CDD Financing Application for Affordable Rental Housing Development: Non-Tax Credit

This application form should be used for projects seeking funding from City of Madison Request for Proposals #2024-13033; Affordable Rental Housing Development: Non-Tax Credit. Applications must be submitted electronically to the City of Madison Community Development Division by **noon on May 31, 2024.** Please format for logical page breaks. Email to: cddapplications@cityofmadison.com

| APPLICANT INFORMATION | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Proposal Title: | | Corporation Taft S | St "Bankston"Apartments - 17 unit |
| Site Address (if known): | 2107-2125 Taft St | | |
| Amount of Funds Requested: | | rpe of $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | New Struction Acquisition/Rehab |
| Name of Applicant: | - | | |
| Mailing Address: | 550 W Washington Av | e, Madison WI 537 | 703 |
| Telephone: | 608-535-4572 | _ | |
| Lead Project Contact: | Lorrie Heinemann | Email Address: | Lorrie@mdcorp.org |
| Financial Contact: | Abbbie Wallhaus | Email Address: | Abbie@mdcorp.org |
| Website: | www.mdcorp.org | | |
| Legal Status: | ☐ For-profit ⊠ Nor | n-profit | profit (CHDO) |
| Federal EIN: | 39-1277471 | UEI #:* | _058076621 |
| Registered on SAM: | ⊠ Yes □ No | * If seeking | federal funds |
| Community Housing Development Organization: | ☐ Yes | HDO Application A | ttached |
| HOME-ARP Set-Aside: | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| application that do not apply, p AFFIRMATIVE ACTION If funded, applicant hereby agrees | to comply with the City of epartment of Civil Rights. | cable." of Madison Ordinar A Model Affirmativ | ox for "Yes" above. For questions in this nce 39.02 and file an Individual Developer ve Action Plan and instructions are available action-plan/individual-developers. |
| feet of non-residential space, or a rethe City with a value of over \$10,0 to Madison's lobbying ordinance, | residential development of 1000 (this includes grants, sec. 2.40, MGO. <u>You a</u> prmation. Failure to comp | of over 10 dwelling loans, TIF, or sime required to really with the lobbying | opment that has over 40,000 gross square units, or if you are seeking assistance from ilar assistance), then you likely are subject gister and report your lobbying. Please g ordinance may result in fines of \$1,000 to obyjist-registration. |
| | ply with all applicable loc | | al provisions. A sample contract that nt Division Funding Opportunities Website |
| If funded, the City of Madison rese | erves the right to negotiat | e the final terms of | a contract with the selected agency. |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT | | | |
| Enter Name: _Lorrie Keating He | einemann | Date: | 5/30/2024 |
| | | | s are true to the best of my knowledge. me as the submitter of the application and |

agree to the terms listed above.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING GOALS & OBJECTIVES

| | Please check which of the following goals outlined in the Request for Proposals are met with this proposal: |
|---|--|
| J | 1. Increase the supply of safe, quality, affordable rental housing that ensure long-term affordability and sustainability. |
| 1 | ☐ 2. Preserve existing income- and rent-restricted rental housing to ensure long-term affordability and sustainability. |
| | 3. Improve the existing rental housing stock through acquisition/rehab to create long-term affordability and sustainability. |

AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEEDS

2. Please describe the anticipated demand for this specific affordable rental housing development in the City of Madison. Please be specific as to which populations will be targeted, if any.

We expect the new 17-unit multi-family affordable workforce housing project will be welcomed into the South Park corridor, just as our Dane Townhomes were when we built the 11 units in 2017. The combination of one and two bedroom units in a secure, high quality building will serve low income households, which make up a large part of the neighborhood.

PROPOSAL OVERVIEW

3. Please provide a brief overview of the proposal including key characteristics. Describe how the proposed development will help meet the needs of residents in this location and the impact of the proposed development on the community. (*Please limit response to 300 words including spaces*).

MDC proposes to construct a 3 story, 17-unit affordable housing project on our 4 parcels on Taft St - 2107, 2117, 2121 and 2125 Taft St. The project will consist of 11 one bedroom units and 6 two bedroom units. 11 of these will be rented to HH whose incomes <60% of the AMI, 3 to HH <80% and 3 at market, which we believe is a healthy mix. Note that these parcels currently have single family homes so we have included relocation costs in our budget. Because we own and manage 429 units of affordable housing throughout Madison and Middleton, we will make our other units available to any displaced residents.

| | SECTION A - ALL AF | PPLICANTS MUST COI | MPLETE | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4 | . Type of Construction: | New Construction ■ New Construction New Construction ■ New Construction New | ☐ Acquisition/Rehab | | | | | | |
| | Type of Project: | Multi-family | ☐ Senior (55+ or 62+ yr. old): | | | | | | |
| | | ☐ Rental Cooperative | · , , —— | | | | | | |
| | Total number of units in pro | posed development: 17 | | | | | | | |
| | Total <u>number</u> affordable of | units (≤60% CMI): <u>11</u> | Total <u>%</u> affordable of units (≤60% CMI): <u>65%</u> | | | | | | |
| | Total amount of CDD funds requested per affordable unit: Based on High HOME and unit configuration | | | | | | | | |
| | provided by Matt Frater on 5-29-2024, the average per unit is \$203,760 for all 11 HOME units. This is based on 7 one-bed units w/max of \$189,559 each and 4 two-bedroom units w/ max | | | | | | | | |
| | limit of \$228,614 each | | 103,555 each and 4 two-bedroom units w/ max | | | | | | |
| | | _ by Section 8 project-based v | ouchers, if applicable: <u>0</u> PBV CMI level: | | | | | | |
| 5. | Please indicate acceptanc ⊠ Yes, I confirm. | e of the standard loan terms | for this proposal as described in Section 1.8 of the RFP. | | | | | | |
| 6. | Applicants requesting alterstructure or options, pleas | | hing to provide additional information regarding the financing | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | <u> </u> | y in exchange for a waiver o | f shared appreciation to the long-term deferred note | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

DEVELOPMENT TEAM OVERVIEW

- Describe briefly the Development Team's knowledge of and experience in addressing affordable housing needs of the City of Madison. Please be sure to address:
 - a. Developer's housing development experience, including number, type and location of proposed and completed projects, affordability profile, etc. Years the organization has been in existence.
 - b. Leadership/key development team staff qualifications (briefly).
 - c. Financial capacity of the organization to secure financing and complete the proposed project.
 - d. For non-profit organizations, please describe the organization's Mission Statement and explain how the proposed development supports the Mission Statement.

Lorrie Heinemann, MDC President with an MBA has 8 years development experience of affordable housing development at MDC, including the 44 unit Graaskamp Apts, the 24 unit Lillian (both HOME funds projects located in the City) and a 52-Unit CDBG-DR grant funding project in Middleton, WI. All projects are affordable workforce housing targeting households whose incomes range from <50% of the AMI to 80% of the AMI, with a few market units. All are universally designed to be accessible for all.

Abigail Wallhaus, MDC VP of Finance with an MBA has 6 years of affordable housing development experience at MDC on the same 3 projects (above) as Lorrie.

Cashton Laufenberg, MDC VP of Housing, has 10 years of experience in Property Management and Facilities Management at MDC, and has expertly keep our vacancy rate below 1% for the past 8 years.

MDC has a strong balance sheet with over \$50 million in Total Assets, \$12 million in net assets, and we have grown our housing portfolio from 207 to 377 units (soon to be 429 units) in 8 years, as well as grown our revenues from \$2.7 million in 2016 to \$6.5 million in 2023. 77% of our tenants have household incomes <60% of AMI. We truly are a workforce housing provider for "the missing middle". It's important to note that we develop, own and manage all of our properties as a non profit. We have no outside investors as we use our own equity and City funding to help make projects happen.

Our MDC mission to provide quality affordable workforce housing in the Greater Madison area. This project provides 17 new units of quality new housing in a preferred area for development for the City - close to transportation and amenties.

MDC does not do LIHTC projects and we do not have contracts with service providers, other than an agreement with Porchlight to rent units to them that they can apply to put a client of theirs in. We own, manage and maintain all of our properties with our team of 12. There simply is no room in our tight budgets to allow for paying service providers - as again, no outside investors, and we receive very small (if any) developer fees.

9. For non-profit applicants interested in federal HOME funds, please describe the development team's experience using federal HOME or CDBG funds in detail, including a list of past projects the team has developed using such funds.

Since 2016 our team has received and successfully managed 2 HOME funded projects in the City - The 44 unit Graaskamp and the 24 unit Lillian Apts. Thank you for the awards!

10. Identify all key roles in your project development team, including any co-developers, property management agent, supportive services provider(s) (if applicable), architect, general contractor, legal counsel, and any other key consultants, if known.

| Contact Person | Company | Role in Development | E-mail | Phone |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Lorrie Heinemann | MDC | Lead Developer | Lorrie@mdcorp.org | 608-535- 4572 |
| Abbie Wallhaus | MDC | Lead Finance | ABbie@mdcorp.org | 608-256- 2799 |
| Cashton Laufenberg | MDC | VP Housing | Cashton@mdcorp.org | 608-256- 2799 |
| Kevin Burow | Knothe-Bruce Architects | Lead Architect | kburow@knothebruce.com | 608-836- 3690 |
| John Medenwald | Connery Construction | General Contractor | johnm@conneryconstruction.com | 608-839- 3740 |

11. Who will be responsible for monitoring compliance with federal regulations and requirements during development and construction phases of the project? Describe their experience and list any past projects they have completed.

