



# City of Madison

City of Madison  
Madison, WI 53703  
www.cityofmadison.com

## Meeting Minutes - Approved LANDMARKS COMMISSION

---

Monday, October 17, 2011

4:45 PM

215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.  
Room LL-110 (Madison Municipal Building)

---

### CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Present: 7 -

Ald. Marsha A. Rummel; David W.J. McLean; Stuart Levitan; Robin M. Taylor; Michael J. Rosenblum; Christina Slattery and Erica Fox Gehrig

### APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A motion was made by Slattery, seconded by Taylor, to Approve the Minutes of October 3, 2011. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

### PUBLIC COMMENT

None

### DISCLOSURES AND RECUSALS

Taylor disclosed that she had a listing on Orton Park and that the Sabatinos attended an open house. The City Attorney advised she could participate following disclosure.

### REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Commission agreed to take agenda items out of order.

2. [24182](#) 407 - 409 South Livingston - Third Lake Ridge Historic District - Exterior alteration involving the installation of metal roofing on three low pitched roofs. 6th Ald. District. Contact: Ben Anton and Rhonda Plourd

A motion was made by Gehrig, seconded by Rummel, to Approve the Certificate of Appropriateness. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

1. [23871](#) 1112 Spaight Street - Third Lake Ridge Historic District - Demolition of existing residence and construction of new residence. 6th Ald. District. Contact: Amy Hasselman, Architecture Network

A motion was made by Rosenblum, seconded by Slattery, to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for the demolition with the following conditions:

1. The following elements shall be salvaged for re-use and possibly donated if not desired for use in the new residence: Wood floors, pre-1930

window sash including glass and related hardware, wood doors of panel construction including related hardware, wide sheathing boards, and miscellaneous parts that another home restoration project may find useful. The Preservation Planner shall review the demolition recycling plan to verify compliance with conditions.

2. The building shall be photo documented. At a minimum, this documentation shall include views of each primary building elevation and a view of the residence in context with neighboring buildings. Additional views (for example original siding detail at building corner, front porch decorative details, front door design, etc.) are encouraged. The Applicant or Applicant's representative shall send high quality digital images to staff before the Certificate of Appropriateness is sent to the Applicant.

The motion was passed on a vote of (4-1). McLean noted No. Taylor abstained. Levitan did not vote.

A second motion was made by Slattery, seconded by McLean, to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness for the new construction with the following conditions:

1. The window proportions shall be unified. The paired window proportions seem most appropriate. The wider single double-hungs on front and rear elevations and the casements in the Living Room and Kitchen shall be revised to more closely match the paired window proportion.

2. The Applicant shall explain the materials proposed to be used for the brackets, fascia and soffits, apron boards, porch deck, foundation, and decorative window adjacent to the front door and staff suggests that the soffit material be selected so that the final product is installed in a historically appropriate way (so that beads run perpendicular to the rafter).

The motion was passed on a voice vote/other.

A final motion was made by Rummel, seconded by Rosenblum, to recommend to the Plan Commission that the new development does not adversely affect the adjacent landmark. The motion passed on a voice vote/other.

## OLD BUSINESS

3. [21101](#) Landmarks Commission Procedures

This item was Re-referred to the LANDMARKS COMMISSION. No action was taken.

**NEW BUSINESS**

4. [20957](#) Buildings proposed for demolition - 2011
- Staff will request more information on 3210 Maple Grove Drive. Express grave concern about demolition of two designated landmarks and other historic buildings that contribute to the historic character of State Street. The ordinance sets a high standard of the demolition of a landmark.
- A motion was made by Rosenblum, seconded by Rummel, to report to the Plan Commission that the Landmarks Commission has great concern over the demolition of two landmark buildings and several other buildings that contribute to the historic nature of State Street and that the Landmarks Commission has great concern about the entire proposal. The motion was passed on a voice vote/other.**
5. [07804](#) Secretary's Report

**ADJOURNMENT**

**A motion was made by Gehrig, seconded by Rosenblum, to Adjourn at 7:35 p.m. The motion passed by voice vote/other.**