

To: Ad Hoc Landmarks Ordinance Revision Committee
Date: July 20, 2014
RE: Recommendations for consideration at the July 23 meeting

People have complained that our current Landmarks Ordinance isn't clear and it's ambiguous. I believe the following sections need more clarification to prevent confusion.

33.19(1) Purpose and Intent.

33.19(1)(a) Inset the word **preservation** because someone might assume that preservation is not to be considered along with protection, enhancement, perpetuation

(a) would read: Effect and accomplish the **preservation**, protection, enhancement, perpetuation and use of such improvements and of district which represent or reflect elements of the City's cultural, social, economic, political, archeological, anthropological, and architectural history.

33.19(1)(e) Insert the word **preserve** at the beginning of the sentence because someone might assume that preserve is not to be considered along with protect.....

At the end of the sentence after economy of the City add: **without negatively impacting the historic nature of a landmarked building or site or historic district.** This must be clearly stated because otherwise some people might think economic development is one of the missions of the Landmarks Commission. The mission of the Landmarks Commission is to preserve, protect, enhance, and perpetuate(see Purpose and Intent) Other boards and commissions are charged with promoting economic development.

(e) would read: **Preserve**, protect and enhance the City's attractions to residents, tourists and visitors, and serve as a support and stimulus to business and industry, thereby strengthening the economy of the City **without negatively impacting the historic nature of a landmarked building or site or historic district.**

33.19(9) Creation and Amendment of Historic Districts.

33.19(9)(b)1.a. Add the word **Massing** to clarify that a building is 3-dimensional.

a. would read: **Massing** and Height

Thank you for taking the time to read this.

Franny Ingebritson