

Youth B2: Youth Court

Agency	Program Name	2010 Funding	2011 Request	\$ change	% change	2012 Request
Dane County Timebank	B. Community-based Youth Courts/South and East Madison	\$ -	\$ 52,260	\$ 52,260	n/a	\$ 62,260
Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin	D. Youth Peer Court	\$ -	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	n/a	\$ 15,000
TOTALS		\$ -	\$ 67,260	\$ 67,260	n/a	\$ 77,260

ORGANIZATION:

Dane County TimeBank

PROGRAM/LETTER:

B Community-based Youth Courts/ South and East Madison

PROGRAM BUDGET

1. 2010 BUDGETED

REVENUE SOURCE	SOURCE TOTAL	ACCOUNT CATEGORY			
		PERSONNEL	OPERATING	SPACE	SPECIAL COSTS
DANE CO HUMAN SVCS	0				0
DANE CO CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
MADISON-COMM SVCS	0	0	0	0	0
MADISON-CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
UNITED WAY ALLOC	0	0	0	0	0
UNITED WAY DESIG	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER GOVT	24,470	24,470	0	0	0
FUNDRAISING DONATIONS	0	0	0	0	0
USER FEES	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE	24,470	24,470	0	0	0

2. 2011 PROPOSED BUDGET

REVENUE SOURCE	SOURCE TOTAL	PERSONNEL	OPERATING	SPACE	SPECIAL COSTS
DANE CO HUMAN SVCS	0	0	0	0	0
DANE CO CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
MADISON-COMM SVCS	52,260	44,150	6,110	2,000	0
MADISON-CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
UNITED WAY ALLOC	0	0	0	0	0
UNITED WAY DESIG	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER GOVT*	0	0	0	0	0
FUNDRAISING DONATIONS	0	0	0	0	0
USER FEES	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER**	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE	52,260	44,150	6,110	2,000	0

*OTHER GOVT 2011

Source	Amount	Terms
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
TOTAL	0	

**OTHER 2011

Source	Amount	Terms
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
TOTAL	0	

ORGANIZATION:	Dane County TimeBank
PROGRAM/LETTER:	B Community-based Youth Courts/ South and East Madison

2012 PROGRAM CHANGE EXPLANATION

Complete only if you are requesting more than your 2011 request.

Note: Additional funding should only be requested where services or programming will change or expand in the second year.

3. PROGRAM UPDATE: If requesting more than 2011, describe any major changes being proposed for the program/service in 2012, i.e., expansions or narrowing in target population, scope and level of services, geographic area to be served, etc.).

200 characters (w ith spaces) DCT would like to add an additional Youth Court/Restorative Justice site at Madison's North Police District, per their request.

4. 2012 COST EXPLANATION

Complete only if significant financial changes are anticipated between 2011-2012.

Explain specifically, by revenue source, any significant financial changes that you anticipate between 2011 and 2012.

For example: unusual cost increases, program expansion or loss of revenue.

200 characters (w ith spaces) One additional location w ill require approximately 8 hours per week of additional staff time and additional printing, postage and food supplies.

5. 2012 PROPOSED BUDGET

REVENUE SOURCE	BUDGET TOTAL	ACCOUNT CATEGORY			
		PERSONNEL	OPERATING	SPACE	SPECIAL COSTS
DANE CO HUMAN SVCS	0	0	0	0	0
DANE CO CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
MADISON-COMM SVCS	62,260	52,150	8,110	2,000	0
MADISON-CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
UNITED WAY ALLOC	0	0	0	0	0
UNITED WAY DESIG	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER GOVT*	0	0	0	0	0
FUNDRAISING DONATIONS	0	0	0	0	0
USER FEES	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER**	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE	62,260	52,150	8,110	2,000	0

*OTHER GOVT 2012

Source	Amount	Terms
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
TOTAL	0	

**OTHER 2012

Source	Amount	Terms
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
TOTAL	0	

ORGANIZATION:
PROGRAM/LETTER:
PRIORITY STATEMENT:

Dane County TimeBank
B Community-based Youth Courts/ South and East Madison
OCS: Youth B2: Youth Court (CSC)

DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES

6. NEED FOR PROGRAM: Please identify local community need or gap in service that the proposed program will address.

1000 characters (with spaces) In 2006-2008, African-American youth made up 23% of MMSD, but were 62% of the students expelled. 64% of the referrals for insubordination were of African-American students vs. 21% of their total population in middle schools. African-American males constituted 41% of Juvenile Court referrals generated from school based incidents compared to 25% for Caucasian males. To address issues like these, the Juvenile Justice Disproportionate Minority Contact & Confinement Solutions Workgroup recommended that the community support the expansion of evidence based youth programming and timebanking in our community, to increase youth assets and reduce risks. As a top priority, the Dane County Task Force to Reduce Racial Disparities in the Criminal Justice System recommended Restorative Justice Programs in areas that are experiencing racial and cultural tensions that involve the criminal justice system.

