## AGENDA # 2

## City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: LANDMARKS COMMISSION PRESENTED: March 12, 2012

TITLE: 1910 Regent Street – University **REFERRED:** 

Heights Historic District – Exterior alteration involving a rear addition and **REREFERRED:** 

three car garage addition. 5<sup>th</sup> Ald.
District. Contact: Ed Kuharski (25289) **REPORTED BACK:** 

AUTHOR: Amy Scanlon, Secretary ADOPTED: POF:

DATED: March 12, 2012 **ID NUMBER:** 

Members present were: Stu Levitan, Chair; Erica Gehrig, Vice Chair; Christina Slattery, David McLean, Marsha Rummel, and Robin Taylor. Michael Rosenblum was excused.

## **SUMMARY:**

Edward Kuharski, 405 Sidney Street, representing the Owner, Brian and Amanda Mullen, registering in support and wishing to speak. Mr. Kuharski briefly presented the proposed project. He explained the relationship of the proposed addition to the existing residence and that it has changed from a 3-bay garage, as previously reviewed, to a 27' wide 2-bay garage with 6' link. He explained that the S-curve of the driveway could be more pronounced with this design and that the pyramidal roof and overall mass enhanced the pavilion treatment of the garage. He noted that the door is actually a single door with false pilaster detail in stucco color.

Rummel asked for information about the windows to the rear of the addition. Mr. Kuharski explained that the windows were placed to relate to the interior use.

Brian Mullen and Amanda Mullen, 1910 Regent Street, registering in support and wishing to speak. Mr. and Ms. Mullen explained that they prepared a photographic survey of the proposed garage as viewed from neighboring properties. The survey was passed around for review. They provided emails from neighbors that were in support of the project.

Sally Orr Vaza, 1915 Regent Street, registering in support but not wishing to speak.

Staff explained that Alder Bidar-Sielaff was unable to attend the meeting, but she supports the current design and appreciates the compromises made by the Applicants to reach a successful final product.

## **ACTION**:

A motion was made by Rummel, seconded by McLean, to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for the proposed addition incorporating the comments in the Staff report:

- 1. Staff approval of the proposed window and door details.
- 2. Staff approval of the materials of the proposed raised patio.

The motion was passed on a vote of (4:0). Gehrig abstained.