

**STAFF REVIEW OF PROPOSALS FOR YEAR 2009-2010  
COMMUNITY/NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS**

- 1. **Project Name/Title:** Housing Operations/Case Management
- 2. **Agency Name:** Porchlight, Inc.
- 3. **Requested Amount:** \$44,194                      2009  
\$44,194                      2010 plus COLA
- 4. **Project Type:**                       New                       Continuing                      (Prior Year Level \$44,194 )

**5. Framework Plan Objective Most Directly Addressed by Proposed Activity:**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> A. Housing – Owner-occupied housing              | <input type="checkbox"/> G. Strengthening Madison’s Neighborhoods – Civic places                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> B. Housing – Housing for homebuyers              | <input type="checkbox"/> L. Strengthening Madison’s Neighborhoods – Comprehensive revitalization         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> D. Housing – Rental housing                      | <input type="checkbox"/> M1. Access to Community Resources – Low/moderate income persons seeking housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> E. Business Development – Business creating jobs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M2. Access to Community Resources – Homeless services                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> F. Business Development – Micro-business         | <input type="checkbox"/> K. Access to Community Resources – Capital facilities                           |

**6. Product/Service Description:**

Program participants live in one of 21 scattered-site locations (approximately 100 housing units) in Dane County (98% of the program participants were Madison residents). Each resident, whether they live in transitional or permanent housing, are assigned a case manager who provides a variety of services, as well as referrals to outside service providers. All of the residents are previously homeless and have a number of barriers that prevent them from obtaining and maintaining stable independent housing.

**7. Anticipated Accomplishments (Numbers/Type/Outcome):**

- 60% of 50 households in the Porchlight’s transitional housing program will leave transitional housing for permanent housing (30 households) and 75% of the 30 households will maintain stable housing for at least one year.
- 55% of the 75 households in Porchlight’s permanent housing will maintain stable housing for at least one year (41 households).

**Total Cost/Total Beneficiaries Equals:** \$1,264,266 / 125 individuals = \$10,114

**CD Office Funds/CD-Eligible Beneficiaries Equals:** \$38,594 / 125 individuals = \$309

**CD Office Funds as Percentage of Total Budget:** 3%

**8. Staff Review (content, strengths/weaknesses, issues):**

Support services are provided to residents of Porchlight’s transitional and supportive permanent housing units, but do not include Brooks Street, Pheasant Ridge Trail and portions of Mills Street. The CDBG Office has had a long and positive relationship with Porchlight funding both supportive services and capital projects. The scattered site support services activity is currently funded with city funds as part of a larger contract for support services at Porchlight’s scattered housing sites.

The requested amount for 2009 should be changed from \$66,994 to \$44,194. The balance is the amount that Porchlight receives from the CDBG Office through another grant process and should be moved to “Other Govt”. The total remains the same.

**Date of Review:** 6/19/08

**Staff Reviewer** Sue Wallinger

Technical and Regulatory Issues	Project information
Within unit, capital, mortgage limits	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NA
Within Subsidy layering limits	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NA
Environmental Review issues	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
Eligible project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Conflict of interest	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
Church/State issues	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
Accessibility of program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Accessibility of structure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no has a number of units accessible to physically handicapped
Lead-based paint issues	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NA
Relocation/displacement	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NA
Zoning restrictions	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NA
Site and Neighborhood Standard/Issues	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NA
Inclusionary Zoning Unit: Enhancement / Benefits	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NA
Fair Labor Standards	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
Vulnerable populations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
Matching Requirement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no One for one match for ESG funds
Period of Affordability for HOME funds	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NA
Supplanting issues	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
Living wage issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
MBE goal	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
Aldermanic/neighborhood communication	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
Management issues:	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no