From:	tom
То:	All Alders
Subject:	from your neighbors, pls understand
Date:	Monday, June 16, 2025 7:10:15 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

#### From:

# Lorne Hillier

"Housing Forward Madison" The Mayor is proposing a change in zoning to make it easier to divide lots to add a second home, or build duplexes in residential neighborhoods without a conditional use permit.. This is purportedly to reduce the cost of homes, I suppose by increasing the quantity.

I think they might be misguided in thinking that allowing two homes on the larger lots will reduce housing costs at all. More likely, it will just facilitate the demolition of older, modest homes, so two, half million dollar houses could be built. In my opinion, a better direction to go would be taking steps to encourage condo construction. I seems like every new development with urban in-fill is an apartment building with very few new condos in Madison. There are folks that would like to transition from a larger house and yard to a condo, if that were an option in the neighborhood. The City could also encourage construction of "starter homes", similar to some of the Habitat homes on the north side. The starter homes in our neighborhood are modest, two or three bedroom, 1200 sq ft or less, and with one bath or 1 1/2 baths. Contractor/developers need a push to build these because there's less profit. Interested in your thoughts.

We don't like your plan.

#### Tom,

Protect the 1st amendment, stop the censorship Google, FB, Twitter, MSM!

You don't often get email from nic13reich@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

#### Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Please attach this written comment to agenda item 88736 for the June 17th Common Council meeting:

Hello Alders,

I'm hoping you all will support the mayor's new Housing Forward initiatives and advocate for more policies that increase density and build more homes.

Madison's near east side is such a vibrant and special place to live because it has the "missing middle" medium-density housing that these policies allow. Every district in Madison should allow the types of housing that are prevalent on the isthmus. Dense housing creates strong communities and supports a wide variety of local businesses.

We need this density to prevent the cost of housing from skyrocketing any further. I'm very fortunate that I could afford to become a homeowner, but most other young people are not so lucky. Ever-increasing rents force people to move far away from where they work, hurt their wallets, and even make some homeless.

Please look into going further than the current proposals. 3- and 4-unit housing should be legal everywhere, too. Simplify the zoning code to allow for more mixed-uses. (Every neighborhood should be allowed to have a corner-coffee shop.) Give landowners more freedom to do what they want with their properties: to house more people, create more businesses, and build a better city.

Nicholas Reichert 617 E Dayton St Unit B

From:	<u>Ryan Clark</u>
То:	All Alders
Subject:	2025 Housing Forward Proposals
Date:	Monday, July 14, 2025 8:24:28 AM

You don't often get email from ryan.lincoln.clark@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

#### Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

As a Madison resident and homeowner, I would like to voice my support for the Housing Forward proposals. I appreciate the efforts to enable homeownership for more people in the city in a time when most recent development have been focused on luxury apartments.

Thank you, Ryan Clark Recipient: All Alders:

Monday, June 30, 2025 – 6:54pm

Samuel Deetz he/him 2191 S Wallace St Wichita, Kansas. 67218 Yes, by email. <u>samueldeetz@gmail.com</u> All Alders Housing Forward Dear Alders,

I would like to express my support for continuing the Housing Forward policies. As part of that, I hope the city would consider removing minimum parking mandates which are the single largest factor preventing more housing construction. While I no longer live in the city, a couple of my friends do and keep me well informed of how difficult it is trying to find housing. My brother moved to Fitchburg in February in order to find a reasonably affordable apartment. This should not be necessary. It must be easier to find housing that is attainable for all income levels and removing all minimum parking mandates is the most important step in getting there. There are a growing number of cities that have done this including La Crosse, Fitchburg, West Allis, and Franklin. I hope you will consider making this change, which will also help to address sustainability, walkability, and numerous other city goals.

Thank you, Samuel Deetz Hi Liz,

Can you please add this public comment to legistar?

