

MacArthur Road, MacArthur Court, Larson Court, Sycamore Avenue Reconstruction - Sycamore Avenue Questionnaire Results & Write-In Concerns - September 2025				
	Do you support the proposed layout for Sycamore Avenue, which includes narrowing the street segment that currently lacks curb and gutter, along with potential modifications to on-street parking?This layout includes a 22' wide street width from face of curb to face of curb. This narrower street would create additional terrace space, promote traffic calming, and reduce the overall impervious surface area. The existing restricted parking on both sides of the street would be removed and no on-street parking would be allowed with this option.	Do you support the proposed layout for Sycamore Avenue, which includes narrowing the street segment that currently lacks curb and gutter, along with potential modifications to on-street parking? The layout includes a 26' wide street width from face of curb to face of curb. This narrower street would create some additional terrace space, promote traffic calming, and reduce the overall impervious surface area, but less so than Option A. The existing restricted parking on both sides of the street would be modified to on-street parking allowed on one side of the street only.	Do you support the proposed layout for Sycamore Avenue, which would involve slightly narrowing the street in a section that currently has no curb and gutter, along with changes to on-street parking? The layout includes a 30' wide street width from face of curb to face of curb. The existing restricted on-street parking on both sides would be modified to on-street parking allowed on both sides of the street (restrictions removed). Even if not all spaces are used at all times, allowing parking on both sides of the street can help slow traffic by making the street feel narrower to drivers. When vehicles are parked directly across from each other, there may not be enough space for two cars to pass at the same time, requiring one driver to yield, promoting traffic calming.	Do you have any other concerns related to this project that you would like to share with us? If so, please share below.
	Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response
1	Support	Can live with	Do not support	I don't want side walks on the off side of MacArthur or on MacArthur court
2	Support	Can live with	Do not support	I am opposed to adding the sidewalks for the odd side of MacArthur road/ MacArthur court.
3	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	Do not want sidewalk on odd number side of MACARTHUR RD
4	Support	Can live with	Do not support	
5	Support	Can live with	Do not support	Wider terraces for more big trees!
6				
7	Support	Support	Do not support	We would like curb side parking on MacArthur Rd for visitors to Eagle Harbor apartments. there is limited space for parking there and they use adjacent business lots, which is not preferred. There was mention of cutting off access to Eash Wash from Mac Arthur Rd or dead-ending it. We oppose that for business traffic.
8	Support	Can live with	Do not support	
9	Do not support	Can live with	Support	Survey fails to address plans for MacArthur Ct which include sidewalks on both sides of the court. There is no need to add sidewalk on either side as this court only has 4 houses with driveways and is low use. With little vehicle traffic, pedestrians have use of the road to walk dogs and kids. We do not support the addition of sidewalks to MacArthur Ct.
10	Can Live With	Can live with	Can live with	Not interested in sidewalk
11	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	
12	Support	Can live with	Do not support	No
13				
14	Support	Can live with	Do not support	I do not support adding sidewalk to both sides of MacArthur Rd or either side of MacArthur Ct. Extending the existing sidewalk on the southwest (even numbered) side of MacArthur Rd to the intersection with Sycamore should be sufficient given the amount and origin of foot traffic. This gives safe passage on a sidewalk all the way out of the neighborhood in both directions. Many people do not even use the existing sidewalk and instead walk in the road itself (majority of the people even live on the side of MacArthur that has the sidewalk), and so I do not feel adding additional sidewalk will change their behavior. For MacArthur Ct, having any side walks (on either side of the street) is unnecessary given the low vehicle traffic given it services only four houses, and traffic speeds are very low given short length of street and the dead end. Pedestrian traffic is very safe here in the existing state without any sidewalks. In addition if the city has an initiative for greener streets, adding so much additional impermeable surface that increases runoff to the lakes seems counterintuitive to this goal.
15	Support	Can live with	Do not support	please make roads as narrow as possible and increase green space. use hourglass style narrowing to help calm traffic to one land at strategic points along ALL roads being reconstructed. MacArthur Court NEEDS to be NO overnight parking
16	Support	Can live with	Do not support	please make roads as narrow as possible and increase green space. use hourglass style narrowing to help calm traffic to one land at strategic points along ALL roads being reconstructed.
17	Support	Can live with	Do not support	please make roads as narrow as possible and increase green space. use hourglass style narrowing to help calm traffic to one land at strategic points along ALL roads being reconstructed.
18	Support	Can live with	Do not support	please make roads as narrow as possible and increase green space. use hourglass style narrowing to help calm traffic to one land at strategic points along ALL roads being reconstructed.
19	Support	Can live with	Do not support	would like more green space and as little roadway as possible. Also requesting traffic calming "hourglass" type narrowing at specific spots on all roads to cause one car traffic calming.
20	Can Live With	Support	Support	
21	Can Live With	Can live with	Can live with	
22	Do not support	Do not support	Support	
23	Support	Can live with	Do not support	More pavement is neither necessary, prudent or in line with current best practice
24				
25	Do not support	Can live with	Support	
26	Do not support	Do not support	Do not support	Stearns is zoned light industrial. Streets re-do must reflect the use.
27	Support	Can live with	Do not support	The bottleneck created by parked cars is a problem, especially in winter. The parking restrictions have helped, but I strongly prefer no parking at all on Sycamore.
28	Can Live With	Support	Can live with	
29	Support	Can live with	Can live with	
30	Do not support	Support	Can live with	Drivers speed down Sycamore on their way to Mendota. I'd like to see speed humps to slow the traffic. (I like the ones the city put in on Dean. Very nice.)
31	Do not support	Do not support	Can live with	Traffic speed is an issue on this street, however parking is also fairly limited. I'd be in favor of an option to have the 30 foot road but keep the restricted, no overnight parking in effect for the 3800 block of Sycamore.
32				
33	Can Live With	Support	Can live with	
34	Support	Do not support	Do not support	I do not support a sidewalk or on street parking on Mcharther Ct
35	Can Live With	Can live with	Do not support	
36				
37	Support	Support	Can live with	
38	Can Live With	Can live with	Can live with	
39	Support	Do not support	Do not support	Desire street to be as narrow as possible and also further street narrowing to single lane to promote slower traffic movement. More green space and less non permeable surfaces. I even support removing sidewalk on one side.
40	Can Live With	Support	Support	Do not want to lose the trees in our front yard due to sidewalk being moved.
41	Support	Support	Support	Very concerned about accessibility to my house by vehicle during construction
42	Can Live With	Do not support	Do not support	do not support any overnight street parking. It would be used by the apartment complex behind us as it was before the current restrictions. There are multiple families living in the townhouses that are designed and zoned for one. Six families in one currently. Would like to talk to someone to figure out best way for driveway apron to be done. Also why narrow unimproved section when it's already narrower than current curb section? I am assuming the street will be lowered from current elevation. When my family moved in this was a dead end street in the Town of Burke and am pretty sure that Sycamore is just many layers of tar and pea gravel built up over the years.
43	Support	Can live with	Do not support	No action street parking or limited on street parking is something that out street already voted in favor of in the past hence having limited parking per the current signage. Please keep no or limited on street parking otherwise our street gets flooded as a ln apartment complex parking service.