2008 Annual Service Reports OCS Staff Responses to Questions from ECCEB and/or CSC Members

5/26/2009

General Question regarding discrepancies between documents:

Answer:

ECCEB members were provided, via e-mail, after the April meeting spreadsheets that outline and identified information regarding agencies, programs and which City Committee (Program Area) provides the funding. ECCEB members were mistakenly given 2009 spreadsheets/funding information that does not mirror information contained in the service report summaries. Discrepancies may include funding amount and program name and/or letter.

The discrepancy in funding regarding DCPC Satellite is \$1848. In 2008 DCPC Satellite received \$224,286. This difference accounts for a small amount of City funding for the DCPC Latino Program that was supplemented by CCCI funding.

Bayview Foundation

<u>Question:</u> In program A was the annual goal of 140 youth an unduplicated count? Were the daily attendance numbers average?

Answer: Yes it was an unduplicated count and that was the daily average number.

Question: In program B it seems rather futile to have an objective of "regularly" attending if they don't, or can't, report on the outcome, why not?

Answer: Staff are working with this program on outcomes.

Question: In programs A, B and C the agency did not collect specific outcome data or use any outcome measurements tools. Why not? When can OCS expect the data?

<u>Answer:</u> The agency did submit a completed outcome report. However, the report just reiterated the service goals and did not demonstrate impact. Staff will be working closely with Bayview staff in the next year to develop and produce better outcome measurements.

<u>Question:</u> In the AfterSchool/Elementary & Middle School program how many youth were involved in the spring and fall cleanups and the Hmong New Year presentation at Franklin and Randall?

Answer: In the Spring of 2008, 16 youth participants (10 elementary and 6 middle school) who helped with the annual community clean-up. The clean-up was scheduled on Saturday, April 26, 2008, from 1-3:30 pm.

Answer: The funds were reallocated to after school programming for the Great Grey/Owl Creek Neighborhood, and Stabilization funds for Animal Crackers, Dane County Parent Council Great Beginnings, and Child Development Inc.

Question: The summary from the Wee Start program indicates a low number of teen/student parents served by the program. Only 11 of the parents served were teen parents. Of those 11 only 3 successfully completed outcome objective #2.

Answer: Four (4) school-age mothers were on track to graduate in the spring of 2008, of these 1 moved out of the district and 3 did graduate from MMSD. Of the 11 teen mothers:

- 3 graduated
- 1 lost child care funding
- 1 did not pay the parent copay
- 2 moved out of the area (including the 1 mentioned previously)
- 1 left SAPAR and child went into foster care
- 2 transferred to other schools and Wee Start was no longer convenient location
- 1 no information

Goodman Community Center

Question: MMSD has yet to provide grade reports for Fall semester. Why not? When can OCS expect the data?

Answer: In 2007, MMSD switched to a new computer system for tracking student information. Most POS agencies were unable to get data for the 2007-2008 school year. We should have data for the 2008-2009 in late 2009.

Kennedy Heights Neighborhood Center

<u>Question</u>: Formatting of the report might lead one to believe Kennedy Heights received two allocations of \$18,577 for program B – Children's Programs. Is this correct?

<u>Answer:</u> Kennedy Heights received one allocation of \$18,577 for program B – Children's Programs.

Lussier Community Education Center (Wexford Ridge)

Question: No specific measurements were provided for this outcome (B). Why not? and when can OCS expect the data?

Answer: For the first Outcome Measure: OCS staff worked with the agency on measurement tools that could possibly be used to measure this outcome. Agency simply did not implement and track, and thus did not report on this measurement. OCS did not ask them to go back and try and document.