Abbie Wallhaus and Lorrie Heinemann (experience above) most recent HOME Award for Lillian 24 unit

12. Are other major sources of soft funding are being sought for the proposed development (e.g., TIF, Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program, Dane Workforce Housing Fund, etc.)? What is the status of those funds and anticipated commitment dates? Describe the development team's experience in successfully obtaining funds from the sources sought for the proposed development.

We are seeking \$700K from the FHLBC of Chicago for this project. Their RFP is due mid June and decisions are made in the Fall of 2024. We also thrilled to see the Dane Workforce Housing Fund listed in this question #12 - we're so honored to be mentioned!

13. Is your development team willing to offer a development partnership role, employment, or other role to a graduate or student of the Associates in Commercial Real Estate (ACRE) program on this project?

Not at this time. We do have two ACRE alums on our Dane Workforce Housing Fund II Technical Advisory Committee however, and they are doing a great job.

14. Please describe the development team's experience with contracting with Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprises (M/WBE). Beyond standard construction bidding practices, what efforts will be made to ensure that M/WBE businesses are given plentiful opportunities to be competitive when bidding on this proposal and awarded a percentage of contracts that meets or exceeds the City's goals.

We hired a MBE business from the City's list of contractors to rehab our Terrace View 16 unit. The person was highly skilled in drywall, but not skilled in any other tasks his team took on. We had to bring a new contractor in to redo everything at at cost of \$45K, and we were fined because the MBE contractor removed our sidewalk without a permit. We are still working to get this resolved and the City team has been very helpful. Our GC has contracted with several MBE/WBE's for our 52-unit in Middleton, and that has gone very well. We report on that as well as Davis Bacon wages for that project due to our CDBG-DR funding we received from the City of Middleton via the DOA.

15. Beyond standard construction bidding practices, what efforts will the development team commit to ensure that women and people of color represent a meaningful share of the construction labor force working on this proposal. Describe how the development team will meet or exceed the City's contract labor utilization goal of 13% for persons of color working on the job site.

We have two women on the development team. Our General Contractor Connery Construction also works with MBE/WBE which includes people of color and we hope to work with them on this project as well. It is important that the City vet the list they share with developers to ensure the companies have the skillset and references to demonstrate the completion of projects, If not, it would make sense for the City to connect those companies to the excellent training programs at the Madison College, the Latino Academy and several local unions.

16. For the following development team roles, please identify the number and/or percentage of women and persons of color employed by that company or organization, as well as the **total** employees for each firm.

| | | BIPOC Women | | Total Employees | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------|-----|----|
| Company | Role in Development | # | % | # | % | # |
| MDC | Developer | | | 5 | 42% | 12 |
| | Co-Developer | n/a | | | | |
| TBD - must bid out | General Contractor | | | | | |
| MDC | Property Manager | 1 | | 5 | 42% | 12 |
| KBA | Architect | | | | | |

| None | Service Provider | | | | | |
|------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|

17. Describe the development team's organizational experience in engaging with the target populations you intend to serve, including black, indigenous, and other people of color. Especially consider operations, design, development, and property management.

MDC received a FHLBC grant in 2021 and ran a one year DEED - Developing Equity for Emerging Developers Program where we paid for WHEDA developer training for 15+ BIPOC and held events and a Fall Conference for 25 BIPOC. The program was turned over to our co-partners at Forward Commmunity Investments (FCI).

18. Describe ways in which the development team promotes and supports ongoing equity work in internal policy and procedures and within the community and the greater Madison area.

See Above, DEED, Black Business HUB, Loans to MBE/WBE businesses through of City of Madison Business Lending Program, etc.

- 19. If any team member has acted as a development partner or has any ownership interest in any project currently underway or completed, please provide the following information for the team member or any related entity, as applicable:
 - a. List any foreclosure, default, or bankruptcy within the past ten years.
 - b. List any litigation completed, pending, or underway in relation to any financing or construction project within the past five years.
 - c. List any Chronic Nuisance Abatement or Nuisance Case notifications issues by Madison Police Department and/or Building Inspection in the past five years.

| | h 01/0 | | autaida | 4000 | members |
|----|--------|----|---------|------|---------|
| we | nave | HO | outside | team | members |

PROPERTY MANAGEMENT: TENANT SELECTION & AFFIRMATIVE MARKETING

- 20. Confirm that you have read and agree to submit an Affirmative Marketing Plan and Tenant Selection Plan consistent with the Standards found in RFP Attachments B-1 and B-2.

 Tyes, I confirm.
- 21. Describe the affirmative marketing strategy and any other strategies to engage your intended population. How will the Property Manager affirmatively market to populations that are least likely to apply? Specifically outline how this development's marketing will be consistent with the City of Madison's Affirmative Marketing Plan Standards (Attachment B-2 of the RFP), especially for Asian and Latinx populations which are historically under-marketed in affordable housing opportunities.

We will use our current affirmative marketing strategy that has worked for us for over a decade, including for the HOME funds awards we have received in the past. Our vacancy rate is <1.0% and 77% of our households have incomes under 60%, with the majority of those HH <50%. We know we are meeting our mission of providing quality affordable "missing middle" workforce housing.

22. Please address experience in and/or plans to implement inclusive and culturally-inclusive property management and marketing practices. Detail specific partnerships that the development team, Property Manager, and/or other agencies in this proposal have had with community agencies and organizations that provide direct housing search and related assistance to households least likely to apply, especially including undocumented residents and/or residents without social security numbers. Please reference successful past practices, relationships with agencies and/or marketing materials used. Include a list of organizations that you have partnered with in the past for marketing activities.

We trust our Employees. We have no agency partners, but we do partner with the agencies like Porchlight, Wayforward Resources and Journeys Mental Health when needed. WE have an agreement with Porchlight to rent them units in our Middleton properties which works well. We don't have funds to pay these agencies, as you may be aware that MDC does not receive donations from anyone - not the United Way - we produce our own income. This is key for the City to understand that we don't have the capacity to pay agencies.

23. Describe your approach to successfully utilizing alternatives to eviction, both pre- and-post filing, such as payment plans, mediation, etc. to avoid evictions.

Our team, managed by Cashton Laufenberg, our VP of Housing has been successfully managing our growing housing portfolio for over a decade. Our compliance Manager, Karen Birrenkott has over 30 years of working with leasing compliance. We work with tenants to keep them in their apartments and have often providing payment plans and worked with them to avoid evictions. All documented in our well written and used Tenant Policy Manual.

24. Describe any staffing challenges or shortages that the Property Management (PM) company has experienced at the on-site level in the past few years. What will the PM do to address and/or cover on-site staffing challenges at the proposed development should they arise?

MDC has our own internal team of 8 people who manage our properties - 4 in leasing and 4 in facilities so we can flex to the need. We're proud of our team and their ability to maintain our properties and engage with our valued tenants.

- 25. What percent of staff turnover did the PM experience at Madison-area properties in 2023? 0
- 26. Divide the number of resignations or terminations in calendar year 2023 by total number of budgeted positions. Explain turnover rate of 20% or more within Property Management staff. Discuss any other noteworthy staff retention issues or policies in place to reduce staff turnover.

None of our property management team resgined in 2023. Our Director of Property Management has led the team for 10 years and was recently promoted to our VP of Housing. .

27. Please describe the experience of the property management team or agency including trainings and/or certifications that the individual/property management team or agency has completed and/or attained. Who will be responsible for monitoring compliance with local and federal regulations and requirements during the Period of Affordability?

Karen Birrenkott, our compliance manager attends the WHEDA and City updates on compliance, as do ABbie Wallhaus and Cashton Laufenberg when it applies to our model

homes.

| 211 | E INFORMATION |
|-----|--|
| 28. | Address(es) of Proposed Site, if known: 2107-2125 Taft St, Madison WI |
| 29. | In which of the following areas on the Affordable Housing Targeted Area Map (see Attachment A) is the proposed site located? Please check one plus Limited Eligibility, if applicable. Preferred TOD Area (New Construction Only) Eligible Core Transit Area (New Construction Only) Preservation & Rehab Area (Ineligible for New Construction; Some exceptions may apply)) Limited Eligibility Area |
| 30. | Explain why this site was chosen and how it helps the City to expand affordable housing opportunities in areas of greatest impact. Describe the neighborhood and surrounding community. |
| | We own the site which has 4 single family houses on it. We also own the Fisher Apartments directly behind these |

- 31. Identify the neighborhood in which the site is located: South Park
- 32. Site Specific or Site-Undetermined Application:
 - a. Date Site Control Secured (or anticipated): we own them and have acquired them over the years
 - b. Site Specific application without Site Control (check if no site control)
 - c. Check if Site is Yet-To-Be Identified (targeted area proposal)

<u>DEVELOPMENT TEAMS THAT SELECTED OPTION C IN QUESTION 32 (WITH AN UNDETERMINED SITE) CAN NOW SKIP TO APPLICATION SECTION B, AND DO NOT NEED TO COMPLETE QUESTIONS 33-65, AT THIS TIME</u>

