

**AMENDMENT NO. 2  
TO THE CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE OF  
SERVICES  
(DESIGN PROFESSIONALS)  
CONTRACT 8412**

**STRICKER'S/MENDOTA WATERSHED STUDY**

**RECITALS**

This amendment is for additional work beyond the scope of the existing agreement and consists additional analysis for the proposed peak flow control analysis.

1. On May 2, 2019, the City of Madison, hereinafter called the "City", and Brown and Caldwell, hereinafter called the "Consultant" entered into a contract for a flood and stormwater modeling of the Stricker's/Mendota Watershed, Legislative File No.54799.
2. Article 9 and Article 10, of the contract, provided for amendment of the contract for additional services.
3. The City has authority to execute an amendment to this contract as provided by Council Legislative File No. 55742.

NOW THEREFORE, The City and the Consultant hereby agree to amend the contract as follows:

**A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Amend project Scope of Work per the attached file, entitled "Strickers\_Mendota Watershed Study – Amendment 2, Contract 8412.pdf".

**B. COMPENSATION**

Amend Paragraph 23 of purchase of services contract to increase the contract by \$36,804.00 for a new contract amount of \$255,031.53.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have set their hands at Madison, Wisconsin.

**CONTRACTOR:**

Stantec  
(Type or Print Name of Contracting Entity)

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Print Name and Title of Person Signing)

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**CITY OF MADISON, WISCONSIN  
a municipal corporation:**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Satya Rhodes-Conway, Mayor

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Approved:**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
David P. Schmiedicke, Finance Director

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Maribeth Witzel-Behl, City Clerk

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Approved as to Form:**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Eric T. Veum, Risk Manager

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Michael P. May, City Attorney

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Dunn’s Marsh Watershed Study – Amendment No. 1 Contract 8431

### Overview

The City of Madison modified the scope of work outlined in the original scope of services for Task 6. The document entitled “Watershed Studies – Proposed Tasks” dated October 9, 2019 replaces the scope of work outlined in Task 6.1 and 6.2. Additionally, the original Task 6.3 will become Task 6.4. Stantec Consulting Services (CONSULTANT) will follow the modified scope of work with the following assumptions / notes in the Amendment to the Dunn’s Marsh Watershed Study Contract for Peak Flow Control Solutions.

1. CONSULTANT understands that the previously-agreed Project Scope of Services will be amended to replace Tasks 6.1 and 6.2 with the amended Task 6 Scope. The amended Task 6 Scope was provided via email by the City to CONSULTANT in a document dated October 9, 2019 and titled “Watershed Studies – Proposed Tasks.”
2. A scope section is reserved for new Task 6.1 Volume Control, but the Volume Control task has not been scoped, budgeted or authorized at this time. The City will be conducting an internal study on a volume control scope of services, and this contract may be amended at a later date to include a Volume Control task.
3. For Task 6.2, Part 1, Identify Causes of Flooding, we have included a budget for one meeting with City staff to discuss and review constriction points.
4. The City will perform all work and provide the GIS deliverable for Task 6.2 Part 2 Identify Locations for PFC Infrastructure.
5. For Task 6.2 Part 3 Step 1, “Create a Model with all Potential PFCI”, the City-provided Scope includes one meeting with City staff at the beginning of this step, described as a brainstorming session.
6. The previous Scope of Services stated that any meetings with staff to discuss results of the alternatives analysis will be held concurrently with the monthly progress meetings, and separate meetings will not be required. For the amended Scope of Services, we assume that meetings to discuss progress and results for Task 6.2 Peak Flow Control Parts 3 and 4 will be held in conjunction with already-budgeted monthly progress meetings. One exception is the brainstorming session for Task 6.2 Part 3 Step 1, “Create a Model with all Potential PFCI”, which the scope amendment includes as a new meeting.
7. Based on the amended scope, we do not anticipate that the cost changes for either the Peer Review or Report Writing tasks will be significant enough to require a budget amendment for those items.

### Budget

The following table summarizes our requested budget amendment:

Task	Item / Task	Additional Cost	Comments
6.2 Peak Flow Control	Part 1 Identify Causes of Flooding	\$8,160	
6.2 Peak Flow Control	Part 2 Identify Locations for PFC Infrastructure	\$0	Performed / provided by City
6.2 Peak Flow Control	Part 3 Develop Solutions for PFC	\$0	Estimated as to approved budget for \$38,430 for previous Task 6, so no net change requested
6.2 Peak Flow Control	Part 4: 500-year Storm Assessment	\$15,470	
	Total	\$23,630	