Thank you! Regina

From: Tim Gruber <timgruber608@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 8, 2025 10:25 AM
To: Vidaver, Regina <district5@cityofmadison.com>
Subject: Support for Housing Forward Proposals

You don't often get email from timgruber608@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Alder Vidaver,

I am in support of all three ordinance changes in the Housing Forward proposal. The proposals are one of many needed ways to help keep housing affordable in Madison. I would be happy to speak in support of the proposals at a council meeting if it would be helpful.

Thank you for your support of the proposals.

Tim

Recipient: All Alders:

Monday, July 14, 2025 - 4:30pm

Sandy Kohn she/her 2002 Waunona Way

Madison, Wisconsin. 53713 Yes, by phone. 6082397571 anytime All Alders housing forward proposals I have read the material and participate in the zoom meeting. I also contacted my alderperson prior to the zoom meeting and I don't think he did attended the meeting nor did he contact me in response to my call. In past conversations with Ald. Knox, he implied that he thought the proposal to allow duplexes and twin houses in all areas was not a good solution given it would change the character of the neighborhoods etc. I 100% agree that it would and have seen some of it and it is not at all attractive. In our area, density was an issue some years ago and efforts to address it have been made. This proposal adds to density, less surface area for drainage, and will no doubt increase already too high and hurtful taxes impacting everyone in the area. During the zoom meeting someone advocated for rent control but we were told that was not possible. I strongly recommend that advocating for rent control be at least tried BEFORE approving the housing forward proposal. In earlier years my husband and I rented in Madison until we could later afford to purchase a home. Lets make rentals affordable for the teachers, firefighters, bus drivers, baristas, and nurses so they can save and then eventually purchase a home. People on that zoom meeting who asked about duplexes etc. were asking about renting them and I suspect they would likely ask ongoing rental rates. And, given the housing market, what is going to make twin houses affordable? So, please, please consider rent control or alternative proposals to build affordable housing that does not disrupt and change current neighborhoods.

From:	Jonathan Mertzig
To:	<u>Tishler, Bill</u>
Cc:	All Alders
Subject:	Support for Housing Initiatives at Common Council this week
Date:	Sunday, July 13, 2025 5:03:57 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from jmmertzig@uwalumni.com. Learn why this is important

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear Alder Tishler and members of the Common Council,

I am writing to express my wholehearted support for the package of zoning reforms that will be considered at this week's Common Council meeting, specifically, these three measures:

- Facilitating "deep residential lots," often known as "flag lots" [Legistar #88735]
- Allowing duplexes throughout residential districts [#88736]
- Permitting more construction of apartments "by right" in our downtown and denser districts [#88737]

These are sensible incremental improvements to our zoning policies that will increase opportunities for ownership in established neighborhoods, add density where services like transit can be more effectively provided, and allow for more diverse "missing middle" housing stock that meets the needs of many different types of residents. I actually would like to see the city adopt more ambitious reforms--such as allowing larger multiplex homes, not just duplexes, in more places--but this set of proposals is a great start.

Please vote in favor of these policies so that more folks can call Madison their home.

Thank You, Jonathan Mertzig

Midvale Heights / District 11 resident jmmertzig@uwalumni.com

Some people who received this message don't often get email from melindamorang@gmail.com. <u>Learn why this is</u> <u>important</u>

#### Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear alders,

Thank you for the work you do to make Madison run so well. I've met several of you at different events around town, and it impresses me so much that we have such a wonderful collection of dedicated people who also have day jobs who choose to devote their time and energies to making Madison the great city that it is.

Because Madison is a great city, it means we have a shortage of housing, and the problem isn't going to get better unless we can find more ways to increase available housing. I strongly support the three proposals on the agenda for the June 15th meeting.

Allowing duplexes across the city and allowing large lots to be split into flag lots with additional structures is an excellent way to increase density without substantially altering the character of our existing neighborhoods. I can definitely understand why people may feel challenged by all the large new apartment buildings going up, so I think this gentler way of increasing density across all our neighborhoods is much easier to swallow and very effective. It makes sense to have this as an option rather than outright forbidden.