33. Current zoning of the site: TR V-1, WP 18 An interactive version of the Zoning Map can be found linked here.

| <i>-</i> | Will the proposed development need to seek a ☑ Yes ☐ No, it's permissively zon | | |
|----------|--|--|---|
| 35. | Describe any necessary planning and zoning-r | related approvals (conditional use permit, demol | ition, etc.) that must be |
| | obtained for the proposal to move forward. | | |
| | | Knothe Bruce to work with us on this. We have a eed to be re-zoned but he did not see an issue v | |
| 36. | relevant in adopted plans, including the City of | with the land use recommendations, goals and old Madison Comprehensive Plan (adopted 2018), and Use Map (interactive version linked here), and | Neighborhood Plan(s), |
| | The 4 Taft parcels are in a Preferred Transit just outside of the TID 51 border. | Oriented Development Area" on the South side | of Madison and are |
| 37. | If the site is in a Limited Eligibility Area, describ strategies, e.g., noise mitigation, air quality, etc | be how the relevant concerns will be addressed c.? | via design or other |
| | n/a | | |
| 38. | traveled by residents of the development (i.e. v | e from the proposed site. All distances should bwalking/driving distance, not straight-line distance lease use the MMSD Find My School link as the | ce). Limit to closest |
| | | | |
| | Type of Amenities & Services | Name of Facility | Distance from Site |
| | Type of Amenities & Services Full Service Grocery Store | Name of Facility Copps - (city working on) . Trader Joes | (in miles) |
| | Full Service Grocery Store | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes | (in miles) 2.5 |
| | | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School Public High School Job-Training Facility, Community College, | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School West High School | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 5.6 miles |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School Public High School Job-Training Facility, Community College, or Continuing Education Programs | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School West High School Urban League, Madison College Pequinos Tresos Day Care | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 5.6 miles .4 miles |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School Public High School Job-Training Facility, Community College, or Continuing Education Programs Childcare | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School West High School Urban League, Madison College Pequinos Tresos Day Care Madison Public Library | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 5.6 miles .4 miles 1.0 .3 miles |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School Public High School Job-Training Facility, Community College, or Continuing Education Programs Childcare Public Library | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School West High School Urban League, Madison College Pequinos Tresos Day Care Madison Public Library Goodman Community Center | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 5.6 miles .4 miles 1.0 .3 miles .3 miles |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School Public High School Job-Training Facility, Community College, or Continuing Education Programs Childcare Public Library Neighborhood or Community Center Full Service Medical Clinic or Hospital | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School West High School Urban League, Madison College Pequinos Tresos Day Care Madison Public Library Goodman Community Center Unity Point - Meriter Health on S. Park | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 5.6 miles .4 miles 1.0 .3 miles .3 miles 1.9 |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School Public High School Job-Training Facility, Community College, or Continuing Education Programs Childcare Public Library Neighborhood or Community Center Full Service Medical Clinic or Hospital Pharmacy | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School West High School Urban League, Madison College Pequinos Tresos Day Care Madison Public Library Goodman Community Center Unity Point - Meriter Health on S. Park Unity Point - Meriter Health on S. Park | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 5.6 miles .4 miles 1.0 .3 miles .3 miles 1.9 |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School Public High School Job-Training Facility, Community College, or Continuing Education Programs Childcare Public Library Neighborhood or Community Center Full Service Medical Clinic or Hospital Pharmacy Public Park or Hiking/Biking Trail | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School West High School Urban League, Madison College Pequinos Tresos Day Care Madison Public Library Goodman Community Center Unity Point - Meriter Health on S. Park Unity Point - Meriter Health on S. Park Brittingham Park, Penn Park on Fisher St | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 5.6 miles .4 miles 1.0 .3 miles .3 miles 1.9 1.9 1.9 .1 mile |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School Public High School Job-Training Facility, Community College, or Continuing Education Programs Childcare Public Library Neighborhood or Community Center Full Service Medical Clinic or Hospital Pharmacy | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School West High School Urban League, Madison College Pequinos Tresos Day Care Madison Public Library Goodman Community Center Unity Point - Meriter Health on S. Park Unity Point - Meriter Health on S. Park Brittingham Park, Penn Park on Fisher St Summit Credit union | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 5.6 miles .4 miles 1.0 .3 miles .3 miles 1.9 1.9 .1 mile .3 miles |
| | Full Service Grocery Store Public Elementary School Public Middle School Public High School Job-Training Facility, Community College, or Continuing Education Programs Childcare Public Library Neighborhood or Community Center Full Service Medical Clinic or Hospital Pharmacy Public Park or Hiking/Biking Trail Banking | Copps - (city working on) , Trader Joes Lapham Elementary Wright Middle School West High School Urban League, Madison College Pequinos Tresos Day Care Madison Public Library Goodman Community Center Unity Point - Meriter Health on S. Park Unity Point - Meriter Health on S. Park Brittingham Park, Penn Park on Fisher St | (in miles) 2.5 4.2 .9 5.6 miles .4 miles 1.0 .3 miles .3 miles 1.9 1.9 1.9 .1 mile |

39. What is the actual walking distance between the proposed site and the nearest seven-day per week transit stops (i.e.

weekday and weekends)?

From 2125 Taft st to South Park at Buick St Bus stop is a 4 minute walk (.2 miles) per the City's transit site

40. Describe the walkability of the site and the safest walking routes for children to get to their elementary and middle schools if MMSD <u>Yellow Bus Service</u> is not provided (e.g., less than 1.5 miles and no major roads crossed). Describe the Metro Transit Route for high school students. Enter "N/A" for age restricted (55+) developments.

Lincoln Elementary is walkable, Badger Rock High School is a yellow bus ride away

41. Describe the transit options for people to access employment and amenities such as childcare, after school activities, grocery stores, the nearest library, neighborhood centers, and other amenities described above.

The best source for this information is not a small non profit developer, the Library, the Goodman Community Center and the Urban League are all a short walk and a great place to get this information for tenants new to the area

42. For non age-restricted developments over 40 units, describe the impact this housing development will have on the schools in this area. What percent are the 5-year projected capacities for the area schools? Ideal enrollment is considered 90%. Are the schools projected to be at, above, or below capacity? Approximately how many elementary and middle school children are projected to live at the proposed housing development based on the proposed unit mix and previous housing experience? See 5-year projected capacities from 2019 school capacity information found in this Report (.pdf pages 30-31). MMSD is in the process of updating this information post-pandemic. Please also e-mail Grady Brown (kgbrown@madison.k12.wi.us) to obtain updated current and projected capacity for the relevant schools.

This a 17 unit apartment building replacing 4 units, so a net of 13 units (well under the 40 units)

CITY AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESSES

43. Briefly summarize the most notable staff comments made at the City's Development Assistance Team (DAT) regarding the proposed development and reference the date of the presentation, if you have already presented. If you've not yet presented to DAT, what is the anticipated date of the presentation?

We have met with Kevin Firchow at the City to discuss this project. We have not made a presentation to anyone at this point, including DAT.

44. For new construction proposals, describe the neighborhood and community input process, including notification to and input from the nearby Neighborhood Association(s), already underway and planned. What was the date that the proposal was presented to the neighborhood? If not yet completed, what is the anticipated meeting date? What issues or concerns with the project has been identified, if any? How will those be addressed? Describe the plan for continued neighborhood input on the development (e.g. steering committee, informational meetings, project website, etc.).

The funding round is here, we are putting together a project. If it is feasbile, we will be delighted to engage in discussions with the neighbors about the project.

45. Describe the response of the alder in which the proposal is located, as well as the adjacent <u>Aldermanic District</u>, if applicable. What issues or concerns with the project has been identified, if any? How will those be addressed?

We have not met with the Alder at this point. We have 5 sites we are considering in Dane County, and our small non profit simply does have the development staff to pursue projects that may not pencil out.

SITE AMENITIES

46. Describe the interior and exterior common area amenities that will be available to tenants and/or guests, and any costs for reservation (e.g., community rooms, exercise room, business center, tot lot, grill area, etc.). What parking will

be provided and at what cost? Will the cost vary by CMI level? For family developments, will there be a year-round indoor play space for children?

We will have a small exercise or community room in the building for all tenants to use. Happy to make it a play area vs. an exercise room. We will have a least 10 surface parking spots at no cost to HOME units unless we are allowed to charge. If we are, the charge would be \$25-\$35 per month.

47. Describe the interior apartment amenities, including plans for internet service (and cost to tenants, if any) and a non-smoking indoor environment throughout the building.

All of our units will have LVP flooring, and internet service. Tenants will pay a monthly amount for internet yet TBD base on our provider. We are using TDS for our 52 unit, and we can provide internet to tenants at a price of ~\$50 per month which we believe is reasonable so we will seek out TDS for a proposal on this building. All of our buildings are non smoking and this one will be as well.

PROPOSAL TIMELINE

48. Please list the estimated/target completion dates associated with the following activities/benchmarks to illustrate the timeline of how your proposal will be implemented.

| Activity/Benchmark | Estimated Month/Year of Completion |
|--|--|
| Draft Site Plan Ready to Submit to Dev. Assistance Team (DAT) [Target/Actual Month/Date] | July 2024 |
| 1st Development Assistance Team Meeting [Target/Actual Month/Date] | August 2024 |
| 1st Neighborhood Meeting [Target/Actual Month/Date] (if applicable) | After HOME funds award annoucement |
| Submission of Land Use Application (if applicable) | Fall 2024 |
| Plan Commission Consideration | Fall 2024 |
| Urban Design Commission Consideration, if applicable [Target Month/Date] | Winter 2024/2025 |
| Complete Financing | by Fall 2024 |
| Acquisition/Real Estate Closing | completed |
| Rehab or New Construction Bid Publishing | after financing award |
| New Construction/Rehab Start | Spring 2025 |
| Begin Lease-Up/Marketing | Spring 2026 |
| New Construction/Rehab Completion | Summer 2026 |
| Certificates(s) of Occupancy Obtained | Summer 2026 |
| Complete Lease-Up | Fall 2026 |
| Request Final Draw of CDD Funds | By Q1 2027 |

HOUSING INFORMATION & UNIT MIX

49. Provide the following information for your proposed project. List the property address along with the number of units you are proposing by size, income category, etc. If this is a scattered site proposal, list each address <u>separately</u> with the number of units you are proposing by income category, size, and rent for that particular address and/or phase. Attach additional pages if needed.