7. SERVICE DESCRIPTION - Describe the service(s) provided including your expectations of the impact of your activities.

1600 characters (with spaces) DCT will continue to run Restorative Justice Sessions in Madison's South and East Police Districts. MPD will make direct referrals through Restorative Justice referrals, in lieu of issuing tickets for select (determined by Restorative Justice Advisory Board and MPD) municipal ordinance violations. In addition, minors who have received tickets can be referred to a TimeBank Youth Court by Madison Municipal Judge Dan Koval. Dane County TimeBank Youth Court has been approved by District Judge Bill Foust to accept referrals from the Municipal Judge. Respondents referred by MPD will participate in Restorative Justice Circles, creating an agreement to take action to repair the harm and chart a more productive course of action that will be completed within 30 days of the Circle. Respondents referred by Judge Koval will attend a Youth Court panel session and will be sentenced to a course of action that may take up to 90 days to complete, and will generally be more in-depth. TimeBank members will assist with program staffing, mentoring, tutoring, teaching life skills sessions, providing transportation, and more. Respondents and youth juror participants will earn TimeBank Hours for the non-mandated (not part of a sentencing agreement) service they provide to other TimeBank members.

8. PROPOSED PROGRAM CONTRACT GOALS: Include clearly defined service goals and process objectives: number of unduplicated clients to be served, number of service hours to be provided etc.

600 characters (with spaces) DCT will enlist 10 - 15 volunteer 'jurors' for each location (South and East Police Districts); train jurors in Restorative Justice practices; hold one Restorative Justice session per month at which up to 4 police-referred respondents will participate in a Restorative Justice circle and create an agreement reparations to be completed in a one month period; 4-6 judge-referred respondents will participate in a Restorative Justice Youth Court, creating agreements to be completed in a 90-day period.

9. SERVICE HOURS: Frequency, duration of service and hours and days of service availability.

400 characters (with spaces) Two two-part Restorative Justice Sessions will happen monthly; first half in RJ Circles with Police referrals, second half in Youth Court panel with Judge referrals. TimeBank Youth Court Coordinator will spend up to 10 hours per week coordinating and implementing sentence follow-up, tailored to meet the logistical needs of the respondents and adult support.

ORGANIZATION:

Dane County TimeBank

PROGRAM/LETTER:

B Community-based Youth Courts/ South and East Madison

10. POPULATION SERVED: Please describe in terms of age, income level, LEP, literacy, cognitive or physical disabilities or challenges).

600 characters (with spaces) The people served by this program will be youth ages 11-18, diverse in income level, literacy, and race. Students with limited English proficiency are included as respondents when referred, with interpretation provided for them and their parents. DCT has resources to offer interpretation during follow-up with respondents. In 2009 TimeBank jurors and respondents were 52% African American, 31% White, 15% Hispanic and 2% Asian. The TimeBank saw a rising trend of youth being referred with a cognitive disability or a mental health diagnosis.

11. LOCATION: Location of service and intended service area (Include census tract where service is tract specific).

200 characters (with spaces) South and East Districts of Madison Police Dept.

12. OUTREACH PLAN: Describe your outreach and marketing strategies to engage your intended service population.

1000 characters (with spaces) In-service trainings for MPD; school-based workshops; tabling at community events.

13. COORDINATION: Describe how you coordinate your service delivery with other community groups or agencies.

1000 characters (with spaces), DCT collaborates with dozens of member organizations, including Hancock Center for Dance/Movement Therapy, Public Health Madison and Dane County, Centro Hispano, Goodman Community Center, Champion Style Athletics; and over a thousand individual members who can provide service, educational resources and community service opportunities to participating youth.

14. VOLUNTEERS: How are volunteers utilized in this program?

400 characters (with spaces) DCT utilizes TimeBank member-assistants to teach life skills and non-violent communication classes, to staff Restorative Justice Sessions, and to provide learning and service opportunities to participants.

15. Number of volunteers utilized in 2010?

66

Number of volunteer hours utilized in this program in 2010?

400

ORGANIZATION:

Dane County TimeBank

PROGRAM/LETTER:

B Community-based Youth Courts/ South and East Madison

16. BARRIERS TO SERVICE: Are there populations that are experiencing barriers to the service you are proposing, i.e., cultural differences, language barriers and/or physical or mental impairments or disabilities? Describe the ability of proposed program to respond to the needs of diverse populations.

1600 characters (with spaces) While this program will serve any youth who is eligible and appropriate, this program is explicitly intended to serve populations that often experience barriers to opportunity and services. This program has two key elements that are intended to respond to and to meet the needs of diverse populations.

First, students who are referred to either the Youth Court Panels or the RJ Circles will conference with their peers in order to come to an understanding of the impact that their act had on the community and make an agreement about how that harm could be repaired. Many students who are jurors for the Panels and who participate in the Circles will be doing so as part of their agreement to restore the harm done to the community. So students can expect to be heard by their peers in a culturally competent manner. This builds leadership capacity in often unheard and underrepresented student populations.

Second, the RJ Curriculum that is offered to students who will be facilitating RJ Circles will have specific lessons regarding: Non-Violent Communication, Conflict Transformation, and Connecting Across Differences. These lessons are intended to give students the tools to respond to the needs of diverse populations and build their capacity for leadership and effective communication.

17. EXPERIENCE: Please describe how your agency, and program staff experience, qualifications, and past performance will contribute to the success of the proposed program?

