AGENDA # 2

City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PRESENTED: March 16, 2011

TITLE: 961 South Park Street – Façade Grant and **REF**

Building/Site Renovation in UDD No. 7.

13th Ald. Dist. (20829)

REFERRED:

REREFERRED:

REPORTED BACK:

AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary ADOPTED: POF:

DATED: March 16, 2011 **ID NUMBER:**

Members present were: Marsha Rummel, Mark Smith, Dawn O'Kroley, Todd Barnett*, Richard Slayton, R. Richard Wagner and Jay Handy.

SUMMARY:

At its meeting of March 16, 2011, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** of a façade grant and building/site renovation in UDD No. 7. Appearing on behalf of the project were Percy Brown, City of Madison Economic Development Division; Matt Weygardt, David H. Vogel, Helen Kitchel, representing Friends of Monona Bay; and Ald. Julia Kerr, representing District 13.

The east and west corners have been expanded to include plantings, but nothing specifically on the wall besides the luminaires. Fire problems and lot line issues prevented them from installing a pergola as previously proposed. An outdoor eating area will be maintained that has individual tables with umbrellas.

Helen Kitchel spoke as a representative of Friends of Monona Bay, based on water quality concerns asked the developers to use pervious pavers and offered to work with them to build a rain garden to accommodate the roof water to offset the loss of vegetative grass cover due to the development of surface parking.

ACTION:

On a motion by Handy, seconded by Rummel, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**. The motion was passed on a vote of (4-0). The motion provided that the bicycle racks and landscape plan both return to staff for approval.

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 6 and 7.

^{*}Barnett and Slayton recused themselves from this item.

URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PROJECT RATING FOR: 961 South Park Street

	Site Plan	Architecture	Landscape Plan	Site Amenities, Lighting, Etc.	Signs	Circulation (Pedestrian, Vehicular)	Urban Context	Overall Rating
Member Ratings	-	1	1	1	-	-	1	6
	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	7

General Comments:

- Nice project, good work.
- Good reuse project.