| ADDRES | SS #1: | Taft St Ap | partments - | - Address | TBD (4 par | cels) one l | building wit | h 17 units | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | # of Bedrooms | | | | | | Projected Monthly Unit Rents, Including Utilities | | | | |
| % of County Median Income (CMI) | Total # of units | # of Studios | # of 1 BRs | # of 2 BRs | # of 3 BRs | # of 4+ BRs | \$ Rent for Studios | \$ Rent for 1 BRs | \$ Rent for 2 BRs | \$ Rent for 3 BRs | \$ Rent for 4+ BRs |
| ≤30% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 40% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 50% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1004 | 1181 | 1372 | | |
| 60% | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1004 | 1182 | 1372 | | |
| Affordable Sub-total | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 80% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1712 | 1834 | 2201 | | |
| Market* | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1712 | 1900 | 2300 | | |
| Total Units | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | Notes:High Home and Low Home Rents are about the same | | | | |

^{*40% = 31-40%} CMI; 50% = 41-50% CMI; 60% = 51-60% CMI; 80% = 61-80% CMI; Market = >81% CMI.

| ADDRES | SS #2: | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | # of Bedrooms | | | Projected Monthly Unit Rents, Including Utilities | | | | | |
| % of County Median Income (CMI) | Total # of units | # of Studios | # of 1 BRs | # of 2 BRs | # of 3 BRs | # of 4+ BRs | \$ Rent for Studios | \$ Rent for 1 BRs | \$ Rent for 2 BRs | \$ Rent for 3 BRs | \$ Rent for 4+ BRs |
| ≤30% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 40% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 50% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 60% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Affordable Sub-total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 80% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Market* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Total Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Notes: | | | | |

*40% = 31-40% CMI; 50% = 41-50% CMI; 60% = 51-60% CMI; 80% = 61-80% CMI; Market = >81% CMI.

| 50. U | tilitie | s/amenities i | ncluded in r | ent: 🛚 Wa | | | Gas Free | : Internet In-l | Jnit | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | | | | | ⊠ Washei | r/Dryer 🗌 O | ther: | | | |
| | | e list the sour Iowance Use | | | ility allowand CHA ☐ HU | | otal utility allo | wance per b | edroom size: | |
| | | | | Unit Siz | | | Monthly |] | | |
| | | | (Nu | umber of Be | drooms) | | Allowance (\$) | | | |
| | | | Efficie | | | <u>n/a</u> | (+) | | | |
| | | | 1-Bedr 2-Bedr | | | \$103 \$132 | | | | |
| | | | 3-Bedi | | | <u>n/a</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| REAL | . ES | TATE PROJI | ECT DATA | SUMMARY | | | | | | |
| | | the site addre | ess (or addr | esses if scat | tered sites) (| of the propos | sed housing a | and answer t | the questions | listed below |
| | | | | #11-26- | # Biz or | | Noveleanof | | Appraised | |
| | | # of Units | # of Units | # Units Occupied at | Residential Tenants to | # of Units | Number of Units Post- | Appraised Value | Value After Project | |
| | | Prior to Purchase | Post- Project | Time of Purchase | be Displaced | Accessible Current? | Project Accessible? | Current (Or Estimated) | Completion (Or Estimated) | Purchase Price |
| Addre | ess: | 2017-2025 Ta | ft | | | | | | | |
| Addre | | 4 Enter Address | 17 | 4 | 4 | no | 17 | \$1.1 million | \$3.1 million | n/a we own |
| Addre | 355. | Enter Address | ; <u>Z</u> | | | | | | T | |
| Addre | ess: | Enter Address | 3 | 1 | 1 | <u></u> | <u>,L</u> | <u> </u> | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| br ur S | riefly <u>nderv</u> ingle | summarize a way, and/or a | any issues in any existing es on Taft S | dentified. Ide conditions of t. A Phase I I | entify any env f environmer | vironmental r ntal significar | remediation a nce located o | activities plan on the propos | has been conned, complesed site. & the City to | ted, or |
| | | y any existing ition of any b | | | sed site, noti | ing any that a | are currently | occupied. De | escribe the p | lanned |
| | 4 sin tena | gle family ho | omes owned | by MDC and | other MDC | | | | sin 2025 afte South Park S | |
| di <u>re</u> | splad loca | ced temporar tion assistan | rily or perma | anently? If so will impleme | o, please des | cribe the rela | ocation requi | | rner or rental) ocation plan a | |
| | no b | usinesses wi | Il be relocat | ed | | | | | | |
| sı re pl | umm habi | arize the sco | pe and cost cupied prop | t; attach a co | py of the cap | oital needs a | ssessment if | available. If | this property proposal incl ne assessmer | udes |
| | . ,, u | | | | | | | | | |

ENERGY EFFICIENCY, RENEWABLE ENERGY, SUSTAINABLE DESIGN & ACCESSIBILITY

| Describe your organization's experience in developing projects that incorporate extraordinary sustainability, energy efficiency, decarbonization/electrification, and/or green building design techniques? Please list any industry standards third-party certifications or awards achieved on projects developed in the past ten years, such as LEED®, WELL, ENERGY STAR Multifamily New Construction Certification, Passive House, etc. | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| 3. Describe the proposed project's energy efficiency approach, design and equipment choices. For new construction proposals, please attach a copy of the confirmation page demonstrating that your organization has submitted an Initia-Application for Focus on Energy's Energy Design Assistance program once available. For rehabilitation proposals, please attach documentation confirming that your organization has reached out to Focus on Energy's multifamily-program , once available. | | | | | | |
| We worked with Focus on Energy for the Lillian 24 unit in Madis plan to do so again if we receive City and FHLBC funding. | on and | our Mill Apartments in Middleton and will | | | | |
| Check all applicable third-party certifications of Energy Efficiency | & Sust | ainability that will be sought. | | | | |
| Third-Party Certification | YES | | | | | |
| Focus on Energy's Energy Design Assistance program (Initial Application submittal confirmation page is attached) | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| Wisconsin Green Built- GOLD Standard | | | | | | |
| EPA AirPLUS | | | | | | |
| LEED® | | | | | | |
| WELL | | | | | | |
| ENERGY STAR Multifamily New Construction | | | | | | |
| Passive House | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| 60. Please describe how this proposed development will contribute to the City's goal of 100% renewable energy a zero carbon emissions community-wide by 2050. For more information, see 100% Renewable Madison Repor Please describe below any other renewable energy systems to be included in the development, such as solar solar hot water, geothermal, etc. What size of solar array is anticipated (in Kw)? What percentage of on-site electricity use is the development aiming to provide via the solar array? Solar panels as recommended by Focus on Energy if we receive grants like we did for Lillian (Solar for Good others)We are not energy experts so we will reach out to MGE and Focus on Energy to determine what need be included to make this project dovetail nicely into the City's renewable energy plans. | | | | | | |
| | third-party certifications or awards achieved on projects develope ENERGY STAR Multifamily New Construction Certification, Passis We plan to use Energy Star appliances as we allways do, and if Lillian to keep energy costs low. We put solar on our 24-unit Lill Lillian to keep energy costs low. We put solar on our 24-unit Lillian to keep energy costs low. We put solar on our 24-unit Lillian to keep energy costs low. We put solar on our 24-unit Lillian to keep energy costs low. We put solar on our 24-unit Lillian to keep energy costs low. We put solar on our 24-unit Lillian to keep energy costs low. We put solar on our 24-unit Lillian to keep energy costs low. We put solar on our 24-unit Lillian to keep energy's Energy Design Assistance program, ance available. We worked with Focus on Energy for the Lillian 24 unit in Madis plan to do so again if we receive City and FHLBC funding. Check all applicable third-party certifications of Energy Efficiency Third-Party Certification Focus on Energy's Energy Design Assistance program (Initial Application submittal confirmation page is attached) Wisconsin Green Built- GOLD Standard EPA AirPLUS LEED® WELL ENERGY STAR Multifamily New Construction Passive House Other: Other: Please describe how this proposed development will contribute to zero carbon emissions community-wide by 2050. For more inform Please describe below any other renewable energy systems to be solar hot water, geothermal, etc. What size of solar array is anticipated (in Kw)? What percentage of on-site electricity use is the development aim Solar panels as recommended by Focus on Energy if we receiv others)We are not energy experts so we will reach out to MGE as the solar panels as recommended by Focus on Energy if we receiv others)We are not energy experts so we will reach out to MGE as the solar panels as recommended by Focus on Energy if we received the solar panels as recommended by Focus on Energy if we received the solar panels as recommended by Focus on Energy if we received the solar pane | third-party certifications or awards achieved on projects developed in the ENERGY STAR Multifamily New Construction Certification, Passive Hot. We plan to use Energy Star appliances as we allways do, and if feasib Lillian to keep energy costs low. We put solar on our 24-unit Lillian in 2 Describe the proposed project's energy efficiency approach, design and proposals, please attach a copy of the confirmation page demonstrating Application for Focus on Energy's Energy Design Assistance program or please attach documentation confirming that your organization has reach program, once available. We worked with Focus on Energy for the Lillian 24 unit in Madison and plan to do so again if we receive City and FHLBC funding. Check all applicable third-party certifications of Energy Efficiency & Sust Third-Party Certification Focus on Energy's Energy Design Assistance program (Initial Application submittal confirmation page is attached) Wisconsin Green Built- GOLD Standard EPA AirPLUS LEED® WELL ENERGY STAR Multifamily New Construction Passive House Other: Other: Other: Other: Describe how this proposed development will contribute to the Cizero carbon emissions community-wide by 2050. For more information, and the proposed describe below any other renewable energy systems to be included solar hot water, geothermal, etc. What size of solar array is anticipated (in Kw)? What percentage of on-site electricity use is the development aiming to proposed others of the development aiming to proposed others of the proposed of the proposed of the contribute of the Cizero carbon emissions commended by Focus on Energy if we receive grant others) We are not energy experts so we will reach out to MGE and For | | | | |

