



City of Madison

City of Madison
Madison, WI 53703
www.cityofmadison.com

Meeting Minutes - Approved URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION

Wednesday, March 21, 2012

4:30 PM

215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
Room LL-110 (Madison Municipal Building)

CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Slayton was excused at 6:45 p.m.

Present: 7 -

Marsha A. Rummel; Dawn O. O'Kroley; Todd R. Barnett; Melissa R. Huggins; Richard L. Slayton; R. Richard Wagner and Henry S. Lufler, Jr.

Excused: 1 -

John A. Harrington

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A motion was made by Lufler, Jr., seconded by Huggins, to Approve the Minutes of February 29 and March 7, 2012. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

PUBLIC COMMENT

DISCLOSURES AND RECUSALS

SECRETARY'S REPORT/AGENDA OVERVIEW

SPECIAL ITEM OF BUSINESS

1. [25676](#) An Report from Percy Brown, Manager of the Office of Economic Revitalization on the Facade Improvement Grant Program Update

The Urban Design Commission Received an Informational Presentation

PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

2. [25505](#) 12 North Webster Street - Expand Surface Parking in UDD No. 4 Following a Demolition. 4th Ald. Dist.

A motion was made by Huggins, seconded by Lufler, Jr., to Grant Final Approval. The motion passed by the following vote:

Ayes: 4 -

Melissa R. Huggins; Richard L. Slayton; R. Richard Wagner and Henry S. Lufler, Jr.

Noes: 3 -

Marsha A. Rummel; Dawn O. O'Kroley and Todd R. Barnett

Excused: 1 -

John A. Harrington

3. [25674](#) 123 East Mifflin Street/24 North Webster Street - Exterior Remodeling in the C4 District, Addition of a 5th Story to a Mixed-Use Building. 4th Ald. Dist.

A motion was made by Barnett, seconded by Huggins, to Grant Final Approval. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

The motion provided for the following:

- **Study the storefront proportions.**

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

4. [25324](#) 2550 University Avenue - Alteration to a Previously Approved PUD(SIP), for a Temporary Real Estate Signage Package. 5th Ald. Dist.

A motion was made by Barnett, seconded by Huggins, to Grant Final Approval. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

The motion provided for strict enforcement of the time frame, and noted that this particular location and situation is rather unique and therefore would not set a precedent in terms of allowing non-compliant signage.

5. [24171](#) 202 & 206 North Brooks Street - PUD(GDP-SIP), Five-Story Residential Building with Fourteen Units. 8th Ald. Dist.

A motion was made by Barnett, seconded by Rummel, to Grant Final Approval. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

6. [23445](#) 6854 Stockbridge Drive - PUD-SIP to Construct 86 Multi-family Units in Three Buildings, Modification to Previously Approved Plans. 3rd Ald. Dist.

A motion was made by Barnett, seconded by Huggins, to Grant Final Approval. The motion passed by the following vote:

Ayes: 6 -

Dawn O. O'Kroley; Todd R. Barnett; Melissa R. Huggins; Richard L. Slayton; R. Richard Wagner and Henry S. Lufler, Jr.

Noes: 1 -
Marsha A. Rummel

Excused: 1 -
John A. Harrington

The motion encouraged the applicant to integrate the units with the window openings, as well as investigate possible "grill" covers for the lower units.

7. [24693](#) 701 & 737 Lorillard Court and 159-171 Proudfit Street - PUD (GDP-SIP) Apartment Building with 116 Apartments. 4th Ald. Dist.

A motion was made by Lufler, Jr., seconded by Rummel, to Grant Final Approval. The motion passed by the following vote:

Ayes: 5 -
Dawn O. O'Kroley; Todd R. Barnett; R. Richard Wagner; Henry S. Lufler, Jr. and Marsha A. Rummel

Excused: 3 -
Melissa R. Huggins; Richard L. Slayton and John A. Harrington

ROLL CALL

Present: 6 -
Marsha A. Rummel; Dawn O. O'Kroley; Todd R. Barnett; Melissa R. Huggins; R. Richard Wagner and Henry S. Lufler, Jr.

Excused: 2 -
Richard L. Slayton and John A. Harrington

8. [25323](#) 1323 West Dayton Street - PUD(GDP-SIP) for a Sixty-Five Unit Housing Project. 8th Ald. Dist.

A motion was made by Lufler, Jr., seconded by Huggins, to Grant Initial Approval. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

The motion provided for the following:

- Although a consistent ten-foot setback is not provided, the bulk requirements of the neighborhood plan are being met within the building envelope as designed which creates a greater graduated setback as the building recedes on the easterly frontage of the lot. The density issue is negated by the fact that it is comparable to other student housing developments within the area.
- When facing the building on the street, the thin brick element shall be the same height all the way to the back.
- When you look at the entry piece, the canopy should be separate from the adjacent balcony.

BUSINESS BY MEMBERS

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was Adjourned at 6:56 p.m. by unanimous consent.