

Bradley J. Murphy Planning Division 215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard P.O. Box 2985 ment Madisən, WI 53701-2985 (608) 266-4635

Department of Planning & Community & Economic Development Planning/Neighborhood Preservation & Inspection/Economic & Community Development Mark A. Olinger, Director

PRELIMINARY PLAT FINAL PLAT LOT DIVISION/CSM CONDITIONAL USE DEMOLITION PERCONDIC	2117 South Stoughton Road Operation of an Automobile Accessory Store with Automobile Sales McAllen Investments Limited Partnership/David Nelsen - Ruedebusch Development and Construction
OTHER	PLANNING DIVISION CONTACT: Tim Parks RETURN COMMENTS BY: 09 April 2009 PLEASE ALSO EMAIL OR FAX ANY COMMENTS TO THE APPLICANT: Applicant E-mail: dave@ruedebush.com Fax: 242-2032 Date Submitted: 04 March 2009 Plan Commission: 20 April 2009 Date Circulated: 06 March 2009 Common Council:
ZONING FIRE DEPARTMENT PARKS DIVISION TRAFFIC ENG. CITY ENG DAILEY CITY ENG PEDERSON WATER UTILITY CDBG - CONSTANS REAL ESTATE - EKOLA	DISABILITY RIGHTS POLICE DEPT THURBER CITY ASSESSOR -M. RICHARDS MADISON METRO - SOBOTA MMSD BOARD, C/O SUPT. PUBLIC HEALTH - SCHLENKER NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATION ALD COMPOND DIST. MADISON GAS & SLECTRIC ALLIANT ENERG** A T & T T D S MT. VERNON TELE
Ordinance; OR your agency's	schedule set in Chapter 16.23(5)(b)2; 16.23(5)(3)3; or Chapter 28, City of Madison comments cannot be considered prior to action.
One copy for your files; one of somments.	opy for file of appropriate telephone company; PLEASE RETURN one copy with join
questions or comments, conta	
The above is located within o Division Office for review. If	r near the limits of your neighborhood organization. A copy is on file in the Planning you have any questions or comments, contact our office at 266-4635.
RETURN COMMENTS TO: DEVELOP	PLANNING DIVISION, DEPT. OF PLANNING & COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC MENT, ROOM LL100 MMB, 215 MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. BLVD.

NO COMMENTS / YOUR COMMENTS: Ih, WINT OF DESENTED is not	
acceptable, I have Broken with the applicant	
about the concurse. I hope to sheet were	
the your Attention	
a 11 (4) 1 Why Talling At he White L Vary NIVIII	
Stockapted Telen rest the standard on a caught	9
Their well not meet the standard of But Heat Whomas	11
in the NIII is the state of the	₹
In use agen approval - I telyda it be for a filling	u I رر
at Mary At an Dejaks and that the Conditioned were good hite the	
\23\2009 I2:54 FAX 6082210743 FIRST WEBER CGR	∕ε0 <u>∎</u>
	a coptable, I have begint as presented is not a coptable, I have begint with the applicant about my concerns. I hope to meet with him, and have him spresent the spread to the fourther. Socialism hold kevitalization thought on a couple this well not meet the standard on a couple this well not meet the standard on a couple the well architectural adjust ment. After that when the well approved telegraph to prevent the formal super formal of more than 2 years, and that the concernance were formally to the more thanks, such that we have the such than 2 years and the life to the such thanks, such thanks

Parks, Timothy

From:

Murphy, Brad

Sent:

Wednesday, April 15, 2009 11:38 AM

To:

Compton, Judy

Cc:

Parks, Timothy

Subject: RE: Re: today's phone conversation

Judy, Thanks, I'm copying Tim who is finishing up the staff report. Tim please send Judy and Fred Arnold a copy when finished. The report basically concludes that we don't believe the standards are met based on what they submitted. Had they presented improvements to the building and landscaping that could be implemented now as part of this project we might have felt better about this, but that was not part of their application and waiting several years for improvements doesn't sound like a good move to us.

From: Compton, Judy

Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 10:29 PM

To: Murphy, Brad

Subject: Re: today's phone conversation

Brad.

After speaking with you today, and telling you the results of the SRRP meeting last night, I thought it imperative that I relay Staff's opinion of the Ruedebusch request for a conditional use for Mac's property on Stoughton Road to Fred who contacted members present last night.

The committee agrees that it isn't approvable per the SRRP plan, and discussed that last night. I believe that the feeling regarding the presentation, which was the improvement to the existing metal building which houses flex space, and several tenants, was that it was better than nothing. Especially since Mr. Nelson indicated that if it wasn't approved. Mac would probably look for a use that didn't require the conditional use. He indicated that they could lease to this tenant without them selling the vans, and not have to get a conditional use. Ergo-visual improvement was better than no improvement, as Mr. Nelson had said they would do nothing to the building if we didn't approve the CU:

I am not speaking for the committee, who will be sending a letter of their own, but I want to let you know the gratitude of the committee members that staff was holding to the principles of the SRRP plan. I believe you will be getting a letter stating that the SRRP supports staff's recommendations regarding this project not following the plan, and will use #3.4, and 9 (?--I don't have the standard in front of me), as reasons to deny the CU. I wanted you to know this ASAP, since this is different from what I told you today.

Thank you.

Judy Alder Judy Compton City of Madison District 16

Phone: 608-221-2567 (home)

608-223-6007 (office)

email: icompton@cityofmadison.com