

## AGENDA #8

City of Madison, Wisconsin

---

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION	<b>PRESENTED:</b> June 16, 2010
TITLE: 9052-9062 Paddington Way – Planned Residential Development (P.R.D.), Modifications to Previously Approved Building Elevations. 1 <sup>st</sup> Ald. Dist. (18466)	<b>REFERRED:</b> <b>REREFERRED:</b> <b>REPORTED BACK:</b>
AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary	<b>ADOPTED:</b> <b>POF:</b>
DATED: June 16, 2010	<b>ID NUMBER:</b>

---

Members present were: Marsha Rummel, Todd Barnett, Bruce Woods, Richard Slayton, Ron Luskin, R. Richard Wagner, Mark Smith and Jay Ferm.

### **SUMMARY:**

At its meeting of June 16, 2010, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** of modifications to a townhouse unit's façade with a PRD located at 9052-9062 Paddington Way. Appearing on behalf of the project was Brian Stoddard. Stoddard presented the revised building elevations and landscape plan details in address of the Commissioner's previous comments. The elevations now have reduced exposure on the siding from 6" to 4" and adjustments to window trim and corner boards, as well as materials. The tree at the end of the drive has been replaced for vision clearance. Comments from the Commission were as follows:

- Suggest large deciduous trees rather than crabapples.
- Spring Grove Arbor Vitae would work also. You need to put it on the property line so it doesn't favor one side or the other.

### **ACTION:**

On a motion by Barnett, seconded by Luskin, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**. The motion was passed on a vote of (6-2) with Ferm voting no. The motion provided for the following:

- Plant material as recommended by our landscape architect, to go back to staff.
- EIFS face around double hung windows to be treated with trim board.

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 2, 2, 2, 4, 5 and 5.

**URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PROJECT RATING FOR: 9052-9062 Paddington Way**

	Site Plan	Architecture	Landscape Plan	Site Amenities, Lighting, Etc.	Signs	Circulation (Pedestrian, Vehicular)	Urban Context	Overall Rating
<b>Member Ratings</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	5
	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2

General Comments:

- It is a two.
- It's a mistake to allow builders to ignore approved plans.