

From: therese roth [mailto:tmaroth@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 15, 2012 4:07 PM
To: Parks, Timothy
Cc: greystoneassociation@gmail.com; Burse Surveying and Engineering Inc; paul
Subject: 9624 Old Sauk Rd

Dear Tim,

I am the owner of Greystone parcel # 5, the address being 9613 Shadow Ridge Tr.

It is my understanding that a hearing is to be held tonight to discuss the division of the property located @ 9624 Old Sauk Rd.

I will not be able to attend the meeting, but, I would like to voice my concerns through this email.

In the hearing that was presented in the past, the issue was raised as to proper drainage of rain water after the construction and division of the property.

The installation of Rain gardens was proposed as a solution to the water problem.

I do not see Rain Gardens addressed in the current proposal.

My property will be directly affected by the creation of two new lots, esp the creation of proposed lot 1 and 2.

My concern is, if the proposed construction causes drainage issues, the current owners, that being the Emericks, will be held responsible for correcting the drainage problem.

My property at a lower elevation than the property at 9624 Old Sauk Rd, rain water does run off the proposed new lots onto my property. If the existing land, green space, is converted to black top, concrete and housing, the existing soil will no longer be available for water absorption, this disturbance of the land, will escalate the water run off toward my property.

I do not believe that most of the property at 9624 Old Sauk Rd drains to the south and west.

The properties to the north of the property at 9624 Old Sauk are all located at a lower elevations than the property owned by the Emericks.

Drainage does occur from the property during and following rain falls, the quantity of the run off is enough to erode the soil in the rear of my home.

If the proposed lot division is approved, and if there is a drainage problem following the construction, I would expect the Emericks to be responsible to correct the problem, since they were aware of the concerns before construction.

I would also expect the purchasing parties of the proposed lot 1 and lot 2, be adequately informed of any drainage issues that may occur from new construction on the sight.

Sincerely,

Therese Roth