| | indicate sustainable design features and equipment in reduce fossil fuel consumption, achieve decarbonizati | | | .I | | |
|----------------------------|---|-------------|---|------------|--|--|
| | ustainability Design Features & Equipment | YES | Comments | | | |
| a. | Air-source or ground source heat pumps | | | | | |
| b. | Electric or heat-pump water heaters | | | | | |
| C. | Electric stoves | | | | | |
| d. | (exceeding City ordinance requirements) | | | | | |
| e. | Battery storage | | | | | |
| f. | Other: | \boxtimes | work with KBA and FOE for their recommendations | | | |
| g. | Other: | | | | | |
| that will especia a. | onstruction Proposals Only: Please <u>briefly</u> describe the be incorporated into the proposed project as reference ally the following: Design for Equitable Communities | | | ∋ s | | |
| We w | ill work with FOE to develop a plan. | | | | | |
| <u></u> b. | Design for Energy – Optimized energy use. What is t | he U vali | ue of windows? | | | |
| | d energy efficiency windows on Lillian and Mill and wi | | | | | |
| C. | nd conserve water (i.e. water efficiency), redu ecapture and/or reuse water on-site. | uce | | | | |
| work | with FOE | | | | | |
| d. | Design for Resources – Optimize building space and | material | use | | | |
| We work with KBA | | | | | | |
| <u>е.</u> | Design for Well-being – Consider physical, mental, a | nd emoti | onal well-heing, plus trauma-informed design | | | |
| | elieve in a common area and exercise space, like all c | | | <u> </u> | | |
| f | Design for Ecosystems – Especially indoor environm | ental qua | ality (IFQ) | | | |
| will work with KBA and FOE | | | | | | |
| g. | Design for Change – Optimize operational and maint | | | | | |
| efficie | ork with KBA and FOE and our VP of Housing - since nt! | we have | our own maintenance team, we want to be | | | |
| h. | Design for Integration, Economy, Change and/or Disc | coverv – | Any additional AIA Framework comments | | | |
| | ork with KBA and FOE | <u> </u> | 7 tily additional 7 til 7 famowork commonte | | | |
| | be this development's approach to accessibility. Indicathe number and percent of proposed Type A accessib | | | | | |

Will this development exceed the minimum requirements to the greatest extent feasible? For rehab, describe the accessibility modifications that will be incorporated into the existing development.

MDC has been focused on providing full accessbile buildings for past 8 years, with all first floor units "universally designed" to be accessible by all, plus the require full ADA units. Lillian 24-unit and Graaskamp 44 unit are excellent examples. No barrier entries (no steps), roll in showers or low lip shower entries, auto doors for entry so wheel chair bound tenants can get in without assistance, etc.

64. Describe this development's level of commitment to the principles of Universal Design. Explain the extent to which the development team will incorporate the greatest feasible levels of Universal Design in residential units, commercial spaces, and in common areas. What percentage or number of units in the proposed development will incorporate Universal Design principles? What percent of units will be visitable?

You beat me to it - we are ALL in - 100%. All first floor units will be universally designed. The second floor will be similar-but with no elevator, they will not be accessible to a wheel chair bound tenant.

REFERENCES

65. Please list at least three municipal/financing references who can speak to your work on similar developments completed by your team.

| Name | Relationship | Email Address | Phone | |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Vincent Rice | Summit Credit Union | vincent.rice@summitcreditunion.com | 608-368-6335 | |
| Linette Rhodes | City of Madison CDD | Irhodes@cityofmadison.com | 608-261-9240 | |
| Mike Flynn | LakeRidge Banker | mflynn@lakeridge.bank | 608-238-5148 | |

<u>DEVELOPMENT TEAMS WITH AN IDENTIFIED SITE (AND HAVE COMPLETED QUESTIONS 32-65) DO NOT NEED TO COMPLETE SECTION B.</u>

SECTION B - TARGETED AREA ONLY (No Identified Site)

SITE INFORMATION

| 1. | General Area of Proposed Sites: | | | | | |
|----|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2. | If applicable, please identify in which of the following areas on the Affordable Housing Targeted Area Map your proposal is generally located in, or that you anticipate you will target. If the area targeted for your proposal is larger or consists of multiple areas, please select one of the following that comprises the majority of your target area. Preferred Area (New Construction Only) Super-Preferred Area (New Construction Only) Eligible Area (New Construction & Acquisition/Rehabilitation) Targeted Rehab Area (Ineligible for New Construction, but preferred for acquisition & rehabilitation) | | | | | |
| 3. | Explain why this area was chosen. How does it help the City to expand affordable housing opportunities in areas of greatest impact? How will this area assist in providing neighborhood-serving benefits? | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4. | Describe the type of housing project you proporehab)? | ose to develop (i.e. new construction, prese | rvation or acquisition and | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5. | Number of units you anticipate developing in the | | situ/o amino dono not oviet | | | |
| 6. | Identify the following amenities that exist in the within the area, determine and list the next close | | hity/service does not exist | | | |
| | Type of Amenities & Services | Name of Facility | In Targeted Area? (yes/no) | | | |
| | Full Service Grocery Store | Hame of Lacinty | | | | |
| | Public Elementary School | | | | | |
| | Public Middle School | | | | | |
| | Public High School | | | | | |
| | Job-Training Facility, Community College, or Continuing Education Programs | | | | | |
| | Childcare | | | | | |
| | Public Library | | | | | |
| | Neighborhood or Community Center | | | | | |
| | Full Service Medical Clinic or Hospital | | | | | |
| | Pharmacy | | | | | |
| | Public Park or Hiking/Biking Trail | | | | | |
| | Banking | | | | | |
| | Retail | | | | | |
| | Other (list the amenities): | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | |
| 7. | Are there seven-day per week transit stops (i.e. | e. weekday and weekends) in or adjacent to | your targeted area? | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 8. | Describe your experience in gaining necessary planning and zoning-related approvals (rezoning, conditional use permit, demolition, etc.) that have been obtained for other developments. Are there adequate sites available in this targeted area that can feasibly identify and develop within the timeframe listed in the RFP? Please explain how you anticipate selecting a site(s). |
|-----|---|
| 9. | Describe the your anticipated project and targeted area's consistency with adopted <u>plans</u> , including the City of Madison Comprehensive Plan (adopted 2018), Neighborhood Plan(s), Special Area Plans, the Generalized Future Land Use Map (interactive version linked <u>here</u>), and any other relevant <u>plans</u> . |
| CIT | Y AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESSES |
| 10. | Describe your familiarity with this neighborhood and community. Have you previously worked with this Neighborhood Association(s) or Alder? Describe the response of the alderperson in which the proposal is located, as well as the adjacent alderperson(s), if applicable. What issues or concerns with your anticipated project have been identified, if any? How will those be addressed? Please note new Aldermanic Districts that went into effect January 1, 2022. |
| | |
| | |
| Spe | E AMENITIES ecific details of site amenities will be asked in a supplemental application submittal once a site(s) has been identified, a component of City approvals before funding allocated. |
| EN | ERGY EFFICIENCY, RENEWABLE ENERGY & SUSTAINABLE DESIGN |
| 11. | What is your organization's experience in developing projects that incorporate extraordinary sustainable, energy efficient, and/or green building design techniques? Please list any awards, industry standards or third-party certifications achieved on projects developed in the past ten years, LEED®, WELL, Passive House, etc. |
| | |
| 12. | Please describe how proposed developments will contribute to the City's goal of 100% renewable energy and zeronet carbon emissions (originally adopted March 21, 2017). For more information, see 100% Renewable Madison Report. |
| | |
| | |
| 13. | Describe this development team's past approach to accessibility. Will any proposed development meet or exceed the minimum requirements? For rehab, describe the accessibility modifications that will be incorporated into existing developments. |
| | |

| 14. Describe this your agency's commitment to the principles of Universal Design. Elaborate on how your agency has incorporated Universal Design components in residential units, any commercial space, and in common areas. Description anticipate that your agency will exceed building code standards for Type A units? Once a site is identified, what percentage or number of units in any proposed developments will incorporate Universal Design principles? | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ONLY DEVELOPMENT TEAMS APPLYING FOR HOME-ARP FUNDS MUST COMPLETE SECTION C. ALL OTHER APPLICANTS MAY PROCEED TO PAGE 21. | |
| | |
| | |

SECTION C - HOME-ARP FUNDS - HOMELESSNESS & HOUSING STABILITY

Applicants seeking HOME-ARP funds, must also complete the following questions.

| 1. | Please confirm that the development team, including property management and supportive service coordinator, have read and understand Attachment E which outlines the requirements of the HOME-ARP program. Yes, I confirm. |
|----|--|
| 2. | |
| 3. | Please identify the per-unit cost of the HOME-ARP units in your project: |
| 4. | Describe the consultation and coordination between Developer, the Property Manager and the lead Supportive Service Coordination Agency that occurred prior to this application and planned to design the development in terms of matching unit mix (income and size) to the targeted population. |
| 5. | Describe the planned approach, relationship and coordination between the Property Manager and the lead Supportive Service Coordination Agency for lease up and ongoing services. Have these entities previously participated in an indepth pre-lease up coordination process with these target population(s) in coordination with relevant community partners (e.g., CDA, DCHA, VASH, CE, etc.)? How will these entities work together to ensure a successful development well-integrated with the immediate neighborhood and community? |
| 6. | Describe the planned approach that the development team will utilize to engage with and center the voices of the Qualifying Populations in the design of the proposed development using trauma-informed design? |
| 7. | Describe the development team's experience using a Housing First approach to supportive housing? |
| | |