1600 characters (with spaces) Dane County TimeBank was founded in October 2005 and has quickly become one of the largest and most diverse timebanks in the United States. Dane County TimeBank was co-host of the 2007 and 2009 national TimeBanking conferences and claims nearly 1600 members including 100 organizations. The TimeBank Youth Court was launched on Madison's East side in November 2006, adding additional locations at LaFollette in 2008 and South Madison in 2009. There have been 62 total sessions since the TimeBank began in 2006. The TimeBank has provided the opportunity for 187 youth to avoid the traditional juvenile justice system. 85% of the youth have successfully completed the program and avoided a charge on their juvenile record. From July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009 there were 154 Madison Municipal tickets written on Pflaum Road in Madison with 125 of them written at LaFollette High School. From July 1, 2009-March 22, 2010 there were only 37 tickets written on Pflaum Rd. Of the 37 tickets written on Pflaum Road during this period only 26 were issued at LaFollette High School, demonstrating the drastic number of tickets reduced through the utilization of the TimeBank's Youth Court Program.

18. LICENSING OR ACCREDITATION: Report program licensing, accreditation or certification standards currently applied.

200 characters (with spaces) Lorrie Hurckes has a BS in Psychology and she and Stephanie Rearick have received Peacemaking Circle Training. DCT Youth Court is approved by District Judge William Foust.

19. STAFF: Program Staff: Staff Titles, FTE dedicated to this program, and required qualifications for program staff.

Staff Title	FTE	City \$	Qualifications
DCT Youth Court Coordinator	0.75	Yes	Bachelor's degree in Psychology with related field work and experience in m
DCT Director	0.1	Yes	Bachelor's Degree in Interdisciplinary Studies; 20 years' community organizi

ORGANIZATION:

Dane County TimeBank

PROGRAM/LETTER:

B Community-based Youth Courts/ South and East Madison

COMMUNITY RESOURCES DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES SUPPLEMENT

Please provide the following information ONLY if you are applying for projects that meet the "Community Resources Program Goals & Priorities" If not applying for CR Funds, go to Demographics (p. 8).

24. CONTRIBUTING RESEARCH

Please identify research or best practice frameworks you have utilized in developing this program.

2000 characters (with spaces) DCT staff attended the Dane Co. evidence-based practices training led by the Carey Group. DCT staff also regularly attend Restorative Justice and DMC conferences and trainings and serve on the Law Enforcement subcommittee of Dane County's DMC Advisory Board. DCT Youth Court and Restorative Justice sessions apply the principles of: low levels of programming and supervision for low-risk offenders; not mixing low- and high-risk youth in unsupervised settings; strength-based approach to sentencing agreements; good staff role modeling; high level of responsibility for each respondent, possible due to large pool of TimeBank members (individuals and organizations) as adult support.

25. ACCESS FOR LOW-INCOME INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES

What percentage of this program's participants do you expect to be of low and/or moderate income?

85.0%

What framework do you use to determine or describe participant's or household income status? (check all that apply)

Number of children enrolled in free and reduced lunch

Individuals or families that report 0-50% of Dane County Median Income

Individual or family income in relation to Federal Poverty guidelines

Other

X

26. HOW IS THIS INFORMATION CURRENTLY COLLECTED?

400 characters (with spaces) When participants voluntarily sign up for the TimeBank they complete a demographic survey which includes income information.

27. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR USER FEE STRUCTURE AND ANY ACCOMMODATIONS MADE TO ADDRESS ACCESS ISSUES FOR LOW INCOME INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES.

600 characters (with spaces) No user fees are collected.

ORGANIZATION:
PROGRAM/LETTER:

Dane County TimeBank
B Community-based Youth Courts/ South and East Madison

28. DEMOGRAPHICS

Complete the following chart for unduplicated participants served by this program in 2009. Indicate the number and percentage for the following characteristics. For new programs, please estimate projected participant numbers and descriptors.

PARTICIPANT DESCRIPTOR	#	%	PARTICIPANT DESCRIPTOR	#	%
TOTAL	164	100%	AGE		
MALE	79	48%	<2	0	0%
FEMALE	85	52%	2 - 5	0	0%
UNKNOWN/OTHER	0	0%	6 - 12	0	0%
			13 - 17	160	98%
			18 - 29	4	2%
			30 - 59	0	0%
			60 - 74	0	0%
			75 & UP	0	0%
			TOTAL AGE	164	100%
			RACE		
			WHITE/CAUCASIAN	72	44%
			BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN	82	50%
			ASIAN	3	2%
			AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKAN NATIVE	0	0%
			NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	0	0%
			MULTI-RACIAL:	0	0%
			Black/AA & White/Caucasian	0	0%
			Asian & White/Caucasian	0	0%
			Am Indian/Alaskan Native & White/Caucasian	0	0%
			Am Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/AA	0	0%
			BALANCE/OTHER	7	4%
			TOTAL RACE	164	100%
			ETHNICITY		
			HISPANIC OR LATINO	23	14%
			NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	141	86%
			TOTAL ETHNICITY	164	100%
			PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES	0	0%
			RESIDENCY		
			CITY OF MADISON	160	98%
			DANE COUNTY (NOT IN CITY)	4	2%
			OUTSIDE DANE COUNTY	0	0%
			TOTAL RESIDENCY	164	100%

Note: Race and ethnic categories are stated as defined in HUD standards

ORGANIZATION:

Dane County TimeBank

PROGRAM/LETTER:

B Community-based Youth Courts/ South and East Madison

29. PROGRAM OUTCOMES

Number of unduplicated individual participants served during 2009.	164
Total to be served in 2011.	240

Complete the following for each program outcome. No more than two outcomes per program will be reviewed.

