

Bailey, Heather

From: Eric Hamilton <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2020 2:50 PM
To: PLLCApplications
Subject: Support for ULI Pinckney St project

Hello,

I'm writing in support of the Urban Land Interests' Pinckney Street proposal.

I first read about the proposal in the State Journal when they noted that city staff recommended not approving the removal of a historic building at that site. However, the historic building was already gutted in the 1970s, and the developers plan to retain the few original elements that remain. Such a tiny scrap of historic facade should not stand in the way of reasonable development, if the project meets other city needs.

According to the Journal, **"to meet standards, for example, the proposed project must have substantial benefits to the general public that outweigh a strong public interest in preserving historic resources expressed in the preservation ordinance, and development could not happen in its current configuration without razing the landmark."**

It's clear to me that thoughtful redevelopment far outweighs the marginal public interest in small historic details on an otherwise bland building. I hope you agree.

-Eric