

Development Process Improvement Initiative Report Amendments by Referred Commissions and Boards

The Plan Commission, Landmarks Commission and the Urban Design Commission recommended adoption of the report with the changes as noted in the following chart.

Rows shaded in green denote goals about which commissions had differing recommendations.

Page	Goal	Amendment	Commission
25 & 26	D2	Facade Grant Program, delete the example contained in the second sentence (Page 26).	UDC/PLAN
27	E 3	Suggests adding language about recruiting and retaining talent on city commissions/committees/boards	ARC/BOE
29	F1	Request language that staff should review this recommendation for any standards that might be lost through the proposed elimination of overlapping jurisdictions and reviews	PLAN
29	F1	delete	UDC
29	F 1	F. GOAL: Reduce development approvals required and overlapping jurisdictions authority and conflicts among development approval entities. 1. Identify and eliminate overlapping jurisdictions of boards and commissions where possible. Clarify respective authority of respective Boards and Commissions and eliminate potential overlaps. For example, for projects involving landmark properties or projects within historic districts, consider review only by the Landmarks Commission rather than by both the Landmarks Commission and the Urban Design Commission. For projects within the Downtown core, mixed-use commercial district (currently the C\$ District) require review of additions and alterations by just the Urban Design Commission rather than by both the Plan Commission and Urban Design Commission. For demolitions of landmark buildings or buildings on historic districts, require approval by only Landmarks Commission instead of both Landmarks and Plan Commission.	LMC
29	F2	delete	LMC
29	F2	delete	PLAN
29	F2	delete	ARC/BOE
31	G7c	delete	UDC
32	G8e & g	delete	UDC
32	G8e	Delete this paragraph and replace with language requesting that staff clarify the meaning and intent of “initial” and “final” review by the Urban Design Commission	PLAN
33	G10	delete	UDC
33	G10	delete	PLAN
33	G10	Either delete or clarify that intent is to remain flexible but get to recommendation with limited referrals	ARC/BOE
33	G 10	G. 10. For advisory boards/commissions, including, but not limited to such as Landmarks and UDC, acting in an advisory capacity, require that, unless otherwise requested by the applicant, a recommendations be made at a single meeting, of the body unless the applicant requests referral.	LMC

38	K2	Neighborhood associations have a role in developing economic criteria by which the EDC and other boards/commissions/committees can consider in reviewing neighborhood plans. Staff should work with neighborhood associations to develop a guide for staff and neighborhoods to use in crafting neighborhood plans	Staff
40	K6	Suggest adding an annual meeting for neighborhood associations as well (which could be the Mayor's roundtable if input on the agenda was solicited ahead of time)	ARC/BOE
Appendix	New addition	Add the Comprehensive Plan amendment process http://www.cityofmadison.com/planning/ComprehensivePlan/index.cfm	PC

4/8/2011