If applying to OCS, please refer to your research and/or posted resource documents if appropriate.

Refer to the instructions for detailed descriptions of what should be included in the table below.

Outcome Objective # 1:

To divert youth from the formal juvenile justice system while giving them a chance to repair harm and learn from the offense committed.

Performance Indicator(s):

Police and judge referrals in lieu of formal sanctions; reduction in tickets issued to minors in district.

Proposed for 2011:

Total to be considered in 192
perf. measurement

Targeted % to meet perf. measures 90%
Targeted # to meet perf. measure 172.8

Proposed for 2012:

Total to be considered in 288
perf. measurement

Targeted % to meet perf. measures 90%
Targeted # to meet perf. measure 259.2

Explain the measurement
tools or methods:

This number will be measured in cooperation with MPD by tracking the number of referrals received and their rate of appearance at Youth Court and RJ sessions.

Outcome Objective # 2:

Give youth a chance to repair harm and learn from the offense committed.

Performance Indicator(s):

Successful sentence completion and failure to re-offend.

Proposed for 2011:

Total to be considered in 192
perf. measurement

Targeted % to meet perf. measures 75%
Targeted # to meet perf. measure 144

Proposed for 2012:

Total to be considered in 288
perf. measurement

Targeted % to meet perf. measures 75%
Targeted # to meet perf. measure 216

Explain the measurement
tools or methods:

DCT staff track respondents' sentence completion rates and conduct a Juvenile CCAP check to track recidivism rates.

PROPOSAL REVIEW: Individual Staff Review for 2011-2012
For Community Resources Proposals to be Submitted to the
Community Services, Early Childhood and Senior Services Committees

1. **Program Name:** B. Community-Based Youth Courts/South and East Madison

2. **Agency Name:** Dane County TimeBank

3. **Requested Amounts:** 2011: \$52,260
 2012: \$62,260 **Prior Year Level: \$0**

4. **Project Type:** New ☒ Continuing ☐

5. **Framework Plan Objective Most Directly Addressed by Proposed by Activity:**

X I. Youth Priority B2

6. **Anticipated Accomplishments (Proposed Service Goals)**

240 youth will be diverted from the formal justice system to a youth courts responding to the South and East Districts of the Madison Police Department. Youth will receive restorative justice sanctions with follow-up support. In addition, 20-30 youth will be trained and serve as volunteer jurors.

7. **To what extent does the proposal meet the Objectives of the Community Development Division, Community Resources Program Goals and Priorities for 2011-2012?**

Staff Comments: This program clearly meets the Program Area I – Youth Services Priority B2 – Provide opportunities for juveniles who commit municipal violations to receive alternative sanctions from a peer youth court.

8. **To what extent does the proposal incorporate an innovative and/or research based program design that will have a positive impact on the need or problem identified?**

Staff Comments: Program is innovative and very likely that program design will have a positive impact on the need or problem identified.

9. **To what extent does the proposal include service goals and outcome objectives that are realistic and measurable and are likely to be achieved within the proposed timeline?**

Staff Comments: Service goals and outcome objectives seem realistic, measurable and likely to be achieved within the timeline.

10. **To what extent do the agency, staff and/or Board experience, qualifications, past performance and capacity indicate probable success of the proposal?**

Staff Comments: The agency, staff and Board seem to possess the experience and qualifications to indicate probable success. The agency has strong record of positive past performance.

11. **To what extent is the agency's proposed budget reasonable and realistic, able to leverage additional resources, and demonstrate sound fiscal planning and management?**

Staff Comments: The budget is reasonable and realistic. However, no other resources are utilized or leveraged.

12. To what extent does the agency's proposal demonstrate efforts and success at securing a diverse array of support, including volunteers, in-kind support and securing partnerships with agencies and community groups?

Staff Comments: The program has strong volunteer support and active partnerships.

13. To what extent does the applicant propose services that are accessible and appropriate to the needs of low income individuals, culturally diverse populations and/or populations with specific language barriers and/or physical or mental disabilities?

Staff Comments: Services seem very accessible and appropriate for low-income & culturally diverse populations.

Questions:

1. Are there any other funding sources current or pending for the youth courts included in this application?

14. Staff Recommendation

☐ Not recommended for consideration

☒ Recommend for consideration

☐ Recommend with Qualifications
Suggested Qualifications:

ORGANIZATION:

Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin, Incorporated

PROGRAM/LETTER:

D Youth Peer Court

PROGRAM BUDGET

1. 2010 BUDGETED

REVENUE SOURCE	SOURCE TOTAL	ACCOUNT CATEGORY			
		PERSONNEL	OPERATING	SPACE	SPECIAL COSTS
DANE CO HUMAN SVCS	0	0	0	0	0
DANE CO CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
MADISON-COMM SVCS	0	0	0	0	0
MADISON-CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
UNITED WAY ALLOC	3,000	2,193	443	348	16
UNITED WAY DESIG	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER GOVT	42,800	31,284	6,313	5,111	92
FUNDRAISING DONATIONS	18,000	13,157	2,655	2,086	102
USER FEES	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE	63,800	46,634	9,411	7,545	210

