## **AGENDA # 7**

### City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PRESENTED: August 8, 2007

TITLE: 8210 Highview Drive – Amended **REFERRED:** 

PUD(GDP-SIP) for Sixty-Units of Assisted Living, Revised Plans. 9<sup>th</sup> Ald. Dist.

(05334) **REPORTED BACK:** 

AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary ADOPTED: POF:

DATED: August 8, 2007 **ID NUMBER:** 

Members present were: Paul Wagner, Marsha Rummel, Richard Slayton, Michael Barrett and Todd Barnett.

#### **SUMMARY:**

At its meeting of August 8, 2007, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** of an Amended PUD(GDP-SIP) located at 8210 Highview Drive. Appearing on behalf of the project were Scott Kwiecinski, David C. Baum and Jeremy Bartlett. The plans as presented featured the following:

- The northerly portion of the looped surface parking area has been altered to provide for angled parking as requested.
- The addition of a both retention area and detention area along the northerly drive eliminated the previously proposed future development of surface parking within this area.
- The looped drop-off drive along Highview Drive has now been accepted by the Traffic Engineer.
- Additional pedestrian connections onto adjacent City property to the northeast have been provided.

#### **ACTION**:

On a motion by Barnett, seconded by Barrett, Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**. The motion was passed on a unanimous vote of (5-0).

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 5, 6, 6, 6 and 7.

# URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PROJECT RATING FOR: 8210 Highview Drive

	Site Plan	Architecture	Landscape Plan	Site Amenities, Lighting, Etc.	Signs	Circulation (Pedestrian, Vehicular)	Urban Context	Overall Rating
Member Ratings	6	6	6	6	-	5	4	6
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
	6	5	5	-	-	6	5	5
	7	7	7	6	-	6	7	7

#### General Comments:

• Good to see the traffic/pick-up/drop-off resolved. Good creativity.