Marquette Bungalows Historic District Criteria for the review of alterations Parcels zoned Residential

Address:	611 S Dickenson St
Date:	<u>October 12, 2009</u>
Form Prepared By:	R.Cnare and B. Fruhling

Does the project meet the following guideline criteria?

(For the complete text of the criteria, please see Madison General Ordinances Sec. 33.01(13)(d), available on the web at <u>www.cityofmadison.com</u>)

Yes	n.a.	No	1.	Re-siding.
Yes	n.a.	No	2.	Roof materials.
Yes	n.a.	No	3.	Skylights.
Yes	n.a.	No	4.	Dormers and other roof alterations.
Yes	n.a.	No	5.	Chimneys.
Yes	?	No <u>?</u>	6.	Windows and doors.
Yes	n.a.	No	7.	Porches.
Yes	n.a.	No	8.	Solar apparatus.
Yes	n.a.	No	9.	Additions and other alterations.
Yes	n.a.	No	10.	Foundations.
Yes	n.a.	No	11.	Tuckpointing and brick repair.
Yes	n.a.	No	12.	Storm windows and doors.

Explanation:

The owner wishes to replace all 16 windows in the house with new energy efficient windows. The proposed windows are "Custom Shield Simulated Divided Light Windows" with fixed muntins inside and outside, including a piece that is built inside of the two panes. (It appears that this is also sometimes referred to as a Simulated Full-divided Light)

The Marquette Bungalows Historic District Language would allow for administrative approval of 8 of the windows (rear façade and rear half of side facades).

However the 8 windows comprising the front façade and the front 10-feet of the side facades (4 front façade windows, and 2 south side, and 2 north side) have additional restrictions within the Marquette Bungalows District.

The landmarks Ordinance for Marquette Bungalows District requires the following: Section 33.19(13)(d)(6)(b) <u>Street Facades:</u>

"Windows and doors on the front or street façade of the house and on side faces within 10 feet of the front façade of the house shall retain their original or existing appearance, including true muntins where they exist. Replacement windows and doors may be approved if they match the original appearance."

X See Continuation sheet

There is continued improvement in the design of simulated divided light windows, however approving these as a satisfactory replacement for true divided light windows will set a precedent for other houses within this small district.

The owner will be bringing a sample of the window in for the Commission to review. The muntins for his particular window are fixed (as opposed to snap-on inserts) and staff has seen the sample and believes that it meets the intent of the ordinance and recommends approval.

If approved, staff further recommends that future window replacement requests within this district that involve non-removable interior and exterior muntins with a simulated divided light may be considered for administrative approval.

Respectfully submitted, Rebecca Cnare and Bill Fruhling October 13, 2009

