

Bailey, Heather

From: Parks, Timothy
Sent: Monday, January 9, 2023 3:45 PM
To: Heiser-Ertel, Lauren; Bailey, Heather
Subject: FW: 1617 Sherman--Vermillion proposal

One more...

From: Paul and Donna Janquart <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, January 6, 2023 11:25 AM
To: Plan Commission Comments <pccomments@cityofmadison.com>
Subject: 1617 Sherman--Vermillion proposal

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Plan Committee Members,

I strongly oppose the construction of a five-story medium density building at 1617 Sherman. This property is across from Lake Mendota and adjacent to Tenney Park which claims to be "a peaceful recluse" on their website. I also wonder about the effect on the Restoration Project underway at Tenney Park.

The neighborhood doesn't have any other structure that complements the proposed structure. This is a residential neighborhood that consists of 37 buildings that all have a three-story structure along with single family homes.

To replace this building with a medium density housing complex completely offsets the aesthetic nature that promotes a respite from higher density neighborhoods. The reuse of the Historic Filene House could be used to architecturally complement the area and would afford more residential space without diminishing the neighborhood.

Thank you,
Donna Janquart
Owner of #37-4 Sherman Terrace

Bailey, Heather

From: Julia Matthews <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, January 9, 2023 4:54 PM
To: PLLCApplications
Subject: Written comment for item 75226

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Good afternoon,

I am sending a written comment in opposition to item 75226.

While I appreciate the consideration of historical significance being more than just architectural facade, I think this historical moment could be much better preserved through a plaque or naming significance in the new proposed housing for this property. It's definitely important to think of historical preservation as more than just architecture and to look at the significant events and people who could make a place significant, but I cannot support taking away this potential housing. The new housing that is proposed for this location doesn't remove a historical person or group from this site, and the historical events described in the nomination could easily be preserved and even amplified in a new building. There could be a plaque, or even a small exhibit in the lobby that presented the entirety of the significance of this site and it could live on and be presented to many more people than for this building to just remain empty as is.

Thank you,

Julia Matthews