

## AGENDA # 5

City of Madison, Wisconsin

---

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION	<b>PRESENTED:</b> March 17, 2010
TITLE: 2222 South Park Street – Modifications to Previously Approved Plans for The Urban League/Public Library Building in UDD No. 7. 14 <sup>th</sup> Ald. Dist. (11468)	<b>REFERRED:</b> <b>REREFERRED:</b> <b>REPORTED BACK:</b>
AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary	<b>ADOPTED:</b> <b>POF:</b>
DATED: March 17, 2010	<b>ID NUMBER:</b>

---

Members present were: Bruce Woods, Marsha Rummel, John Harrington, Richard Slayton, Dawn O’Kroley, Jay Ferm, Mark Smith, Todd Barnett, Richard Wagner.

### **SUMMARY:**

At its meeting of March 17, 2010, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** for modifications to previously approved plans located at 2222 South Park Street. Appearing on behalf of the project were Steve Harms, representing Tri-North Builders; and Edward Lee, representing The Urban League of Greater Madison. Harms displayed the original version of the building façade treatment utilizing differential coloration for the Urban League portion of the building versus the library portion of the building in juxtaposition with the building as constructed featuring a departure from the approved color schemes. He noted discussions with both the Urban League and library in support of the material color departure as constructed as contained within the application packet. He further noted his request to maintain the materials and colors as constructed. Following his testimony the Commission noted its preference to maintain the material color packet as originally approved, at the same time its reluctance to support.

### **ACTION:**

On a motion by Wagner, seconded by O’Kroley, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**. The motion was passed on a vote of (6-2) with Slayton and Ferm voting no.

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 3, 5 and 6.

**URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PROJECT RATING FOR: 222 South Park Street**

	Site Plan	Architecture	Landscape Plan	Site Amenities, Lighting, Etc.	Signs	Circulation (Pedestrian, Vehicular)	Urban Context	Overall Rating
<b>Member Ratings</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

General Comments:

- Still wish it was a green band.
- OK, but this should never have happened.
- By approving as-built divergent from approved, we set a precedent that UDC requirements shall be ignored.
- Not happy with this.