

**Kerr, Julia**

**From:** Aaron Crandall [aaron.crandall@yahoo.com]  
**To:** Kerr, Julia  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Truman Olson ROTC Ctr  
**Attachments:**

**Sent:** Tue 6/24/2008 8:27 AM


Julia,

I just want to express my continued support for Porchlight's proposal on this site. I feel their proposal was a well balanced bid consisting of the necessary components to making it a successful project in our district. There would be significant improvement to the south side simply by replacing the current structure & there continues to be need for affordable housing in Madison.

Thank you.

Aaron Crandall  
108 Proudfit St  
294-9505

Please visit [www.active.com/donate/act6ride/crandall](http://www.active.com/donate/act6ride/crandall) to sponsor my bicycle ride in Aug to benefit the AIDS Network.

 You replied on 6/30/2008 10:18 AM.

**Kerr, Julia**

**From:** Sara Richards [leftpaintedtoenail@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Thu 6/19/2008 10:47 AM

**To:** Kerr, Julia

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Truman Olson and CDA

**Attachments:**

Julia,

I wanted to be sure I understand what's going on with the CDA and the Truman Olson site. What I picked up from the meeting I attended is that the subcommittee has charged city staff to develop 2 plans, one for homelessness and one for economic development. What is not clear to me is when these plans will be presented to the subcommittee and when the subcommittee will make a recommendation.

I am interested in speaking on behalf of the Porchlight proposal and would appreciate your guidance with respect to when such speaking would have the most impact.

Thanks in advance for your guidance.

Sara Richards  
710 Spruce  
53715

---

Earn cashback on your purchases with Live Search - the search that pays you back! [Learn More](#)

**Kerr, Julia**

---

**From:** Carol Medaris [cwmedaris@tds.net] **Sent:** Mon 6/9/2008 11:32 PM  
**To:** Kerr, Julia  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** In support of Porchlight at the Truman Olson ARC  
**Attachments:**

Dear Julia:

I meant to contact you soon after the March 26th meeting, and hope it is not too late to urge your support for the Porchlight development proposal for 24 units of housing for disabled adults and relocation of Safe Haven at the Truman Olson ARC property. It seems to me a very well-thought-out plan for a population that needs all the support the city can provide.

The central location with nearby access to transportation seems to me to be particularly appropriate for this use. Also, the placement of the two buildings with the city's proposed mixed use for the rest of the property acknowledges the need some in the community expressed for separation of this population from nearby residential properties while retaining a sense of being part of the community.

I live on the south side, but my home is not in the immediate neighborhood. After the meeting I made a point of speaking to a few neighbors on Cedar Street, and they expressed their satisfaction with Porchlight's presentation and said that their concerns had all been addressed. (I hope some of them have contacted you, as well.) I do shop at Copp's which would be the neighborhood grocery for the residents of Porchlight's proposed housing as well as mine.

I also like the design of the proposed units, with the common area in the middle of the development for outdoor living -- again, somewhat separate from nearby residences. This latter would seem to answer some of the concerns expressed about the Brooks Street residence. It is also the result of the special opportunity presented by this property to create attractive living spaces from scratch specifically for the homeless population and their service providers, instead of trying to fit them into a property originally developed with another use in mind.

It seems altogether ideal to me, and I hope you will support this use of the property.

Sincerely,

Carol Medaris  
633 South Shore Drive  
Madison, 53715

251-2757

**Kerr, Julia**

---

**From:** Cindy Snyder [cssnyder@charter.net]

**Sent:** Mon 6/9/2008 8:57 PM

**To:** Kerr, Julia

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Porchlight Proposal

**Attachments:**

Dear Julia,

I for one am not interested in having Porchlight on Park St. I feel that some think poor people should be crowded together. I thought Park was to be rejuvenated with diversity not so low as to detract business and excitement. I do like the idea of Porchlight just not there. I'm not able to come to the meeting. Keep up the good work!

Sincerely, Cindy Snyder

**Kerr, Julia**

**From:** Bob Stoffs [bstoffs@tds.net]  
**To:** Kerr, Julia  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Truman Olson Site  
**Attachments:**

**Sent:** Mon 6/9/2008 6:18 PM

Hi Julia,

This is a little belated, but I wanted to let you know my feelings about potential users of the Truman Olson site. I attended the March presentation by the four groups interested in the site.

I think they are all positive organizations, but the two schools interested in using the existing building and grounds don't seem to be the best use. There is a fair amount of space and I like the idea of mixing the use between commercial and non-profits. In particular, the Porchlight proposal seemed to be well considered and designed and a good use of the space. Since it only takes a small amount of the area there would still be room for other development.

A number of neighbors who did not attend the presentation will probably have concerns about having "homeless" individuals in the area. If the Porchlight proposal is accepted it would probably be useful to have some additional explanation of the project in the Bay Creek Bulletin and at some association meetings to educate and answer these concerns.

