Appeal of Zoning Administrator's Determination Regarding Timeliness of an Appeal Application

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS - FEBRUARY 15, 2024

Appeal Application

Application Received: 11/20/23



Hi Katie -

Alder Knox and I are jointly appealing Zoning interpretations/decisions made in connection with the project proposed for 1609 S Park. Although the form has a \$200 filing fee, the ordinance only applies to the property owner or representative ("Application for an appeal filed by, or on behalf of, the owner or owners of the property affected"). Since the ordinance does not apply to us, I am filing this appeal electronically. If you need the original signatures, please let me know and I will have those delivered.

Please let me know when this will be scheduled.

Thank you-

Marsha

Appeal Application

Fee Received: 12/20/23



CITY OF MADISON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPEAL APPLICATION

\$200 Filing Fee

Ensure all information is typed or legibly printed using blue or black ink.

Notices are sent to the District Alderperson and to owners of record as listed in the Office of the City Assessor. Maximum size for all drawings is 11" x 17".

Name of Applicant:	Marsha Rum 1029 Spaight	St #66	
Address:		53703	
Daytime Phone:	608-772-4555	Evening Ph	one: Same
Email: distr	ncte@ atyofmad	son. Com	
	<u> </u>		listrator in regard to
	ed hereby appeals the dec		
Madison Gene	ral Ordinance Section No.	MGO 28,104, 28.	006, 28.008, 28.211, 28.19
2. When relevant	to a specific property, fill	out below:	
Street Addres	1	k St	
	Madison W	M	t and any additional information
	Madison Madison Madison	M tatements, evidence of fa	t, and any additional information
	grounds for the appeal, st ated with the appeal are p	M tatements, evidence of fa	ct, and any additional information achment.
3. Since the second sec	grounds for the appeal, st ated with the appeal are p	M tatements, evidence of fa	ct, and any additional information achment.
3. D List of associ	grounds for the appeal, st ated with the appeal are p	M tatements, evidence of fa	ct, and any additional information achment.
3. Dist of associ	grounds for the appeal, st ated with the appeal are p e:	M tatements, evidence of fa rovided on a separate att	achment.
3. 🗹 List of associ	grounds for the appeal, st ated with the appeal are p e:	M tatements, evidence of fa rovided on a separate att	CC-T
3. 2 List of associ Applicant Signatur Amount Paid: 4 Receipt: 1	Madison W grounds for the appeal, st ated with the appeal are p e:	M tatements, evidence of fa rovided on a separate att	CC-T 01-18-2024
3. Difference List of association associatio association association association associati	Madison W grounds for the appeal, st ated with the appeal are p e:	M tatements, evidence of fa rovided on a separate att	CC-T 01-18-2024 01-11-2024
3. Difference List of association associatio association association association associati	Madison W grounds for the appeal, st ated with the appeal are p e:	M tatements, evidence of fa rovided on a separate att	CC-T 01-18-2024

Madison General Ordinance

An appeal shall be taken within a reasonable time, as provided by the rules of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

(MGO 28.205 (5)(a))

ZBA Rules of Procedure

Time of Appeal. Every appeal shall be taken within fifteen (15) days from the date of notice of refusal of a permit or from the date of the making of any order, ruling, decision or determination from which an appeal is taken. Where official notice is mailed, the time to appeal shall begin running from the postmark date. A written appeal, specifying the grounds therefore, must be filed within fifteen (15) days with the Zoning Administrator. The date of the decision of the Zoning Administrator or other such officer shall not be counted, but the date of filing the appeal and Sundays and holidays shall be counted, except that if the last day falls on a Sunday or legal holiday, the time for filing shall be extended to the next secular day.

Summary of Appeal

Canopy addition over drive-through ≠ "under the building"

Menu board should also be under the building

Drive should not be allowed to be continued between building and Park St

Entire site should be brought up to compliance with zoning code when there is a conditional use approval

All these decisions were made prior to the UDC staff report for 7/26/23 meeting

No major changes were made to the site plan or canopy after initial plans were submitted with the UDC application

Urban Design Commission Staff Report 7/26/23

"The Zoning Code also includes requirements for vehicle sales and service window uses (aka drive-thru uses) within the TOD Overlay (Sec. 28.104(8)(c)), as well as Supplemental Regulations (Sec. 28.151) for new drive-thru windows. The TOD Overlay requires the new vehicle sales and service windows "...shall be located under the building in which they are located." The Supplemental Regulations require that the use not be located between the building and the street. As determined by the Zoning Administrator, the proposed vehicle sales and service window, as designed, is consistent with both the TOD Overlay requirements in that it is within the existing building structure, and the Supplemental Regulations because the vehicle sales and service window is screened from view by a wall."

Urban Design Commission - 7/26/23



SE Corner









SW Corner

Urban Design Commission – 7/26/23



West Elevation

Urban Design Commission – 7/26/23



Urban Design Commission Meeting – 7/26/23

Alder Rummel is an appointed Council member of the Urban Design Commission

Alder Rummel attended the July 26, 2023 UDC meeting and made the motion for referral of 1609 S. Park St.

Urban Design Commission Staff Report 8/16/23

The Zoning Code also includes requirements for vehicle sales and service window uses (aka drive-thru uses) within the TOD Overlay (Sec. 28.104(8)(c)), as well as Supplemental Regulations (Sec. 28.151) for new drive-thru windows. The TOD Overlay requires the new vehicle sales and service windows "...shall be located under the building in which they are located." The Supplemental Regulations require that the use not be located between the building and the street. As determined by the Zoning Administrator, the proposed vehicle sales and service window, as designed, is consistent with both the TOD Overlay requirements in that it is within the existing building structure, and the Supplemental Regulations because the vehicle sales and service window is screened from view by a wall.

Urban Design Commission Meeting Report 8/16/23

Summary of 7/26/23 UDC Meeting:

• Staff or Traffic Engineering does not have significant problems with circulation for automobiles?

• (Secretary) That's correct, not that I am aware of. I would add that when we're looking at the site from a zoning perspective, we're reusing an existing site and much of its infrastructure is already there. The ability to push for full compliance with the TOD, the zoning code does not require them to meet all those requirements because it is reusing an existing site.

Urban Design Commission – 8/16/23





Urban Design Commission – 8/16/23



West Elevation

Urban Design Commission – 7/26/23



Alder Evers Comments to UDC – 8/16/23

"While the TOD guidelines are not triggered because this is neither a new building nor is it a major (>50%) expansion, there are structural elements that seem to be contrary to UDD-7 guidelines. Specifically, the street-facing windows surfaces are still significantly short of the required 40%."

Alder Knox Comments to UDC – 8/16/23

"I am opposed to the revised access plan for the proposed Starbucks on South Park Street for the following reasons."

Urban Design Commission Meeting – 8/16/23

Alder Rummel is an appointed Council member of the Urban Design Commission

Alder Rummel attended the August 16, 2023 UDC meeting and voted 'no' on final approval for 1609 S. Park St.

Plan Commission Meetings

Referral 8/7/23

Re-Referral 8/28/23

Final Action (Approval) 10/30/23

Alder Rummel Comments to Plan Commission– 8/28/23

"First, when UDC staff explained they believed the proposed drive through window met the TOD overlay standards, I was confused. The TOD overlay ordinance states vehicle access sales and service windows are to be "located under the building in which they are located." The proposed service window is not under the building. The UDC and PC staff report indicates the TOD overlay standards do not apply to this proposal. Ok, but staff argues the proposal is consistent anyway: "As determined by the Zoning Administrator, the proposed vehicle sales and service window, as designed, is consistent with both the TOD Overlay requirements in that it is within the existing building structure as a result of the canopy roof addition, and the Supplemental Regulations because the vehicle sales and service window is screened from view by a wall."

I strongly disagree. Allowing a drive through sales window under a canopy structure does not meet the spirit of the ordinance and would set a precedent in other TOD overlay districts. Under the building is not the same as under a canopy addition. If you and policymakers think having a service window under a canopy with screening is okay then the ordinance should be amended, it does not say that and it was not the legislative intent."

Alder Knox Comments to Plan Commission– 8/28/23

"I am opposed to the Starbucks on South Park Street as currently proposed for several reasons. Several of my constituents, other neighbors living in and around the proposed facility, as well as other interested parties, including fellow Alders have expressed concerns relative to this proposed project meeting the intent of Madison ordinances as it related to zoning standards. These concerns have been articulated and communicated to you by much more knowledgeable expertise them me. I request that you respectfully respond to and resolve these concerns before any consideration of approval of this project."

Plan Commission Staff Report – 8/28/23

"Staff notes that Zoning has determined that this development would comply with this recently adopted ordinance and staff note that the use is an allowable conditional use within this Zoning District."

"Per zoning staff, the proposed enclosure for the drive-thru complies with the TOD Overlay District. Staff note that existing drive-thru infrastructure is not subject to the site standards for automobile infrastructure on the TOD Overlay District. Staff also believe the Supplemental Regulations for vehicle access sales and service windows are met"

Alder Rummel Blog Post – 10/28/23

"I've been following this proposal for a new Starbucks drive-thru coffee shop since it came to the Urban Design Commission (on which I serve) and because the location is subject to the new Transit Oriented Development Overlay zoning district (MGO 28.104). All of District 6 is in the TOD Overlay. Related to this proposal, the TOD Overlay ordinance explicitly disallows a drive aisle between the building and the sidewalk. The TOD Overlay ordinance also states vehicle access sales and service windows are to be "located under the building in which they are located." (Think Cargo Coffee's drive through on E Washington, it is literally under the building).

The bottom line is that according to zoning and legal staff, since the applicant proposes to re-use an existing building (the old Arby's) and will not modify more than 50% of the floor area of the building, the new TOD Overlay ordinance will not be triggered.

The PC staff report of August 28 indicated the TOD overlay standards do not apply to this proposal but "As determined by the Zoning Administrator, the proposed vehicle sales and service window, as designed, is consistent with both the TOD Overlay requirements in that it is within the existing building structure as a result of the canopy roof addition, and the Supplemental Regulations because the vehicle sales and service window is screened from view by a wall.""

https://www.cityofmadison.com/council/district6/blog/2023-10-28/d6-items-of-interest-week-ofoctober-30-2023

Alder Rummel Comments to Plan Commission– 10/30/23

"I've been following this proposal for a new Starbucks drivethru coffee shop since it came to the Urban Design Commission (on which I serve) and because the location is subject to the new Transit Oriented Development Overlay zoning district (MGO 28.104). All of District 6 is in the TOD Zoning Overlay so I am paying attention. Related to this proposal, the TOD Overlay ordinance explicitly disallows a drive aisle between the building and the sidewalk. The TOD Overlay ordinance also states vehicle access sales and service windows are to be "located under the building in which they are located." (Think Cargo Coffee's drive through on E Washington, it is literally under the building).

The bottom line is that according to zoning and legal staff, since the applicant proposes to reuse an existing building (the old Arby's) and will not modify more than 50% of the floor area of the building, the new TOD Overlay ordinance will not be triggered. I am concerned that there will be different rules when a building retrofit doesn't meet the threshold for TOD Overlay."

Plan Commission 10/30/23







West Elevation

Plan Commission 10/30/23



ZBA Rules of Procedure

Time of Appeal. Every appeal shall be taken within fifteen (15) days from the date of notice of refusal of a permit or from the date of the making of any order, ruling, decision or determination from which an appeal is taken. Where official notice is mailed, the time to appeal shall begin running from the postmark date. A written appeal, specifying the grounds therefore, must be filed within fifteen (15) days with the Zoning Administrator. The date of the decision of the Zoning Administrator or other such officer shall not be counted, but the date of filing the appeal and Sundays and holidays shall be counted, except that if the last day falls on a Sunday or legal holiday, the time for filing shall be extended to the next secular day.

Timeline

Urban Design Meetings:

- 7/26/23
- 8/16/23

Alder Rummel Public Comments Begin:

• 7/26/23 (UDC Hearing)

Alder Knox Public Comments Begin:

• 8/16/23

Plan Commission Meetings:

- 8/7/23
- 8/28/23
- 10/30/23

No Change in Zoning Administrator Determination Throughout Entire Public Hearing Process

Date Appeal Application Received – 11/20/23

Date Appeal Filing Fee Received – 12/20/23

Plan Commission Decision to Appeal Application

	OC	TO	BEI	R 2	023	
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	³⁰	³¹	1	2	3	4

Printable Calendars From 123Calendars.Com

NOVEMBER 2023							
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	
29	30	31	1	2	3	4	
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
12	13	15	15	16	17	18	
19	21 ²⁰	21	22	23	24	25	
26	27	28	29	30	1	2	

Printable Calendars From 123Calendars.Com

Zoning Administrator Determination to Appeal Filing Fee



Zoning Administrator Decision to Appeal Application Received

