	Oakland	27	44.3	64%	21%	-2%	90.59
11	Portland	23	40.8	77%	12%	-16%	86.63
12	Minneapolis	21.6	37.8	75%	14%	-38%	82.48
13	Madison	18.7	32.2	72%	10%	-33%	80.40
14	Newark	30.2	54.5	80%	26%	-18%	78.61
15	Denver	23.7	43	81%	7%	-34%	76.63
16	St. Paul	21.8	39.9	83%	10%	-34%	76.04
17	Honolulu	21.4	41.4	93%	12%	-36%	75.15
18	Los Angeles	28.3	48.6	72%	11%	-43%	74.65
19	Baltimore	26.1	49.2	89%	19%	-35%	74.55
20	Salt Lake City	18.1	33.5	85%	7%	-28%	72.77
21	Santa Ana	23.3	41.5	78%	5%	-32%	71.88
22	Richmond	20.1	35.9	79%	6%	-37%	70.50
23	Houston	25.2	46.1	83%	4%	-39%	68.12
24	Cleveland	21.9	44.7	104%	11%	-34%	67.82
25	Buffalo	19.2	39.9	108%	11%	-38%	66.14
26	Providence	21.3	41.9	97%	8%	-34%	65.35
27	Austin	22.3	41.2	85%	4%	-44%	65.25
28	Yonkers	26.1	58.5	124%	28%	-22%	65.15
29	Sacramento	23.1	44.9	94%	4%	-20%	64.65
30	Miami	25.4	50.6	99%	11%	-40%	64.55
31	Spokane	18.9	30.5	61%	4%	-43%	64.46
32	Fremont	29.6	64.8	119%	11%	29%	62.67
33	New Orleans	21.5	40.6	89%	9%	-51%	61.58

Smart Asset Public Transportation Ranking

Kara Gibson 4/26/2016

Rank	City	Average Commute Time for Cars (Mins)	Average Commute Time for Transit (Mins)	% Difference	Population Using Public Transit	Income Difference for Transit Users	Index
34	Newport News	21.7	32.9	52%	3%	-43%	61.19
35	Phoenix	23.2	45.1	94%	3%	-41%	61.09
36	San Jose	26.2	52.7	101%	4%	-33%	59.70
37	Rochester	17.3	40.2	132%	9%	-31%	59.41
38	Cincinnati	20.4	41.3	102%	9%	-47%	58.81
39	Milwaukee	20.4	44.7	119%	8%	-43%	58.51
40	Nashville	23.3	41	76%	3%	-45%	58.22
41	North Las Vegas	25	42	68%	3%	-38%	56.34
42	Detroit	24.3	54.2	123%	9%	-38%	55.94
43	Dallas	24.9	51	105%	5%	-45%	55.45
44	Durham	20.6	38.8	88%	5%	-51%	55.15
45	Charlotte	24.2	47.7	97%	4%	-45%	53.76
46	Aurora	27.4	55.5	103%	5%	-40%	53.07
47	Albuquerque	19.7	36.5	85%	3%	-51%	52.77
48	Columbus	20.7	40.3	95%	3%	-51%	52.57
49	Tucson	21.1	41.5	97%	4%	-52%	52.48
50	Lexington	20.1	30.9	54%	2%	-49%	52.28
51	Grand Prairie	27	38.7	43%	1%	59%	52.28
52	Garland	27.6	44.9	63%	2%	-27%	51.98
53	Aurora	28.5	65	128%	5%	125%	51.09
54	Greensboro	20.6	26.9	31%	1%	-40%	50.50
55	Montgomery	19.3	33.6	74%	1%	-6%	50.50
56	Long Beach	27.7	52.9	91%	7%	-55%	50.40
57	Atlanta	23.8	53.4	124%	11%	-50%	49.01
58	Anaheim	28.5	51.4	80%	4%	-52%	48.51
59	Stockton	24.8	44.4	79%	1%	-25%	46.73
60	Tacoma	25.2	64	154%	5%	-3%	46.53
61	Kansas City	20.8	42	102%	3%	-48%	46.04
62	Laredo	20.4	35.1	72%	1%	-39%	45.45
63	San Antonio	22.2	48.4	118%	3%	-47%	45.35
64	Boise City	17.9	29.6	65%	1%	-27%	45.25
65	St. Louis	21	48.6	131%	10%	-52%	45.15
66	Memphis	20.7	43.7	111%	2%	-41%	43.66