But, we also still need large new apartment buildings to realistically accommodate a growing population without resorting to sprawl, so I'm also in favor of making the process for approving these projects easier.

Please support the three proposals this Tuesday, and thank you again for your service as alders.

Melinda Morang District 6

From:	Brady P
To:	All Alders
Subject:	Housing Forward Proposals (hurray!)
Date:	Tuesday, July 15, 2025 9:15:37 AM

You don't often get email from bradypelkey@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear local alders,

Hi, my name is Brady. I moved to Madison 3 years ago to be with my partner who grew up here, and I'm now a UW Health employee. I don't know all the details of urban planning, but I care about this city's flourishing, and I also care about my own rent. So I \*strongly\* and enthusiastically support all measures that will expand local housing availability.

Allowing more duplexes, flag lots, and medium housing developments sounds to me like an amazing first step. Thank you for supporting agenda items 6, 7, and 8 at the Common Council meeting tonight! I genuinely do appreciate it a lot.

Thanks,

Brady Pelkey

From:	<u>Mary Pustejovsky</u>
To:	All Alders
Subject:	Housing Forward
Date:	Friday, July 11, 2025 8:30:32 AM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from darktownstrutter@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

#### Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

#### Alders,

Thank you for your service to the city. I am writing to express my full support of the Housing Forward proposals going to council next week. I have three children and I would love for them to be able to stay in Madison as they become adults. With the occupancy rate for rentals so low and housing prices continuing to rise, I worry that they will not be able to stay (if they want to). These proposals are the common-sense next step in allowing more density in our neighborhoods that allow for more options at any life stage. I would have loved to see a proposal for fourplexes by right but duplexes are good too. I realize these are not magic bullets for the housing crisis we face, but they are an important step forward.

Thank you for your time.

Mary Pustejovsky District 11

From:	Eric Richards
То:	All Alders
Subject:	Agenda comments RE: Common Council - July 15, 2025
Date:	Monday, July 14, 2025 8:24:26 AM

You don't often get email from erichrichards@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

#### Hello Alders,

As a Madison resident and homeowner, I would like to state my support for the three <u>2025 Housing Forward Proposals</u> (#88736, #88735, and #88737). These are all excellent ways to incrementally improve the Madison housing situation, and I am excited that there are so many co-sponsors supporting this initiative.

Thanks, Eric Richards (he/him) 419-376-4674 EricHRichards@gmail.com

From:	srosenblumlarson@gmail.com
To:	All Alders
Subject:	Housing Proposals
Date:	Thursday, July 10, 2025 7:56:37 AM

[You don't often get email from srosenblumlarson@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at <u>https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification</u>]

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hi,

I am writing to urge you strongly to vote in favor of the housing forward proposals that would rezone and simplify building in Madison. We are in desperate need of increased housing stock to avoid worsening the affordability crisis in our city. These changes would be low cost ways to add housing quickly. I am strongly in favor of all three proposals: allowing duplexes or twin homes, allowing lot splitting, and simplifying permits for small and medium sized housing developments downtown.

Thank you,

Simon

Sent from my iPhone

You don't often get email from foxrutherford@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hi all,

I'm really excited about the proposals for increased housing density in Madison! I remember Alder Evers opposed my parents building an apartment above their garage on Harrison and Vilas back in 2018 so I'm particularly proud of him for coming around to the future!

Please continue to champion policies that will make Madison more affordable for everyone!

Thank you,

Natalie Rutherford 1114 Hickory St, Madison, WI 53715

From: To: Cc:	SANDRA E WARD All Alders Grace Hasler; president@capitolneighborhoods.org; Verveer, Michael; Jonathan Cooper; Sabine Droste; patricia morris; stphnsmith@aol.com; laurieegre@gmail.com; Steve Rubin; Anne Monks; Jeffrey Wills; dory christensen; Paul Quinn; Michael Friedman; Pete Ostlind; district6@cityofmadiosn.com; Ochowicz, William; giannisaint@hotmail.com
Subject:	Density proposals 88735 88736 88737 on July 15 agenda
Date:	Friday, July 11, 2025 4:15:06 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from sward@wisc.edu. Learn why this is important

#### Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

#### Dear Alders,

The Tree Preservation and Planting Committee (TPPC) is a standing committee of Capitol Neighborhoods Inc (CNI). The TPPC aims to support a healthy, vibrant urban landscape by advocating for the preservation and care of the existing and future tree canopy in Madison.