2. 2011 PROPOSED BUDGET

REVENUE SOURCE	SOURCE TOTAL	PERSONNEL	OPERATING	SPACE	SPECIAL COSTS
DANE CO HUMAN SVCS	0	0	0	0	0
DANE CO CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
MADISON-COMM SVCS	15,000	10,987	2,200	1,728	85
MADISON-CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
UNITED WAY ALLOC	3,000	2,197	440	346	17
UNITED WAY DESIG	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER GOVT*	30,000	21,975	4,399	3,457	169
FUNDRAISING DONATIONS	22,430	16,430	3,289	2,585	126
USER FEES	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER**	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE	70,430	51,589	10,328	8,116	397

*OTHER GOVT 2011

Source	Amount	Terms
Village of Cottage Grove	6,000	
Village of DeForest	6,000	
City of Middleton	6,000	
Village of Oregon	6,000	
City of Sun Prairie	6,000	
TOTAL	30,000	

**OTHER 2011

Source	Amount	Terms
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
TOTAL	0	

ORGANIZATION: **Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin, Incorporated**

PROGRAM/LETTER: **D Youth Peer Court**

2012 PROGRAM CHANGE EXPLANATION

Complete only if you are requesting more than your 2011 request.

Note: Additional funding should only be requested where services or programming will change or expand in the second year.

3. PROGRAM UPDATE: If requesting more than 2011, describe any major changes being proposed for the program/service in 2012, i.e., expansions or narrowing in target population, scope and level of services, geographic area to be served, etc.).

200 characters (with spaces)

4. 2012 COST EXPLANATION

Complete only if significant financial changes are anticipated between 2011-2012.

Explain specifically, by revenue source, any significant financial changes that you anticipate between 2011 and 2012.

For example: unusual cost increases, program expansion or loss of revenue.

200 characters (with spaces)

5. 2012 PROPOSED BUDGET

REVENUE SOURCE	BUDGET TOTAL	ACCOUNT CATEGORY			
		PERSONNEL	OPERATING	SPACE	SPECIAL COSTS
DANE CO HUMAN SVCS	0	0	0	0	0
DANE CO CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
MADISON-COMM SVCS	15,000	10,987	2,200	1,728	85
MADISON-CDBG	0	0	0	0	0
UNITED WAY ALLOC	3,000	2,197	440	346	17
UNITED WAY DESIG	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER GOVT*	30,000	21,975	4,399	3,457	169
FUNDRAISING DONATIONS	22,430	16,430	3,289	2,585	126
USER FEES	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER**	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE	70,430	51,589	10,328	8,116	397

*OTHER GOVT 2012

Source	Amount	Terms
Village of Cottage Grove	6,000	
Village of DeForest	6,000	
City of Middleton	6,000	
Village of Oregon	6,000	
City of Sun Prairie	6,000	
TOTAL	30,000	

**OTHER 2012

Source	Amount	Terms
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	
TOTAL	0	

ORGANIZATION:

Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin, Incorporated

PROGRAM/LETTER:

D Youth Peer Court

PRIORITY STATEMENT:

OCS: Youth B2: Youth Court (CSC)

DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES

6. NEED FOR PROGRAM: Please identify local community need or gap in service that the proposed program will address.

If this request is granted, we expect to operate Youth Peer Courts in the Allied Drive neighborhood and in one South West Madison. The Madison Police Department expressed interest in developing peer courts in these locations in 2008. The MPD provided initial funding, which allowed both programs to organize, and successfully operate for two years. At the end of 2010, MPD funding will cease. If CDD OCS funding is granted, these two successful peer courts will continue operations. Program participants will include 12-16 year old youth charged with their first ordinance violation or misdemeanor crime. YSOSW anticipates serving 30-60 youth annually in each YPC location dependent upon the peer court model being utilized. In addition, 10-15 youth volunteers are recruited to serve as jurors in each YPC location.

7. SERVICE DESCRIPTION - Describe the service(s) provided including your expectations of the impact of your activities.

Youth Peer Court is an alternative program to the traditional juvenile justice system that offers eligible youth an opportunity to receive a meaningful sanction from a jury of their peers. The program is for first-time youthful offenders who have pled guilty to ordinance violations such as theft, damage to property, and disorderly conduct. High school students serve as volunteer jurors, and community members along with selected youth sit on an advisory board for the court. Participating communities include Sun Prairie, DeForest, Oregon, Middleton, Allied Drive/South Madison, South West Madison, and Cottage Grove.

The youth offenders benefit by:

- Taking responsibility for their actions and receiving meaningful sanctions from their peers.
- Learning more about our system of justice and successfully completing their sentence.
- Connecting more directly with their peers and the community.

The teen volunteer jurors benefit by:

- Learning valuable skills including public speaking, logic, and the operation of the justice system.
- Taking an active role in their community and helping their peers.
- Developing leadership skills.

The community benefits by:

8. PROPOSED PROGRAM CONTRACT GOALS: Include clearly defined service goals and process objectives: number of unduplicated clients to be served, number of service hours to be provided etc.