Thanks for your work on this.

Bob Stoffs  
914 Lawrence St.  
255-1074

**Kerr, Julia**

---

**From:** Joanne Pedder [jmpedder@charter.net]  
**To:** Kerr, Julia  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Truman Olson sight  
**Attachments:**

**Sent:** Mon 6/9/2008 3:41 PM

Julia Kerr,

I am unable to attend the meeting at noon tomorrow, but I am very much against them using the building for the homeless. We have more than enough problems in this neighborhood.

Joanne Pedder

940 West Shore Drive

Madison, WI 53715

jmpedder@charter.net

**Kerr, Julia**

**From:** Daina Zemliauskas-Juozevicius [auradain@tds.net]  
**To:** Kerr, Julia  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Park St. and Porchlight  
**Attachments:**

**Sent:** Mon 6/9/2008 3:06 PM

Hi Julia,

I just learned of tomorrow's meeting regarding the Porchlight proposal for the Truman site (Army Reserve) on Park St. I am absolutely begging you to reconsider if you are in favor of using this sight for a homeless shelter. The surrounding neighborhood already has an alarming number of half way houses and city subsidized housing (Romnes Apartments) and the result is a saturation of individuals who have a right to be here, but can be downright scary at times. I see this on a daily basis, as I live on South Shore Drive, a popular walking street, and not far from where a neighbor was murdered in January. I googled my zip code (53715, less than a mile from the Truman site) with half way houses and was shocked to see just how many apartments are dedicated to housing those who are ex offenders of sex crimes, drug abuse, etc. Just now when Brittingham Park seems to be gaining an edge for the legal use and recreation by law abiding citizens, it would be a shame to create a magnet for the homeless.

Sorry, I used to be quite tolerant of this particular population, but working in the state capitol (with the homeless piled up in the basement all day)and watching the types of people who walk by my house has put my liberal attitude well behind concern for the safety of my family and neighbors.

Please, Julia, please, vote against using this land for any kind of homeless shelter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daina Juozevicius  
701 South Shore Drive  
Madison 53715  
608-260-8971

**Kerr, Julia**

---

**From:** Clarence Cameron [clarowl@charter.net]  
**To:** Kerr, Julia  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** 633 Cedar Street  
**Attachments:**

**Sent:** Mon 6/9/2008 11:11 AM

Hello, Julia,

Bob and I have no real problem with Porchlight going into the Army Reserve Center. However, since our kitchen bay window looks out in that direction, we are only hoping that the drug trafficking, from up the hill on Park Street, does not flow downhill. From all we have heard, Porchlight is a tightly-run organization. The Park Street corridor already has its share of problems, so we can only hope Porchlight will become an asset rather than another liability. Thank you.

Regards,  
Clarence Cameron



**Kerr, Julia**

**From:** Lindsey Lee [cargocoffee@yahoo.com]  
**To:** Kerr, Julia  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Thoughts on Turman-Olson meeting  
**Attachments:**

**Sent:** Mon 4/7/2008 3:46 PM

Hi Julia,

Here are my quick thoughts on the Truman-Olson meeting. Sorry this e-mail is so late...

- 1) I thought the only fully developed proposal, the one offered by Porchlight, was quite good. The concepts it offered could also be used by other competing entities.
- 2) I appreciated that the Porchlight proposal incorporated ideas from the Wingra BUILD study including the need for an extension of Cedar Street into the triangle. I also liked that the proposal did not use the site solely for a social services purpose but instead envisioned a mixed use for the site. It is my opinion that having commercial space (hopefully with some retail) at the front of the site would be best for the economic health of South Park Street. I liked how the Porchlight concept uses this commercial space and parking to screen their buildings which are situated near the back of the site.
- 3) I think it will be critical that any plan has the support of Eric Schwartz from Sara Investments. He saw value in this stretch of Park Street and invested in it. That is what we want. If Eric thinks a plan is workable then I believe many other people will see that as a healthy sign. After all, he has a real stake on the table.
- 4) As you know I live and work on Williamson Street which has way more than its share of social services that serve troubled populations. This has been true for decades. Tellurian just doubled their footprint right across the street from the Roman Candle pizzeria. Williamson Street has also greatly improved over the past fifteen years. This tells me that if there is the right balance and it is done right we can have our cake and eat it too. We can do "good" and still do "well."
- 5) If there is a good compromise to be had it would also keep the process from being dragged out and potentially become divisive. Long dragged out processes saps our civic energies. On the other hand, getting it done sends a great "can do" message.

Well those are my thoughts.

Hope all is well!

Lindsey

---

You rock. That's why Blockbuster's offering you [one month of Blockbuster Total Access](#), No Cost.