

**From:** [Petykowski, Christopher](#)  
**To:** [Larson, Aidan](#); ["Ann Kovich"](#); [Wolfe, James](#)  
**Cc:** [Troester, Timothy](#); [Malloy, Sean](#)  
**Subject:** TC agenda  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:34:49 PM  
**Attachments:** [2022-6-13-Olin Ave Site Plan.pdf](#)  
[222 E Olin Existing Site Photo.pdf](#)  
[2022-6-8-Olin Ave Site Plan.pdf](#)

---

Hi folks, I won't be at the tc agenda meeting next week. I have one thing to add.

222 E Olin Ave. Development – Potential path

Summary -

The 222 E Olin Ave development has been approved by Plan Commission and Council. In the recently adopted S. Madison Development Plan, a multi-use path was proposed along the northern lot line of the site. The developer's site plan doesn't fit a traditional 10' path with a 20' easement that we generally require. The area is rather well served from a bicycle stand point. There currently is a path & sidewalk along Olin Avenue, a path along Wingra Creek and a path along John Nolen Drive.

The developer is requesting that the path requirement along their north lot line be removed. Staff are amenable to the request. We believe the most important connection would be for the site to the west of here, as new residents at 222 E Olin would like to connect to the Wingra Creek Path to eventually get to cross John Nolen Dr under the bridge. The plan is well set up for this, including a short section of easement and path on the NW Edge of the site. Planning commission staff were supportive of the request as well, and their approval motion included language that they support not requiring the path.

Paths forward:

1. Require the 20' ped/bike easement and 10' path. This would very likely require redesign of the building. City would be responsible for maintenance of the path.
2. Allow for 8' path and public access easement over the top. Developer would be responsible for the maintenance of the path. (this is the 6/13 figure attached)
3. Allow for 5' path and public access easement over the top. Developer would be responsible for the maintenance of the path.
4. No requirement for public path and easement along the north lot line. A 20' easement would be provided at the NW edge to continue to the next parcel in the future (toward Wingra Path). The developer would build a 5' path for resident use to get to the easement. This was preferred by plan commission. (this is the 6/8 figure attached)

Staff recommends #4

***Chris Petykowski, P.E.***

*Principal Engineer*

*City of Madison*

*210 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd. Rm. 115*

*Madison, WI 53703*

*608-267-8678*