Cases are referred to Youth Peer Court by Municipal Courts. Youth Peer Court volunteers are provided with guidelines for sentencing by the Municipal Court Judge and the Program Coordinator. They are also encouraged to be creative and to individualize each sentence. During 2009, there were 605 different sentence activities imposed. These sentences included 600 hours of community service, 102 apology letters, 35 AODA evaluations, and 151 essays written about a variety of topics related to the offenses. YSOSW anticipates similar service levels in 2011-2012.

9. SERVICE HOURS: Frequency, duration of service and hours and days of service availability.

Youth Peer Courts are held once monthly at each court location. Sessions typically last a minimum of two hours, and can last up to six hours.

ORGANIZATION:

Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin, Incorporated

PROGRAM/LETTER:

D Youth Peer Court

10. POPULATION SERVED: Please describe in terms of age, income level, LEP, literacy, cognitive or physical disabilities or challenges).

Youth Peer Court is a voluntary program and each youthful offender wishing to participate in the program must first enter a guilty or no contest plea with the municipal court having jurisdiction over their case. Once this plea has been entered, the Municipal Court Judge may refer the case to Youth Peer Court. Common offenses referred for YPC disposition include curfew and truancy violations; retail theft; trespassing; and disorderly conduct.

11. LOCATION: Location of service and intended service area (Include census tract where service is tract specific).

The Youth Peer Court Program is headquartered at the YSOSW offices at 1955 Atwood Avenue in Madison, Wisconsin. Peer Court in Madison are held at the Boys & Girls Club and Memorial High School.

12. OUTREACH PLAN: Describe your outreach and marketing strategies to engage your intended service population.

Clients of the Youth Peer Court program are referred by Municipal Court Judges. Consequently, the program does not perform outreach to its service population.

13. COORDINATION: Describe how you coordinate your service delivery with other community groups or agencies.

Youth Peer Court partners include Municipal Court Judges, police officers, school employees, and community members. Each community in which a peer court operates has an advisory committee. These committees are instrumental in determining how the peer court will operate in their community including identifying the specific offenses eligible for Peer Court adjudication. Committee members include the Municipal Court Judge, the Peer Court Coordinator, and community members.

14. VOLUNTEERS: How are volunteers utilized in this program?

The agency recruits youth volunteers to serve as peer court jurors. All volunteer jurors receive 6-8 hours of training prior to participating in their first YPC jury. Normally, 10-15 youth volunteers are trained for each YPC location. Each Youth Peer Court location has a steering committee of approximately 5 - 8 adults.

15. Number of volunteers utilized in 2010?

50 (est)

Number of volunteer hours utilized in this program in 2010?

1100 (est)

ORGANIZATION:

Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin, Incorporated

PROGRAM/LETTER:

D Youth Peer Court

16. BARRIERS TO SERVICE: Are there populations that are experiencing barriers to the service you are proposing, i.e., cultural differences, language barriers and/or physical or mental impairments or disabilities? Describe the ability of proposed program to respond to the needs of diverse populations.

To tailor Peer Court operations to the specific communities and cultures that they serve, each court has a Steering Committee. The Steering Committees, made up of representatives from each participating community, meet regularly with the program coordinator. These meetings review community needs, evaluate outcomes, and provide guidance for program activities.

Each juvenile is also given an anonymous survey to complete for program evaluation purposes.

For non-English speaking clients, YSOSW has staff and volunteers that is fluent in Spanish, Hmong, and American Sign Language. When necessary, YSOSW can also take advantage of translation services provided by Centro Hispano or the University of Wisconsin foreign language services.

17. EXPERIENCE: Please describe how your agency, and program staff experience, qualifications, and past performance will contribute to the success of the proposed program?

YSOSW has operated multiple successful peer courts in Madison and surrounding communities since 2000. In 2009, the program served Sun Prairie, Cottage Grove, Middleton, DeForest/Windsor, Oregon, and the Allied-Dunns Marsh and Southwest Madison neighborhoods. Each juvenile and their parents are given an anonymous evaluation to submit after completion of their sentence. 95% of the participants returning the survey stated that they were satisfied with their Youth Peer Court experience in 2009. Following their 90 days of Peer Court supervision, none of the participants who responded had re-offended, and all stated that they felt their Youth Peer Court experience helped them become more responsible community members. Sheri Gatts, the Youth Peer Court Coordinator, oversees all YPC activities under the supervision of Jay Kiefer, Program Director. Ms. Gatts has nearly 20 years of experience in alternative juvenile justice programming, and Mr. Kiefer has more than 20 years of experience with delinquent youth.

18. LICENSING OR ACCREDITATION: Report program licensing, accreditation or certification standards currently applied.

The Youth Peer Court Program does not require licensing, accreditation, or certifications. All program volunteers are required to submit to a background check, and sign confidentiality statements.

19. STAFF: Program Staff: Staff Titles, FTE dedicated to this program, and required qualifications for program staff.

Staff Title	FTE	City \$	Qualifications
Program Coordinator	0.5	Yes	Bachelors Degree
Program Director	0.01	Yes	Bachelors Degree
Youth Counselor	0.5	Yes	Bachelors Degree

ORGANIZATION:

Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin, Incorporated

PROGRAM/LETTER:

D Youth Peer Court

CDBG DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES SUPPLEMENT

Please provide the following information ONLY if you are applying for projects that meet the "CDD Community Development Program Goals & Priorities". If not applying for CDBG Office Funds, go to Community Resources Description of Services Supplement (p. 7), or go to Demographics (p. 8).

