

Firchow, Kevin

From: Sally Miley [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2016 1:04 PM
To: Firchow, Kevin
Subject: Re: David Sheriff and Mary Morgan Residence

Yes. Thank you.

Exhibit 5, page 74, picture show our stormwater draining onto their property. Has been corrected. I spoke to Mr. Lackore about this some time ago and he said he would correct the exhibit.

Also, the various site plans still show our fence encroaching. Fence was moved in May. Mr. Lackore is aware of this as are owners. So no encroachment and permissive use agreement not needed.

Sally

On 21 Nov 2016, at 12:55 PM, Firchow, Kevin <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sally - Did you want me to provide these (or any of the other emails to PC tonight)? Please confirm.
Thanks.

Kevin

From: Sally Miley [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2016 11:33 AM
To: Firchow, Kevin; Quamme, Jeffrey
Subject: Fwd: David Sheriff and Mary Morgan Residence

In case stormwater issue comes up :

Sally

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Robert Lackore" <[REDACTED]>
Date: 26 October 2016 at 11:57:23 AM GMT-5
To: "Sally Miley" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "Mary Morgan \{(16026)\}" <[REDACTED]>, "David Sheriff \{(16026)\}" <[REDACTED]>, "William F. White" <[REDACTED]>, "Erik Sorensen \{(MSA)\}" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: David Sheriff and Mary Morgan Residence
Reply-To: [REDACTED]

Mrs. Miley,

I appreciate your assistance in allowing Eric Sorensen, MSA Professional Services, to enter your property and inspect the dry-well/bubbler system you installed to mitigate the run-off from your roof. Erik is satisfied that any over-flow from the system that enters the drainage swale on the Morgan-Sheriff property will be low-velocity and non-erosive. The storm-water management plan that Erik prepared will be able to safely accept any over-flow and protect both properties.

Thank you.

--
Robert L. Lackore
Bouril Design Studio, LLC
[REDACTED] Odana Road Suite 2
Madison WI 53719
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Sally Miley [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:22 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: David Sheriff and Mary Morgan Residence

Thank you.

Sally Miley

On Oct 25, 2016, at 1:06 PM, Robert Lackore [REDACTED] wrote:

Mrs. Miley,

Erik Sorensen, MSA Professional Services, will be contacting you to request an opportunity to visually inspect the current condition. I appreciate your bringing this matter to our attention.

Thank you.

--
Robert L. Lackore
Bouril Design Studio, LLC
[REDACTED] Odana Road Suite 2
Madison WI 53719
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Sally Miley [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:47 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] M [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: David Sheriff and Mary Morgan Residence

Mr. Lackore,

Thank you in advance for correcting Exhibit 5 in the stormwater management plan for 5404 Lake Mendota Drive. This exhibit currently has two photos of our property at 5400 Lake Mendota Drive. These photos were taken in August of 2015 and show that our property discharges rainwater onto 5404 Lake Mendota Drive. They are no

longer accurate. The discharge shown in both photos was redirected several months ago.

Please let us know if you or MSA have any questions.

Sally Miley

November 21 2016

MEMO

To: Ken Opin and members, Plan Commission
Matt Tucker, Zoning Admin

From: Herman Felstehausen, Merrill Springs Rd
Spring Harbor neighborhood

Subj: Major concerns regarding 5404 Lake Mendota Dr

Unfortunately due to last minute obligations, I am unable to attend today's hearing. But I would like to address the substantial impact the proposed residence at 5404 Lake Mendota Dr will have on the scenic character and quality of the Lake Mendota/Spring Harbor shoreline.

The broad 70' wide, 94' long, 2-story proposed dwelling on a 66' frontage lot, slightly pie shaped, will seriously impair the scenic character of one of Lake Mendota's last wide, flat, open beach fronts. More than 30 neighbors have expressed their concern—not just for this one structure, but for the long-term future of a unique section of Madison's shoreline. (See Don Sanford's history: On Fourth Lake).

The proposed 5404 dwelling is essentially full-lot-width coverage—7-foot side yards that are intruded upon by wide roof overhangs and 4-foot air conditioning, showering, and entrance appendages. This amount of obstruction to an already massive front face should not be permitted.

It is within Plan Commission authority to take natural and scenic quality and character of lake frontage into account when reviewing conditional use applications such as the 5404 case. You can reference Wisconsin courts: [Wisconsin lakeshore land that was once] "Swamps and wetlands [remains] a necessary part of the ecological creation and now, even to the uninitiated, possess their own beauty in nature. Is the ownership of a parcel of land so absolute that man can change its nature to suit any of his purposes?" Just v Marinette Co 1972.

I urge you in the strongest terms to make adjustments in the shape of the footprint of the proposed 5404 Lake Mendota Dr residence.

Sincerely, Herman Felstehausen