8. Please submit a Support Service Plan with your application. This plan should highlight the following elements:

a. Operations Plan

- i. Affirmative Marketing Plan (must meet Affirmative Marketing Plan Standards).
- ii. Tenant Selection Plan (must meet Tenant Selection Plan Standards and HOME-ARP guidelines, including a Housing First approach).
- iii. Staffing needs (i.e. %FTE and ratio of staff per household, etc.).
- iv. Lease up Coordination detail how property management will work with the support service provider and partner agencies to seek referrals for vacant units.
 - 1. Describe the process for using Coordinated Entry to seek referrals of the prioritized qualifying population (households experiencing homelessness).
 - Describe how property management will ensure that households who are unserved by Coordinated Entry but otherwise meet the HUD definition of the Qualifying Population will have access to HOME-ARP units through a waitlist.
 - 3. Describe the process to lease non-HOME-ARP units, if applicable.
- v. Outreach Strategies how the property will engage its residents in the supportive services offered.
- vi. Resident Assessment how will case managers assess the supportive service needs of individual residents.
- vii. Support Service Coordination
 - 1. Description of the type(s) and level of supportive services offered.
 - 2. Describe what services are provided on-site.
 - 3. Identify partnerships, if any, with supportive service agencies that have been or will be formed to serve the target population. How will external services be accessed.

b. Budget and Spending Plan:

- i. 5-year budget projection on support service costs and sources.
- ii. Resource Management:
 - How will funding required for providing services outlined in the plan will remain available over time.
 - 2. Identify how HOME-ARP service funds will be budgeted over-time.
- iii. Spending Plan: a detailed explanation of how the supportive services funds will be spent and how that fits into the property's Supportive Services Plan.
 - 1. Specifically identify how HOME-ARP service funds will be spent.

The following attachments are included with this application:

| 1. A completed Application Budget Workbook, showing the City's proposed financial contribution and all other proposed financing via Worksheets 1-4 (Agency Overview, Capital, and Expenses, Proforma). |
|---|
| 2. A detailed map of the site, for development proposals with an identified site, |
| 3. A map using the AHF Affordable Housing Targeted Area Map, indicating the site in the context of the City. |
| 4. A preliminary site plan and one to two renderings, if available. |
| 5. A Capital Needs Assessment report of the subject property, or similarly detailed rehab phasing and cost report, if the proposal is for a rehabilitation project and if the report is available at the time of application. |
| 6. A recent market study or informal analysis, if available at the time of application. |
| 7. For rental development proposals with more than one owner, a Project Organizational Chart, including ownership interest percentages. |
| 8.a. Tenant Selection Plan consistent with the City's Standards outlined in Attachment B-1, if one is available at time of application. |
| 8.b. Affirmative Marketing Plan consistent with the CDD's AMP Standards outlined in Attachment B-2, if one is available at time of application. |

If the following items are not available at the time of initial application, submittal will be required at the following future date:

| Application Item | Due Date | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Preliminary Site Plan | A week prior to Development | |
| | Assistance Team (DAT) | |
| Capital Needs Assessment | Supplemental Application | |
| Market study/Analysis | Supplemental Application | |
| (Required for new construction proposals seeking HOME funds) | | |
| Proposals with an Undetermined Site, Questions 33-65 | Supplemental Application | |

APPLICANT & PROJECT NAME:

Madison Development Corporation -Taft Street "Bankston" Apartments

2. CAPITAL BUDGET

Enter ALL proposed project funding sources.

FUNDING SOURCES

| FUNDING SOURCES | _ | | 1 | | | | • |
|---|----|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Source | | Amount | Non- Amortizing (Y/N) | Rate (%) | Term (Years) | Amort. Period (Years) | Annual Debt Service |
| Permanent Loan-Lender Name: | | | | | | | |
| Lake Ridge Bank | \$ | 2,000,000 | N | 6.00% | 10 | 35 | \$164,238 |
| Subordinate Loan-Lender Name: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Subordinate Loan-Lender Name: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Tax Exempt Loan-Bond Issuer: | | | | | | | |
| WHEFA | | | | | | | |
| FHLB-AHP Loan | \$ | 700,000 | | | | | |
| City Loan Request (AHF/HOME) | \$ | 2,241,369 | | | | | |
| City-Loan HOME-ARP (Develoipment Funds) | | | | | | | |
| City-Loan Request (TIF) | | | | | | | |
| Other-Specify Lender/Grantor: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Other-Specify Lender/Grantor: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Other-Specify Lender/Grantor: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Historic Tax Credit Equity | | | | | | | |
| Deferred Developer Fees | \$ | - | | | | | |
| Owner Investment | \$ | 557,631 | | | | | |
| Other-Specify: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total Sources | \$ | 5,499,000 | | | | | |

| Construction Financing | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----------|-------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Source of Funds | | Amount | Rate | Term (Months) | | | | | | |
| Construction Loan-Lender Name: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Ridge Bank | \$ | 4,000,000 | 6.00% | 12 | | | | | | |
| Bridge Loan-Lender Name: | | | | | | | | | | |
| MDC loan to project until all funds come in | \$ | 1,499,000 | | | | | | | | |
| Historic Tax Credit Equity: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ | - | | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ | 5,499,000 | | | | | | | | |

Remarks Concerning Project Funding Sources:

MDC is applying for both City HOME Funds and FHLBC AH Funds for this small infill project in the City of Madison on 4 properties (lots) we own on Taft street, 2107, 2117, 2121 and 2105 Taft St. We have met with Lake Ridge Bank and are confident we can secure a Term Sheet once we apply.

Market Study

3. PROJECT EXPENSES

Enter the proposed project expenses

| Acquisition Costs | Amount | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Land | \$132,000 | |
| Existing Buildings/Improvements | \$0 | |
| Other (List) | | |
| | \$0 | |
| Construction: | | |
| Construction/Rehab Costs | \$4,080,000 | < If applicable, please list the costs |
| Construction Profit | included | attributable to "above and beyond" green |
| Construction Overhead | included | building/Net Zero construction components included in the Construction |
| General Requirements | included | Costs line item: |
| Construction Supervision | \$204,000 | Solar on Roof -net of grant |
| FF&E/Personal Property | \$10,000 | |
| Demolition | \$50,000 | |
| Site Work | \$15,000 | |
| Landscaping | \$25,000 | |
| Letter of Credit/P&P Bond | \$0 | |
| Construction Contingency | \$204,000 | |
| Other (List) | | |
| Solar on Roof | \$35,000 | |
| Architectural & Engineering | | |
| Architect - Design | \$150,000 | |
| Architect - Supervision | \$0 | |
| Engineering | \$10,000 | |
| Other (List) | | |
| | \$0 | |
| Interim/Construction Costs | | |
| Builder's Risk/Property Insurance | \$40,000 | |
| Construction Loan Interest | \$120,000 | |
| Construction Loan Origination Fee | \$20,000 | |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$0 | |
| Park Impact Fees | \$10,500 | |
| Other Impact Fees | \$0 | |
| Other (List) | | |
| | \$0 | |
| Financing Fees | | |
| Cost of Bond Issuance | \$30,000 | |
| Permanent Loan Origination Fee | \$0 | |
| Credit Enhancement | \$0 | |
| Other Permanent Loan Fees | \$0 | |
| Soft Costs | | |
| Appraisal | \$4,500 | |

\$7,500

| Environmental Reports | \$4,500 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Survey | \$25,000 |
| Permits | \$80,000 |
| Lease-Up Period Marketing | \$0 |
| Accounting/Cost Certification | \$7,500 |
| Title Insurance and Recording | \$1,000 |
| Relocation | \$200,000 |
| FF&E | \$10,000 |
| Capital Needs Assessment (if rehab) | \$0 |
| Legal | \$15,000 |
| Other (List) | |
| | \$0 |
| Fees: | |
| Bridge Loan Fees | \$0 |
| Organizational Fees | \$0 |
| Syndication Fees | \$0 |
| Total Development Fee | \$0 |
| Developer Overhead | \$0 |
| Other Consultant Fees | \$0 |
| Other (List) | |
| | |
| Reserves Funded from Capital: | - |
| Lease-Up Reserve | \$0 |
| Operating Reserve | \$4,250 |
| Replacement Reserve | \$4,250 |
| Capital Needs Reserve | \$0 |
| Debt Service Reserve | \$0 |
| Escrows | \$0 |
| Other: (List) | |
| | \$0 |
| TOTAL COSTS: | \$5,499,000 |
| | - |

Assumptions

Vacancy Rate

Other

Annual Increase Income

Annual Increase Exspenses

5.0%

2.0%

2.5%

4. PROJECT PROFORMA

Enter total Revenue and Expense information for the proposed project for a 30 year period.

| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | Year 11 | Year 12 | Year 13 | Year 14 | Year 15 | Year 16 |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Gross Income | 311,172 | 317,395 | 323,743 | 330,218 | 336,823 | 343,559 | 350,430 | 357,439 | 364,588 | 371,879 | 379,317 | 386,903 | 394,641 | 402,534 | 410,585 | 418,797 |
| Less Vacancy/Bad Debt | 15,559 | 15,870 | 16,187 | 16,511 | 16,841 | 17,178 | 17,522 | 17,872 | 18,229 | 18,594 | 18,966 | 19,345 | 19,732 | 20,127 | 20,529 | 20,940 |
| Income from Non-Residential Use* | 2,400 | 2,448 | 2,497 | 2,547 | 2,598 | 2,650 | 2,703 | 2,757 | 2,812 | 2,868 | 2,926 | 2,984 | 3,044 | 3,105 | 3,167 | 3,230 |
| Total Revenue | 298,013 | 303,974 | 310,053 | 316,254 | 322,579 | 329,031 | 335,611 | 342,324 | 349,170 | 356,154 | 363,277 | 370,542 | 377,953 | 385,512 | 393,222 | 401,087 |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Office Expenses and Phone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Real Estate Taxes | 6,000 | 6,150 | 6,304 | 6,461 | 6,623 | 6,788 | 6,958 | 7,132 | 7,310 | 7,493 | 7,681 | 7,873 | 8,069 | 8,271 | 8,478 | 8,690 |
| Advertising, Accounting, Legal Fees | 2,000 | 2,050 | 2,101 | 2,154 | 2,208 | 2,263 | 2,319 | 2,377 | 2,437 | 2,498 | 2,560 | 2,624 | 2,690 | 2,757 | 2,826 | 2,897 |
| Payroll, Payroll Taxes and Benefits | 22,000 | 22,550 | 23,114 | 23,692 | 24,284 | 24,891 | 25,513 | 26,151 | 26,805 | 27,475 | 28,162 | 28,866 | 29,588 | 30,327 | 31,085 | 31,863 |
| Property Insurance | 30,000 | 30,750 | 31,519 | 32,307 | 33,114 | 33,942 | 34,791 | 35,661 | 36,552 | 37,466 | 38,403 | 39,363 | 40,347 | 41,355 | 42,389 | 43,449 |
| Mtc, Repairs and Mtc Contracts | 10,000 | 10,250 | 10,506 | 10,769 | 11,038 | 11,314 | 11,597 | 11,887 | 12,184 | 12,489 | 12,801 | 13,121 | 13,449 | 13,785 | 14,130 | 14,483 |
| Utilities (gas/electric/fuel/water/sewer) | 24,000 | 24,600 | 25,215 | 25,845 | 26,492 | 27,154 | 27,833 | 28,528 | 29,242 | 29,973 | 30,722 | 31,490 | 32,277 | 33,084 | 33,911 | 34,759 |
| Property Mgmt | 14,877 | 15,249 | 15,630 | 16,021 | 16,421 | 16,832 | 17,253 | 17,684 | 18,126 | 18,579 | 19,044 | 19,520 | 20,008 | 20,508 | 21,021 | 21,546 |
| Operating Reserve Pmt | 4,250 | 4,356 | 4,465 | 4,577 | 4,691 | 4,808 | 4,929 | 5,052 | 5,178 | 5,308 | 5,440 | 5,576 | 5,716 | 5,859 | 6,005 | 6,155 |
| Replacement Reserve Pmt | 4,250 | 4,356 | 4,465 | 4,577 | 4,691 | 4,808 | 4,929 | 5,052 | 5,178 | 5,308 | 5,440 | 5,576 | 5,716 | 5,859 | 6,005 | 6,155 |
| Support Services | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (List) | Other (List) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 117,377 | 120,311 | 123,319 | 126,402 | 129,562 | 132,801 | 136,121 | 139,524 | 143,012 | 146,588 | 150,252 | 154,009 | 157,859 | 161,805 | 165,851 | 169,997 |
| Net Operating Income | 180,636 | 183,662 | 186,734 | 189,852 | 193,017 | 196,230 | 199,490 | 202,799 | 206,158 | 209,566 | 213,024 | 216,533 | 220,094 | 223,707 | 227,372 | 231,090 |
| Debt Service: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| First Mortgage | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 |
| Second Mortgage | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (List) | · | | | • | • | • | • | · | | , | • | • | • | | , | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Debt Service | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 |
| Total Annual Cash Expenses | 281,615 | 284,549 | 287,557 | 290,640 | 293,800 | 297,039 | 300,359 | 303,762 | 307,250 | 310,826 | 314,490 | 318,247 | 322,097 | 326,043 | 330,089 | 334,235 |
| Total Net Operating Income | 16,398 | 19,424 | 22,496 | 25,614 | 28,779 | 31,992 | 35,252 | 38,561 | 41,920 | 45,328 | 48,786 | 52,295 | 55,856 | 59,469 | 63,134 | 66,852 |
| Debt Service Reserve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash Flow | 16,398 | 19,424 | 22,496 | 25,614 | 28,779 | 31,992 | 35,252 | 38,561 | 41,920 | 45,328 | 48,786 | 52,295 | 55,856 | 59,469 | 63,134 | 66,852 |
| AHF City Interest Loan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Including commercial tenants, laundry facilities, vending ma | achines, parking spa | ices, storage space | es or application fee | es. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DCR Hard Debt | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1.14 | 1.16 | 1.18 | 1.19 | 1.21 | 1.23 | 1.26 | 1.28 | 1.30 | 1.32 | 1.34 | 1.36 | 1.38 | 1.41 |
| DCR Total Debt | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1.14 | 1.16 | 1.18 | 1.19 | 1.21 | 1.23 | 1.26 | 1.28 | 1.30 | 1.32 | 1.34 | 1.36 | 1.38 | 1.41 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | , | | | | | |

*Please list all fees (per unit per month) and non-residential income:

Pet Fees \$25 per month, Parking surface \$30 for non-HO

3. PROJECT PROFORMA (cont.)

Enter total Revenue and Expense information for the proposed project for a 30 year period.

| · | Year 17 | Year 18 | Year 19 | Year 20 | Year 21 | Year 22 | Year 23 | Year 24 | Year 25 | Year 26 | Year 27 | Year 28 | Year 29 | Year 30 |
|--|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Gross Income | 427,172 | 435,716 | 444,430 | 453,319 | 462,385 | 471,633 | 481,066 | 490,687 | 500,501 | 510,511 | 520,721 | 531,135 | 541,758 | 552,593 |
| Less Vacancy/Bad Debt | 21,359 | 21,786 | 22,222 | 22,666 | 23,119 | 23,582 | 24,053 | 24,534 | 25,025 | 25,526 | 26,036 | 26,557 | 27,088 | 27,630 |
| Income from Non-Residential Use* | 3,295 | 3,361 | 3,428 | 3,496 | 3,566 | 3,638 | 3,710 | 3,785 | 3,860 | 3,937 | 4,016 | 4,097 | 4,178 | 4,262 |
| Total Revenue | 409,109 | 417,291 | 425,637 | 434,149 | 442,832 | 451,689 | 460,723 | 469,937 | 479,336 | 488,923 | 498,701 | 508,675 | 518,849 | 529,226 |
| Expenses: | 1 | L | L | l. | l. | | | L | | | | | | |
| Office Expenses and Phone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Real Estate Taxes | 8,907 | 9,130 | 9,358 | 9,592 | 9,832 | 10,077 | 10,329 | 10,588 | 10,852 | 11,124 | 11,402 | 11,687 | 11,979 | 12,278 |
| Advertising, Accounting, Legal Fees | 2,969 | 3,043 | 3,119 | 3,197 | 3,277 | 3,359 | 3,443 | 3,529 | 3,617 | 3,708 | 3,801 | 3,896 | 3,993 | 4,093 |
| Payroll, Payroll Taxes and Benefits | 32,659 | 33,476 | 34,312 | 35,170 | 36,050 | 36,951 | 37,875 | 38,821 | 39,792 | 40,787 | 41,806 | 42,852 | 43,923 | 45,021 |
| Property Insurance | 44,535 | 45,649 | 46,790 | 47,960 | 49,158 | 50,387 | 51,647 | 52,938 | 54,262 | 55,618 | 57,009 | 58,434 | 59,895 | 61,392 |
| Mtc, Repairs and Mtc Contracts | 14,845 | 15,216 | 15,597 | 15,987 | 16,386 | 16,796 | 17,216 | 17,646 | 18,087 | 18,539 | 19,003 | 19,478 | 19,965 | 20,464 |
| Utilities (gas/electric/fuel/water/sewer) | 35,628 | 36,519 | 37,432 | 38,368 | 39,327 | 40,310 | 41,318 | 42,351 | 43,409 | 44,495 | 45,607 | 46,747 | 47,916 | 49,114 |
| Property Mgmt | 22,085 | 22,637 | 23,203 | 23,783 | 24,378 | 24,987 | 25,612 | 26,252 | 26,908 | 27,581 | 28,271 | 28,977 | 29,702 | 30,444 |
| Operating Reserve Pmt | 6,309 | 6,467 | 6,629 | 6,794 | 6,964 | 7,138 | 7,317 | 7,500 | 7,687 | 7,879 | 8,076 | 8,278 | 8,485 | 8,697 |
| Replacement Reserve Pmt | 6,309 | 6,467 | 6,629 | 6,794 | 6,964 | 7,138 | 7,317 | 7,500 | 7,687 | 7,879 | 8,076 | 8,278 | 8,485 | 8,697 |
| Support Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (List) | Other (List) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Expenses | 174,247 | 178,603 | 183,068 | 187,645 | 192,336 | 197,144 | 202,073 | 207,125 | 212,303 | 217,610 | 223,051 | 228,627 | 234,343 | 240,201 |
| Net Operating Income | 234,862 | 238,688 | 242,568 | 246,504 | 250,496 | 254,545 | 258,650 | 262,812 | 267,033 | 271,312 | 275,650 | 280,048 | 284,506 | 289,024 |
| Debt Service: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| First Mortgage | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 |
| Second Mortgage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other (List) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Debt Service | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 | 164,238 |
| Total Annual Cash Expenses | 338,485 | 342,841 | 347,306 | 351,883 | 356,574 | 361,382 | 366,311 | 371,363 | 376,541 | 381,848 | 387,289 | 392,865 | 398,581 | 404,439 |
| Total Net Operating Income | 70,624 | 74,450 | 78,330 | 82,266 | 86,258 | 90,307 | 94,412 | 98,574 | 102,795 | 107,074 | 111,412 | 115,810 | 120,268 | 124,786 |
| Debt Service Reserve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash Flow | 70,624 | 74,450 | 78,330 | 82,266 | 86,258 | 90,307 | 94,412 | 98,574 | 102,795 | 107,074 | 111,412 | 115,810 | 120,268 | 124,786 |
| AHF City Interest Loan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Including laundry facilities, vending machines, parking spaces, storage spaces or application fees. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DCR Hard Debt | 1.43 | 1.45 | 1.48 | 1.50 | 1.53 | 1.55 | 1.57 | 1.60 | 1.63 | 1.65 | 1.68 | 1.71 | 1.73 | 1.76 |
| DCR Total Debt | 1.43 | 1.45 | 1.48 | 1.50 | 1.53 | 1.55 | 1.57 | 1.60 | 1.63 | 1.65 | 1.68 | 1.71 | 1.73 | 1.76 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Assumptions

