

[original draft language]

### **7.1 Ad-hoc Committee Selection**

With the conclusion of the public review phase, Design Teams will present their master plan submissions to the Ad-hoc Committee in a virtual meeting. The Ad-hoc Committee will then evaluate and score master plan submissions on overall vision, alignment with project guiding principles, technical feasibility, and public response. Based on final scores, the Ad-hoc Committee will select a Preferred Master plan Option for further refinement by the respective Design Team.

[proposed new language]

### **7.1 Ad-hoc Committee Selection**

After the conclusion of the public review phase, Design Teams will present their master plan submissions to the Ad-hoc Committee in a virtual meeting. This presentation will be an opportunity for Design Teams to respond to feedback from the public review and engagement process and to answer any remaining questions from the Ad-hoc Committee.

The Ad-hoc Committee will then evaluate and score master plan submissions based on the following criteria:

- Alignment with the Design Challenge Guiding Principles outlined in section 2.1 [30 points]
- Overall quality of the master plan deliverables listed in section 5.1 [15 points]
- Project feasibility, including the presence of a detailed implementation plan and technically feasible project components [10 points]
- Response to and engagement with public input, specifically the Lake Monona Waterfront – Preliminary Report and the public review and engagement process described in section 6.1 [15 points]
- Overall project vision and cohesion [30 points]

Based on final scores, the Ad-hoc Committee will select a Preferred Master Plan Option for further refinement by the respective Design Team.