20. PARTICIPANT INCOME LEVELS:

Indicate the number of households of each income level and size that this program would serve in 2011-2012.

Income Level	Number of Households
Over 80% of county median income	0
Between 50% to 80% of county median income	0
Between 30% to 50% of county median income	0
Less than 30% of county median income	0
Total households to be served	0

21. If projections for 2012 will vary significantly from 2011, complete the following:

Income Level for 2012	Number of Households
Over 80% of county median income	0
Between 50% to 80% of county median income	0
Between 30% to 50% of county median income	0
Less than 30% of county median income	0
Total households to be served	0

22. AGENCY COST ALLOCATION PLAN: What method does your agency use to determine indirect cost allocations among programs?

Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin (YSOSW) allocates shared expenses utilizing a cost allocation plan based on the number of employees within a program.

Once the shared expenses are allocated to each program, all program expenses are then allocated to each grant within the program by the percentage of the grant's income to the program's total income.

23. PROGRAM ACTIVITIES: Describe activities/benchmarks by timeline to illustrate how your program will be implemented.

Activity Benchmark	Est. Month of Completion
Complete Volunteer Trainings	Three Times Annually
Hold Peer Court Sessions at each Court Location	Monthly
Complete Program Evaluation	January

ORGANIZATION:

Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin, Incorporated

PROGRAM/LETTER:

D Youth Peer Court

COMMUNITY RESOURCES DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES SUPPLEMENT

Please provide the following information ONLY if you are applying for projects that meet the "Community Resources Program Goals & Priorities" If not applying for CR Funds, go to Demographics (p. 8).

24. CONTRIBUTING RESEARCH

Please identify research or best practice frameworks you have utilized in developing this program.

YSOSW youth peer courts follow the guidelines identified in "National Youth Court Guidelines" (CCD OCS website). In addition the program incorporates the strategies identified in "Peer Justice and Youth Empowerment" (CCD OCS Website) for success program design. YSOSW has also adopted many of the best practices reported in "Youth Court: A Community Solution for Embracing At-Risk Youth" (CCD OCS Website).

Effective early intervention with youth who are committing ordinance violations is critical to preventing a pattern of delinquent behavior from developing. Youth Peer Courts have been proven more effective than traditional municipal and juvenile courts in preventing first time offenders from committing further law and ordinance violations.

Research on Youth Peer Courts, conducted in 2002 by the Urban Institute, found that recidivism rates among peer court participants were 20-40 % lower than for non-participants for the same offenses.

Youth Peer Courts also provide volunteer and leadership opportunities for youth who serve as jurors. Furthermore, some offenders are sentenced to serve on a Peer Court Jury. Jury duty service gives offenders an opportunity to participate in their community in a positive manner and helps break down barriers between different social groups. Consistent with the aforementioned research, the Dane County Task Force on Disproportionate Contact in the Juvenile Justice System has recommended the use of Youth Peer Courts as an effective method of diverting youth from the formal Juvenile Justice System.

25. ACCESS FOR LOW-INCOME INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES

What percentage of this program's participants do you expect to be of low and/or moderate income?

80.0%

What framework do you use to determine or describe participant's or household income status? (check all that apply)

Number of children enrolled in free and reduced lunch

X

Individuals or families that report 0-50% of Dane County Median Income

Individual or family income in relation to Federal Poverty guidelines

Other

X

26. HOW IS THIS INFORMATION CURRENTLY COLLECTED?

Upon admission to the program, youth will be interviewed to determine their family's income status.

27. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR USER FEE STRUCTURE AND ANY ACCOMMODATIONS MADE TO ADDRESS ACCESS ISSUES FOR LOW INCOME INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES.

All services are provided at no cost to clients. For clients with transportation issues, the YSOSW can provide bus passes, cab rides, or will travel to clients as appropriate.

ORGANIZATION:

Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin, Incorporated

PROGRAM/LETTER:

D Youth Peer Court

28. DEMOGRAPHICS

Complete the following chart for unduplicated participants served by this program in 2009. Indicate the number and percentage for the following characteristics. For new programs, please estimate projected participant numbers and descriptors.

PARTICIPANT DESCRIPTOR	#	%	PARTICIPANT DESCRIPTOR	#	%
TOTAL	213	100%	AGE		
MALE	126	59%	<2	0	0%
FEMALE	87	41%	2 - 5	0	0%
UNKNOWN/OTHER	0	0%	6 - 12	0	0%
			13 - 17	213	100%
			18 - 29	0	0%
			30 - 59	0	0%
			60 - 74	0	0%
			75 & UP	0	0%
			TOTAL AGE	213	100%
			RACE		
			WHITE/CAUCASIAN	128	60%
			BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN	73	34%
			ASIAN	1	0%
			AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKAN NATIVE	0	0%
			NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	0	0%
			MULTI-RACIAL:	0	0%
			Black/AA & White/Caucasian	0	0%
			Asian & White/Caucasian	0	0%
			Am Indian/Alaskan Native & White/Caucasian	0	0%
			Am Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/AA	0	0%
			BALANCE/OTHER	11	5%
			TOTAL RACE	213	100%
			ETHNICITY		
			HISPANIC OR LATINO	11	5%
			NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	202	95%
			TOTAL ETHNICITY	213	100%
			PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES	0	0%
			RESIDENCY		
			CITY OF MADISON	53	25%
			DANE COUNTY (NOT IN CITY)	160	75%
			OUTSIDE DANE COUNTY	0	0%
			TOTAL RESIDENCY	213	100%