| Vacancy Rate | 5.0% |
|---------------------------|------|
| Annual Increase Income | 2.0% |
| Annual Increase Exspenses | 2.5% |

Other

Page 1 3. Proforma

2024 Affordable Housing Non-Tax Credit RFP Supplemental Application Questions - Final (CONFIDENTIALITY REQUESTED)

Madison Development Corporation 17-unit Development a/k/a The Bankston Apartments, LLC

Response Submission Due Date: July 22, 2024 NOON

Instructions to Applicants:

Please respond <u>briefly and succinctly</u> to the questions below in-line, unless otherwise specified (e.g. additional documentation requested). Maximum 1/3 a page per question. Please use this Word document to record your answers and return this completed document to <u>mfrater@cityofmadison.com</u>. We ask that you refrain from submitting additional documentation not specifically requested at this time or using alternative formats.

Questions:

1. Please submit a draft relocation plan, <u>and</u> answer the following question: With vacancy less than 1% across your portfolio, how will your proposed relocation be accommodated? How do you intend to work with residents who wish to stay in South Madison, considering the goals of the South Madison Plan to prevent displacement from the neighborhood?

As of Sept. 2024 MDC will own and manage 429 units of quality affordable workforce housing in Madison & Middleton. As our portfolio grows with assistance from the City CDBG HOME funds, even with our low vacancy rate, we anticipate being able to accommodate 4 households if they choose to stay within our portfolio. There may be one or more households who desire to stay within a single-family home, in which case, we will work with them to find comparable, quality, affordable housing. If they wish to stay in the South Park neighborhood, we have the 11 MDC Dane Townhomes (with 3 bedroom units) off of South Park & Taft, and 641 W. Main St, which has 60 units, including 3-bedroom townhome units. We also have 5 units on Fisher St., adjacent to the Taft St. properties.

By creating more units in South Madison, we are encouraging households to continue to live on the south side. Also, the displaced households will be welcome back onto the Taft St property in the new development.

2. Attach a copy of the proposed Tenant Selection Plan for this development, ensuring that it meets the TSP Standards outlined in Attachment B-1 of the RFP.

The Bankston Apartments, LLC Tenant Selection Plan for the Bankston Apartments, LLC is attached.

3. Attach a copy of the proposed Affirmative Marketing Plan for this development, ensuring that it meets the AMP Standards as outlined in Attachment B-2 of the RFP.

The Affirmative Marketing Plan for the Bankston Apartments, LLC is attached. Please note that MDC may need to hire an outside Property Management firm to manage this project due to the additional requirements from the City's new TSP guidelines. We are aware of The Alexander Company possibly being a PM willing to manage to the City's TSP.

4. The application states that you arrived at a financial assistance request of \$2,241,369 by maximizing the full allowable request under HUD guidelines. This amount would make the City the senior lender, with a larger loan amount than permanent lender Lake Ridge Bank. Describe the sizing of the City financial request compared to other debt sources, considering this RFP is intended for gap financing.

First, the project cannot support a larger loan <u>due to the low rents</u> and the number of units to spread the costs against are low.

We have included the updated Rent Charts for your reference in a separate PDF file. Please let us know if you need them in a different format.

Note that this project satisfies many national and local objectives for affordable, workforce housing as it brings quality, new units to Madison's South Side. It is a much-needed, desirable, missing-middle development with 17-units. The FHLBC gives preference to any development under 24 units. Without significant support from the City of Madison HOME funds and the FHLBC, the feasibility of this project would not be possible. Gap financing, although, yes, significant in this case, would be the difference between this project happening or it not happening.

5. What are the current-year monthly rental rates for the four single-family homes proposed to be demolished as part of this project?

2107 Taft St: \$2000 2117 Taft St: \$1325 2121 Taft St: \$1650 2125 Taft St: \$850

6. The South Madison Plan identified a need/community desire for more rentals with 3+ bedrooms. Have you explored options which would maintain or create larger-bedroom units, considering the potential demolition of three larger-bedroom properties?

When weighing the pros and cons of possible unit sizes, MDC looked at our current offerings on the south side: Fisher Street (5-units) and Dane Townhomes (11-units with 3-bedroom units) as well as the current demands from prospective tenants. The 17 units are proposed to be 11 one-bedroom units and 6 two-bedroom units. Although smaller than 3 bedrooms, we find this unit mix would result in a successful project with high demand, especially since we have 3-

bedroom units just one block away. This bedroom configuration would also make future homeownership more affordable if the property was ever converted to condos. Note: the multi-family project plan includes a community room, parking, storage and a yard space for families to enjoy and the location is less than a block from a City Park.

7. The City has an existing LURA on 2125 Taft Street for financing given to MDC for property acquisition. Describe if, or whether, this has impacted your approach to potential redevelopment of the property.

The house at 2125 Taft Street was part of the City of Madison's Neighborhood Stabilization Plan. Per our auditors (Wegner CPAs), and their communications with the City, the City does not have this financing on its balance sheet as a receivable (nor does MDC have this financing on its balance sheet as a liability). Compliance for this property ends next year. This property is not included on the Schedule of Federal Awards. If the property is sold, that would be considered program income to MDC. However, the plan is to redevelop, and keep the equivalent unit rented to an LMI household. If the HOME funds are not received, we will sell the 4 houses (properties).

8. At the City's Development Assistance Team (DAT) meeting, your team acknowledged the potential to move forward on this development as a condo redevelopment. Considering the South Madison Plan's focus on working with nonprofits to create new homeownership opportunities and/or preserve single-family homes, are you still considering redeveloping these parcels as an ownership development, or partnering with another agency to do so?

MDC plans to develop this property as <u>a multi-family affordable workforce housing project</u>, which is our business model. We also plan to own the property long term, unless an opportunity arises that would make it viable for MDC to sell at a reasonable price or to potential non-profit partner.

MDC recognizes the City's goals for long-term, quality, affordable rental housing and for home ownership. Pending the terms of HOME financing, and only after completion of the multifamily 17-unit being built and fully stabilized, MDC may be open to transition this rental development into condo-ownership opportunities down the road (3-20 years depending on the City and FHLBC LURAs) with another non-profit partner such as the Urban League of Greater Madison (ULGM) and the help of a licensed realtor.

However, several things would need to be in place before MDC would transfer ownership:

- 1) The HOME Funds Award would transfer to the Buyer (ULGM) without penalty or a payback requirement to MDC, and would release MDC from the LURA.
- 2) Upon Sale to the ULGM, the City would agree to transfer the HOME funds and LURA to the ULGM (for 1 day or less) and the ULGM would transfer the HOME Fund awards to individual Condo Owners on the same day. In other words, the sale of the condos would be conterminous with the sale of the 17-unit to ULGM.

3) The City of Madison would agree to revise the HOME funds award to individual HOME ownership grants, and release the building owner's HOME Funds Award LURA for this purpose and without penalty. The Condos would then separately be under the HOME ownership LURAs. The City would also agree that this does not trigger relocation benefits if some of the 17 households choose not to purchase their unit.

As it relates to the new condo owners:

- 1) All future condo owners would be qualified and ready to purchase the day of closing (MDC's sale to the non-profit partner). We would work with both the ULGM and Summit Credit Union to ensure this.
- 2) Current MDC tenants would have the first right to purchase a condo in the building.
- 3) A licensed local realtor would handle the condo purchases (vs. the non-profit partner)

This strategy will not make financial sense if the HOME funds are required to be paid back prior to or after converting the development into an ownership-opportunity.

9. It is a strategy of the South Madison Plan to preference current and former south Madison residents in affordable housing options in the area as they become available. Describe your approach to marketing units to the surrounding neighborhood, to ensure that as units become available South Madison residents will have access to remain in the neighborhood.

Rental: MDC will follow our current Affirmative Marketing Plan and continue to advertise with our current methods. We are also happy to provide information on the Bankston Apartments to the Madison College and the ULGM 3-4 months prior to the opening of the building as well as to Vicki Bankston, our MDC Emeritus Board Member who is well networked in the South Park neighborhood.

MDC Contacts:

Lorrie Heinemann, President & CEO, Lorrie@mdcorp.org, 608-535-4572 (direct)

Abigail Wallhaus, VP of Finance, Abbie@mdcorp.org

Cashton Laufenberg, VP of Housing, Cashton@mdcorp.org

Main MDC Phone: 608-256-2799