## AGENDA # <u>6</u>

| REPORT                            | OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION                                                                     | PRESENTED: March 12, 2008 |      |  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|--|
| TITLE:                            | 4302 East Washington Avenue – Remodel                                                           | REFERRED:                 |      |  |
|                                   | Existing One-Story Commercial Building<br>in Urban Design District No. 5. 17 <sup>th</sup> Ald. | <b>REREFERRED:</b>        |      |  |
|                                   | Urban Design District No. 5. 17 <sup>th</sup> Ald.<br>st. (02778)                               | <b>REPORTED BACK:</b>     |      |  |
| AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary |                                                                                                 | ADOPTED:                  | POF: |  |
| DATED: March 12, 2008             |                                                                                                 | ID NUMBER:                |      |  |

City of Madison, Wisconsin

Members present were: Lou Host-Jablonski, Marsha Rummel, Bonnie Cosgrove, Jay Ferm, Richard Slayton, Bruce Woods and Richard Wagner.

## **SUMMARY**:

At its meeting of March 12, 2008, the Urban Design Commission **RECEIVED AN INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION** on a remodel to a one-story commercial building located at 4302 East Washington Avenue. Appearing on behalf of the project was Jerry Bourquin. Prior to the presentation Bourquin noted that a previous approval to demolish this building and replace it with a "Starbuck's" drive-up facility has been scrapped in favor of the current project as proposed to preserve and reskin the existing structure where one of its tenants will be a "Starbuck's" with drive-up facility. Bourquin presented and provided details on a façade renovation for the existing structure and corresponding site improvements. Following the presentation the Commission noted the following:

- Provide more context with the adjacent hotel.
- Wherever the 40/60 split occurs for individual tenant spaces; should be reflected on the outside of the building.
- Incorporate door on west end elevation.
- Parapet side view of the west elevation should be more transparent, not solid end cross section.

## ACTION:

Since this was an INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION, no formal action was taken by the Commission.

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 4 and 5.

## URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PROJECT RATING FOR: 4302 East Washington Avenue

|                | Site Plan | Architecture | Landscape<br>Plan | Site<br>Amenities,<br>Lighting,<br>Etc. | Signs | Circulation<br>(Pedestrian,<br>Vehicular) | Urban<br>Context | Overall<br>Rating |
|----------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Member Ratings | 4         | 5            | -                 | -                                       | -     | 3                                         | 5                | 4                 |
|                | 3         | 6            | -                 | -                                       | -     | 3                                         | 5                | -                 |
|                | -         | -            | -                 | _                                       | _     | -                                         | _                | 5                 |
|                |           |              |                   |                                         |       |                                           |                  |                   |
|                |           |              |                   |                                         |       |                                           |                  |                   |
|                |           |              |                   |                                         |       |                                           |                  |                   |
|                |           |              |                   |                                         |       |                                           |                  |                   |
|                |           |              |                   |                                         |       |                                           |                  |                   |
|                |           |              |                   |                                         |       |                                           |                  |                   |
|                |           |              |                   |                                         |       |                                           |                  |                   |

General Comments:

- Parking lot needs study and more greenspace.
- Site plan currently adds to ped/bike/car traffic confusion.
- Circulation of vehicles needs to function. Be much improved vis-à-vis moving the curb cut. Needs more landscaping.
- Reuse of building and improvement of circulation on frontage road will help energize this intersection. Work with Traffic Engineering to improve access.