Our warming climate is a threat to the well-being of all of us regardless of age, demographics or ethnicity. We are therefore concerned that the 3 density proposals before you (88735, 88736, and 88737) are a potential threat to the city's tree canopy.

The committee realizes the critical need for housing in Madison. We also believe that density and the urban landscape and in particular mature canopy trees are vital to placemaking (giving Madison a unique and welcoming place for all). Mature trees are key to supporting healthy air, reducing water runoff, lowering urban temperatures as well as many other known benefits.

As you address the recommended zoning changes, we ask that you consider two issues of concern: the increase in impermeable surfaces as additional units are built on existing land and the loss of mature trees on private property as ADUs and division of properties is increased. We urge you to contemplate ways to encourage owners to save trees while also building more housing. Yes, support more density, but do so with an eye to protecting the tree canopy.

Please consider:

- Locating driveways for ADU's in a manner to avoid removal of canopy trees (say above a certain diameter)
- Requiring maximum lot coverage areas for new ADU lots that allow areas large enough for a canopy tree
- Requiring lot line setbacks for ADU's (With the proposed zoning changes much rear yard space will be gone. What is left for trees on the ADU lot?)
- Additional tree planting requirements in exchanges for subdividing a lot

Thank you for your attention,

Sandra Ward and Grace Hasler

**Co-chairs TPPC** 

Some people who received this message don't often get email from cweinhold@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

I applaud the City's Housing Forward proposals. However, they continue to ignore the ELEPHANTS IN THE ROOM:

**RENT VERSUS OWN**: Nearly 90% of the housing units built in 2021-2024 were RENTAL units. That's up from 75% over the four years prior. This is an existential question: does Madison want roots or transience?

**COST OF CONSTRUCTION**: Construction skyrocketed in 2020. Even if you gave a free piece of land to a median-income household, they could not afford to build a single-family house on it. Their only path to ownership is to build a small multi-tenant and rent out the extra units.

I've been analyzing City **Building Permits** and there's a lot of interesting trends there. I've attached a PDF with some that Alders might be interested in. If you have any questions, feel free to email me.

-Craig Weinhold cweinhold@gmail.com

# Madison Housing Insights from building permits in four graphs

analysis 7-14-2025 by Craig Weinhold cweinhold@gmail.com





### Housing construction: Rent versus Own



owner-occupied multi-tenant condos

single family homes

2024

# Housing Units permitted

4,000

rental units

ADUs

## Breakdown of Owned properties + ADU detail



Housing Units permitted

# **Observations / Takeaways**

Construction costs surged with the pandemic but are beyond the City's control.

Owner-occupied single-family housing is now increasingly out-of-reach for the average household.

Owner-occupied multi-tenant is a viable way to achieve home ownership (including single family homes w/ADUs)

But don't get too excited about ADUs. High construction costs (\$135-300k) have limited their appeal.

Condo and townhouse projects all-but-disappeared with the 2008 recession. The small handful of recent projects have been luxury with construction costs of \$300-400k and sale price of \$500-750k (e.g., Barracuda on Henry St).

#### Author's suggestions for the City:

- Incentivize development of **non-luxury condos & townhouses** over rentals.
- Incentivize owner-occupied multi-tenant development, including ADU's.
- Define a class of **small property owners** that requires non-professional local ownership of no more than 8-12 housing units. Whenever the city wants to strike out an "owner-occupied" clause from zoning, consider rewriting it to apply to this "small property owners" class instead.
- Consider revisiting **short-term rental rules**. Homeowners would be more willing to embark on ADU's and multi-tenant remodeling if there was a potential for short-term rentals.