# Statements from CCOC Meeting 11/18/10 re: Legislative File No. 20248

### Ald. Julia Kerr

Acceptance by the City Council of financial audit prior to disbursing funds

System to make employees whole on their retirement benefits

Community programs agreement that quantifies the existing community programs and escalates them by the CIP methodology and ERP

Acceptance/agreement by operating entity of capital needs projections

Citizen representation on the Board & the five (5) person Executive Committee

Annual plan that also includes a summary of the resident company contracts

Public-private model is too risky for the taxpayers

## Ald. Brian Solomon

Prefers public-private model vs. private-private model

Governance: Six (6) three-year terms (vs. three (3) five-year terms)

Staffing – 3-year study period regarding staffing (and subsequent 3-year study periods)

Resident companies are offered affordable rental rates

Language about resident companies to offer free or reduced cost functions, especially if they are related to public engagement

Create a resident company committee

In addition to annual reports request reports on financial shortfalls in real time (not wait for them)

### Ald. Michael Schumacher

Can't support Focus Model (too many issues associated with this model)

Focus on the alternate resolution and supports the cleanest proposal (doesn't want to deal with staffing or performance issues)

### Ald. Joe Clausius

Supports alternate resolution (private-private model)

## Ald. Bridget Maniaci

Community board-City operating board – Not-For-Profit (NFP)

City Side = Vision + Community (booking)

NFP Side = Profit + Paid Programming

Spending caps

Capital Plan

Compliance with Capital Plan

Greater City role

Transparency – open records and financials

### Ald. Chris Schmidt

Supports resolving the debt right away and keep working on a solution

Arts are important to community but are not critical (considered water, police and fire services critical)

# Ald. Mark Clear

Supports the alternate resolution – conditions on the grant would be subject to appropriation every year during the budget process and does not obligate future councils to contributions

Every year the Overture provides the Council with their annual plan and the Council bases their decision on the plan (what it is worth)

# Statements from CCOC Meeting 11/18/10 re: Legislative File No. 20248

#### Ald. Shiva Bidar-Sielaff

Concerned about governance

Citizen representation on the board

Some defined number of city appointments

Clarification of the level of transparency of board meetings and decisions

Staffing still her biggest issues

Concrete minimum benchmarks

Not in favor of general performance standards without specific measures

### Ald. Lauren Cnare

Alternate resolution provides another level of assurance – supports a contract

Need a shared conversation on vision for the arts in Madison

### Ald. Marsha Rummel

Not owning the Overture for \$1 is the only thing that was the different in the alternate resolution

Wants to wait for Prof. Undercofler's report back to Council

#### Ald. Tim Bruer

Doesn't want to place the city's core services in jeopardy

Spending caps are important

Develop a framework for maintenance schedule (similar to Monona Terrace)

Financial commitment that reinforces the liability associated with the agreement (specifically they need some more "skin in the game")

Transparency is an issue

Board collective needs to be diverse and representative of the city

#### Ald. Mike Verveer

Need for greater transparency (less use of closed meetings)

Prefers the Focus Model (public ownership of the Overture Center)

Staffing is a concern – 1 year is not acceptable (December 31, 2011 deadline)

# Ald. Satya Rhodes-Conway

Transitional model with public process

What do you get for \$2M - question needs to be answered

Council set priorities:

- 1. Sense of ownership
- 2. Fair & equitable treatment for all employees
- 3. Transparency (differs if public/private vs. private/private) and if private/private what information is public
- 4. Increased fundraising
- 5. Resident company participation
- 6. Achieve financial stability
- 7. Increase surrounding community support of the Overture Center

### Ald. Steve King

Need to foster a sense of ownership (the need and the value of the Overture has to be communicated)

Fair & equitable treatment of employees needs to be achieved