Smart Asset Public Transportation Ranking

Kara Gibson 4/26/2016

Rank	City	Average Commute Time for Cars (Mins)	Average Commute Time for Transit (Mins)	% Difference	Population Using Public Transit	Income Difference for Transit Users	Index
67	Las Vegas	23.5	54.5	132%	5%	-46%	43.56
68	Garden Grove	25	54.6	118%	3%	-27%	42.97
69	San Diego	22.5	49.1	118%	4%	-57%	42.77
70	Shreveport	20.1	39.8	98%	3%	-47%	42.38
71	Grand Rapids	18.6	47.3	154%	5%	-40%	42.38
72	Glendale	26.1	55.9	114%	4%	-43%	42.28
73	Louisville	21.4	46	115%	3%	-51%	41.58
74	Overland Park	19.7	25.8	31%	1%	-45%	39.90
75	Indianapolis	22.1	49.2	123%	2%	-45%	39.70
76	Corpus Christi	19	37.6	98%	2%	-50%	39.31
77	Tampa	23.5	47.1	100%	3%	-57%	38.71
78	Little Rock	17.9	31.7	77%	1%	-42%	38.12
79	Fort Lauderdale	22.4	50.7	126%	5%	-49%	37.72
80	Chesapeake	25.1	45.9	83%	0%	29%	37.33
81	Mobile	21.6	29.9	38%	1%	-47%	37.23
82	Oklahoma City	20.8	40.5	95%	1%	-28%	37.13
83	St. Petersburg	22.5	49.5	120%	3%	-42%	36.34
84	Akron	19.6	51	160%	5%	-44%	36.04
85	Worcester	23.8	48.7	105%	3%	-45%	35.64
86	Anchorage	17	28.6	68%	1%	-72%	35.25
87	San Bernardino	25.7	49.7	93%	4%	-58%	34.85
88	Huntington Beach	29.2	45.7	57%	1%	-51%	34.85
89	Raleigh	22.4	45.2	102%	2%	-57%	34.26
90	Irving	21.7	52.3	141%	2%	-34%	34.06
91	Chula Vista	26.4	48.5	84%	3%	-69%	33.96
92	Omaha	18.3	44.2	142%	2%	-39%	33.47
93	Riverside	29.1	61.1	110%	3%	-49%	33.17

Smart Asset Public Transportation Ranking

Kara Gibson 4/26/2016

Rank	City	Average Commute Time for Cars (Mins)	Average Commute Time for Transit (Mins)	% Difference	Population Using Public Transit	Income Difference for Transit Users	Index
94	Fort Worth	26.3	54.1	106%	1%	-37%	32.28
95	Colorado Springs	21	48.1	129%	1%	-10%	32.18
96	Virginia Beach	23.8	37.6	58%	1%	-65%	31.98
97	Santa Clarita	32.1	60.3	88%	2%	-47%	31.98
98	Orlando	24.2	63.3	162%	4%	-44%	31.78
99	Reno	17.9	47.9	168%	2%	-37%	30.89
100	Hialeah	23.4	52.7	125%	1%	1%	29.80
101	El Paso	21.4	46.3	116%	2%	-57%	29.60
102	Tallahassee	16.8	43.2	157%	4%	-56%	28.81
103	Fontana	29.7	80.3	170%	2%	98%	28.51
104	Plano	26.4	60.6	130%	1%	44%	28.32
105	Norfolk	21.6	52.9	145%	4%	-55%	28.02
106	Lincoln	18	35.9	99%	1%	-61%	27.52
107	Oxnard	24.4	55	125%	1%	-10%	27.43
108	Henderson	21.4	79.2	270%	2%	-19%	27.23
109	Lubbock	14.9	27.6	85%	1%	-68%	26.04
110	Birmingham	21.1	46.8	122%	2%	-47%	25.94
111	Amarillo	16.9	43.5	157%	1%	-19%	25.45
112	Huntsville	18	38.8	116%	0%	-36%	25.45
113	Arlington	26.7	46.5	74%	0%	-46%	25.05
114	Chandler	22.5	55.9	148%	1%	35%	24.85
115	Des Moines	18.2	48.9	169%	2%	-42%	24.65
116	Mesa	23.3	57.5	147%	2%	-47%	23.86
117	Baton Rouge	20.8	50.5	143%	3%	-55%	23.07
118	Fresno	19.3	51.4	166%	2%	-45%	21.78
119	Gilbert	26.4	62.1	135%	1%	42%	21.78
120	Modesto	25	45.2	81%	1%	-54%	19.21
121	Glendale	27.2	55.9	106%	2%	-65%	19.11
122	Toledo	19.1	48.2	152%	2%	-51%	18.71
123	Tulsa	17.5	43.3	147%	1%	-51%	18.61
124	Knoxville	19	47.4	149%	2%	-58%	18.12
125	Moreno Valley	31.9	62.8	97%	2%	-61%	15.15

Smart Asset Public Transportation Ranking
Kara Gibson 4/26/2016

126	Wichita	17.7	37.5	112%	1%	-61%	14.26
127	Scottsdale	21	47.6	127%	2%	-67%	13.66
128	Jacksonville	22.7	64.4	184%	2%	-65%	13.56
129	Fort Wayne	20	46	130%	1%	-62%	13.47
130	Fayetteville	19	44.1	132%	1%	-60%	8.02
131	Augusta	19.9	64.5	224%	0%	-27%	6.93
132	Columbus	18.2	56.9	213%	2%	-60%	5.54
133	Brownsville	18.6	42.6	129%	1%	-74%	3.86
134	Bakersfield	20.4	49.7	144%	1%	-88%	3.76
135	Winston	19.4	49.7	156%	1%	-65%	3.37
136	Irvine	23.6	62.9	167%	1%	-83%	0.00

