Scanlon, Amy

From: Michael Webster [michael.webster@housewrights.com]

Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 1:21 PM

To: Scanlon, Amy

Cc:Charmaine Stewart; Jerald PetersenSubject:Re: September 19 Landmarks agenda

Good afternoon Amy.

Our plan for the soffit and fascia for the addition and the garage was to use painted wood to match the existing house fascia and soffit. The trim will be painted wood to match the existing house trim as well. Windows are planned to be Marvin wood double hung windows with a class exterior to match the existing windows on the house. The side entry door at the garage with be a fiberglass door with the exact style TBD. The garage doors are planned as being insulated steel doors with the exact style TBD. Our client is open to suggestions for suitable styles for the entry door and garage doors that would help with an approval.

Here are our responses for the appropriateness for the addition:

- 1. The partial porch to the right of the west elevation is not part of the current proposal. What is shown on the elevation is a drafting error in CAD. It is actually showing the existing front porch, which isn't technically seen from that view.
- 2. The elevation with the proposed the skylights does not face the road.
- 3. Fascia, soffit, and trim as specified above.
- 4. Proposed door to be insulated fiberglass door with half lite. Trim to be painted wood to match existing.
- 5. Details from the existing front porch will be used to design the rear porch at the back door.
- 6. Finalized details forthcoming on the addition.

I am a little confused on the addition items. We thought that there wouldn't need to be a hearing on the addition since it is under 100 SF, which the document mentions. However, the list of conditions above seems to contradict that statement. We are obviously going to design and build the addition to match the look and feel of the existing house, so we aren't trying to sneak anything by the committee.

I will be at the meeting in place of our clients this afternoon and am happy to discuss this further if necessary.

Thanks,

Michael

On Sep 15, 2016, at 4:38 PM, Scanlon, Amy < AScanlon@cityofmadison.com> wrote:

The September 19, 2016 Landmarks Commission meeting agenda and the staff report related to your item are attached for your information. Please click on the blue number beside your agenda item to be directed to the documents in the public record.

 $\frac{\text{https://madison.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=A\&ID=442914\&GUID=05EAAD3D-939A-457F-B77A-77A66697C74D}{\text{200}}$

A representative of this project should be in attendance at the meeting to answer questions. See you Monday.

Best regards, Amy

Amy Loewenstein Scanlon, Registered Architect

Preservation Planner

Department of Planning & Community & Economic Development

Planning Division

Madison Municipal Building Ste LL.100 <image001.jpg>

215 Martin Luther King Jr Blvd

P.O. Box 2985

Madison WI 53701-2985

ascanlon@cityofmadison.com

608.266.6552

<44272 - 1908 Arlington STAFF REPORT 9-13-16.pdf>