Note: Race and ethnic categories are stated as defined in HUD standards

ORGANIZATION:

Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin, Incorporated

PROGRAM/LETTER:

D Youth Peer Court

29. PROGRAM OUTCOMES

Number of unduplicated individual participants served during 2009.	213
Total to be served in 2011.	200

Complete the following for each program outcome. No more than two outcomes per program will be reviewed.

If applying to OCS, please refer to your research and/or posted resource documents if appropriate.

Refer to the instructions for detailed descriptions of what should be included in the table below.

Outcome Objective # 1:

Program participants will have no further ordinance/law violations during the program reporting period.

Performance Indicator(s):

75% of successful participants will not re-offend during the 12 month post-discharge period.

Proposed for 2011:

Total to be considered in 200
perf. measurement

Targeted % to meet perf. measures 75%
Targeted # to meet perf. measure 150

Proposed for 2012:

Total to be considered in 200
perf. measurement

Targeted % to meet perf. measures 75%
Targeted # to meet perf. measure 150

Explain the measurement
tools or methods:

Juvenile CCAP check in cooperation with the Dane County Juvenile Court Program.

Outcome Objective # 2:

Program participants will demonstrate responsible behavior by completing their YPC sentence.

Performance Indicator(s):

70% of program participants will successfully complete their peer court sentence.

Proposed for 2011:

Total to be considered in 200
perf. measurement

Targeted % to meet perf. measures 75%
Targeted # to meet perf. measure 150

Proposed for 2012:

Total to be considered in 200
perf. measurement

Targeted % to meet perf. measures 75%
Targeted # to meet perf. measure 150

Explain the measurement
tools or methods:

Staff monitoring of client progress and case files.

PROPOSAL REVIEW: Individual Staff Review for 2011-2012
For Community Resources Proposals to be Submitted to the
Community Services, Early Childhood and Senior Services Committees

1. **Program Name:** D. Youth Peer Court
2. **Agency Name:** Youth Services of Southern Wisconsin
3. **Requested Amounts:** **2011:** \$15,000
 2012: \$15,000 **Prior Year Level:** \$0
 \$5,420 from Emerging Neighborhood Funds and \$25,600
 from the Madison Police Department.
4. **Project Type:** New ☒ Continuing ☐
5. **Framework Plan Objective Most Directly Addressed by Proposed by Activity:**

X I. Youth Priority B2. – Peer Youth Court
6. **Anticipated Accomplishments (Proposed Service Goals)**
30-60 youth respondents will participate in each peer court, located in the Allied neighborhood and at Memorial High School , for a total of 200 youth annually. In addition, 10-15 youth volunteers for each court will complete 600 hours of community service.
7. **To what extent does the proposal meet the Objectives of the Community Development Division, Community Resources Program Goals and Priorities for 2011-2012?**

Staff Comments: Clearly meets Program Area I. – Priority B2 – Provide opportunities for juveniles who commit municipal violations to receive alternatives sanctions from a peer youth court.
8. **To what extent does the proposal incorporate an innovative and/or research based program design that will have a positive impact on the need or problem identified?**

Staff Comments: Program is innovative and very likely that program design will have a positive impact on the need or problem identified.
9. **To what extent does the proposal include service goals and outcome objectives that are realistic and measurable and are likely to be achieved within the proposed timeline?**

Staff Comments: Service goals and outcome objectives seem realistic, measurable and likely to be achieved within the timeline.
10. **To what extent do the agency, staff and/or Board experience, qualifications, past performance and capacity indicate probable success of the proposal?**

Staff Comments: The agency, staff and Board seem to possess the experience and qualifications to indicate probable success. The agency has strong record of positive past performance.
11. **To what extent is the agency's proposed budget reasonable and realistic, able to leverage additional resources, and demonstrate sound fiscal planning and management?**

Staff Comments: The budget is reasonable and realistic. Other resources are utilized and leveraged. Agency has demonstrated sound fiscal planning and management.

12. To what extent does the agency's proposal demonstrate efforts and success at securing a diverse array of support, including volunteers, in-kind support and securing partnerships with agencies and community groups?

Staff Comments: The program has strong volunteer support and active partnerships.

13. To what extent does the applicant propose services that are accessible and appropriate to the needs of low income individuals, culturally diverse populations and/or populations with specific language barriers and/or physical or mental disabilities?

Staff Comments: Services seem very accessible and appropriate for low-income & culturally diverse populations as well as populations w/ language barriers or physical mental disabilities.

Questions:

1. Are there any other current or pending funding sources for either of the youth courts included in this application or for addition youth courts in other Madison neighborhoods?

14. Staff Recommendation

☐ Not recommended for consideration

☒ Recommend for consideration

☐ Recommend with Qualifications

Suggested Qualifications: