

AGENDA # 5

City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION	PRESENTED: April 19, 2006
TITLE: 437-443 West Mifflin Street – PUD(GDP-SIP) for Eight Residential Units in Three Buildings. 4 th Ald. Dist. (02682)	REFERRED: REREFERRED: REPORTED BACK:
AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary	ADOPTED: POF:
DATED: April 19, 2006	ID NUMBER:

Members present were: Paul Wagner, Chair; Ald. Noel Radomski, Lou Host-Jablonski, Todd Barnett, Lisa Geer, Robert March, Michael Barrett, Bruce Woods and Cathleen Feland.

SUMMARY:

At its meeting of April 19, 2006, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** of a PUD(GDP-SIP) for eight residential units in three buildings located at 437-443 West Mifflin Street. Appearing on behalf of the project were Jim Glueck and Joseph Houseman. In response to issues raised with the Plan Commission approval of the project, a full width porch had been added to the proposed 2-unit building at 43 West Mifflin Street. Both new structures proposed with the project will utilize fiber cement siding with a 4-inch exposure and gray asphalt shingles.

ACTION:

On a motion by Barnett, seconded by March, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**. The motion was passed on a vote of (8-0-1) with Ald. Radomski abstaining. The motion required that the landscape plan be adjusted to accommodate the now full width porch for the building at 443 West Mifflin Street.

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 6, 6.5, 7, 7, 7, 7 and 8.

URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PROJECT RATING FOR: 437-443 West Mifflin Street

	Site Plan	Architecture	Landscape Plan	Site Amenities, Lighting, Etc.	Signs	Circulation (Pedestrian, Vehicular)	Urban Context	Overall Rating
Member Ratings	8	6	6	-	-	9	8	7
	6	7	5	-	-	6	8	7
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.5
	8	8	-	-	-	7	8	8
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	7	7	-	-	-	-	7	7
	6	7	6	-	-	7	7	7
	6	6	6	-	-	6	7	6

General Comments:

- Nice neighborhood fit.
- Nice infill project.
- Nice infill.
- Nice job!
- Nice improvement.