

AGENDA # 8

City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION	PRESENTED: November 7, 2007
TITLE: 520 East Johnson Street – PUD(GDP-SIP), Relocated Three-Unit Building. 2 nd Ald. Dist. (07629)	REFERRED: REREFERRED: REPORTED BACK:
AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary	ADOPTED: POF:
DATED: November 7, 2007	ID NUMBER:

Members present were: Lou Host-Jablonski, Chair; Marsha Rummel, Bonnie Cosgrove, Bruce Woods, Richard Slayton, John Harrington and Jay Ferm.

SUMMARY:

At its meeting of November 7, 2007, the Urban Design Commission **RECEIVED AN INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION** on a PUD(GDP-SIP). Appearing on behalf of the project were Phil Hees, James Madison Park District Steering Committee; Ilse Hecht, Ald. Brenda Konkel, District 2; James McFadden, Fisher Development; and Gene Devitt. The project will provide for the relocation of the existing two-story, 3-unit wood frame building located at 119 North Blair Street to a vacant portion of the parcel located at 520 East Johnson Street. The relocation of this building combined with the demolition of the existing structures at 125 and 123 North Butler Street will allow for the companion PUD-SIP project at 119-125 North Butler Street for 38 apartment units to commence. McFadden provided details on the relocation of the structure as to how it will fit on its proposed site.

ACTION:

Since this was an **INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION**, no formal action was taken by the Commission.

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 6 and 6.

URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PROJECT RATING FOR: 520 East Johnson Street

	Site Plan	Architecture	Landscape Plan	Site Amenities, Lighting, Etc.	Signs	Circulation (Pedestrian, Vehicular)	Urban Context	Overall Rating
Member Ratings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
	6	-	-	-	-	-	6	6

General Comments:

- By itself, good project. Tied to Item #7.