**From:** outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com <outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2024 11:35 AM

To: Park Commission <pacommission@cityofmadison.com>

Cc: Ben D. Williams <Benjamin.d.williams@gmail.com>; catie.mcdonald@gofarewell.com;

Michelle Probst <mscarpace92@gmail.com>; Myadze, Charles <district18@cityofmadison.com>;

Moira Harrington <mfharrington@wisc.edu>; Figueroa Cole, Yannette

<district10@cityofmadison.com>

Subject: Fw: FOIA Request - City owned parcels along Lake Monona

Hello,

We would like to have this email chain as well as the attached pdfs included as a public comment in the upcoming Park Commission meeting.

Thank you,

The Outdoors Madison Group.

---- Forwarded Message -----

From: outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com <outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com>

To: Knepp, Eric < eknepp@cityofmadison.com >

Cc: Laschinger, Lisa <a href="mailto:laschinger@cityofmadison.com">">">", Ryan, CJ <a href="mailto:cryan@cityofmadison.com">">", Ryan, CJ <a href="mailto:cryan@cityofmadison.com">", CJ <a href="mailto:cryan@cityofmadison.com

Park Commission < pacommission@cityofmadison.com >; Madison Mayor

<madisonmayor@cityofmadison.com>; Shea, Ann <ashea@cityofmadison.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2024 at 11:20:47 AM CDT

Subject: Re: FOIA Request - City owned parcels along Lake Monona

Hi Eric,

Thank you for your email. After reading and contemplating your response, we have a few items we would like to receive a bit of clarification on to ensure we understand your response fully.

Firstly, would the City be able to forward and/or highlight the portion of the 1927 deed agreement that specifies the perpetual lease agreement to the adjacent land owners? We would like to ensure that we are reading and reviewing the exact same language as you to ensure that there is no miscommunication going on. This potentially could help resolve some of our questions.

#### Remaining questions regarding the Schiller Court area:

We believe that it is quite clear that the adjacent Schiller Court property owners have no rights regarding this land. We hope the City takes prompt action regarding the encroachments in this section. We firmly believe that this section of Hudson Park should be marked to show the public park boundaries.

Our questions remaining at the moment regarding this Schiller Court section are the following:

- 1) Can you confirm that members of the public are able to access and use the land owned by the City of Madison behind 706 Schiller Court, 705 Schiller Court, and 2309 Lakeland Avenue? This includes large portions of land that are currently mowed, maintained, and gardened by these homeowners.
- 2) Are the staircases that have been installed in this part of Hudson Park open and available for the public to use and enjoy to access the lake?
- 3) Will the City mark the boundaries of this section of Hudson Park to inform the public that most of the land behind these homes is public property?
- **4)** Since marking public property boundaries is a cheap and effective way to prevent further encroachment, if the City chooses not to mark the boundaries- is there a specific reason for not doing so?

## Regarding the four parcels further West:

As noted below, the owners of 2201 Lakeland have constructed a paved driveway, large permanent treehouse, and permanent stone wall separating the parcel in question from the rest of Yahara Place Park. Other homeowners have installed similar, but less egregious hardscape on City property. In your email you stated that "this land has not been developed as a public park yet, and the current uses are not inconsistent with their eventual use as a park".

Can the City elaborate on how it is possible that a homeowner paving a private driveway over public land, constructing a treehouse in a City-owned tree, and building a large stone wall that cuts a City-owned parcel in half is "not inconsistent with [the parcel's] ultimate use as a park"?

If these uses by an adjacent property owner (including physically segmenting the parcel with a stone wall) are not viewed by the City as something that is considered to be *inconsistent with future use as a park*, it is hard to imagine what type of use, if any, would be viewed by the City as "inconsistent".

## Remaining issues regarding Riparian Rights:

No response has been received detailing how the adjacent property owners can act as riparian owners and install piers. Our group was unable to find anything in the historical documents that granted riparian rights to the adjacent property owners. Ultimately, we have actually only found evidence to the contrary:

• In an August 30th, 2019 email between City of Madison Staff discussing these very parcels, staff members are quoted saying that "The City has title to those parcels including the

**riparian rights**" and that the recorded documents associated with the parcels **"[don't] give the adjacent owners riparian rights here".** (August 30, 2019, and August 28, 2019 emails from S. Swenson).

As we have noted before, the installation of piers by non riparian owners is a direct violation of the Chapter 30 of the Wisconsin Statutes. Therefore, we ask this question again: **Is the City of Madison** aware of some sort of legitimate legal agreement that allows the adjacent property owners to act as riparian owners?

Again, If there is no a formal agreement or legal document giving the adjacent property owners the right to act as riparian owners, these property owners are likely in direct violation of Wis. Stat 30.15(d), and potentially several other provisions in Chapter 30 of the Wisconsin Statutes. If no formal conveyance or other legitimate legal agreement was previously made, we believe Wis. Stat. 30.133 would prohibit any such agreement from being made at the present day or at any time in the future.

Wis. Stat. 30.15: Penalty for unlawful obstruction of navigable waters.

(1) Obstructions penalized. Any person who does any of the following shall forfeit not less than \$10 nor more than \$500 for each offense:(a) Unlawfully obstructs any navigable waters and thereby impairs the free navigation thereof. (b) Unlawfully places in navigable waters or in any tributary thereof any substance that may float into and obstruct any such waters or impede their free navigation. (c) Constructs or maintains in navigable waters, or aids in the construction or maintenance therein, of any boom not authorized by law. (d) Constructs or places any structure or deposits any material in navigable waters in violation of s. 30.12 or 30.13. (3) Each day a separate violation. Each day during which an obstruction, deposit or structure exists in violation of sub. (1) is a separate offense.

Wis. Stat. 30.133 Prohibition against conveyance of riparian rights.

(1) Beginning on April 9, 1994, and except as provided in s. 30.1335, no owner of riparian land that abuts a navigable water may grant by an easement or by a similar conveyance any riparian right in the land to another person, except for the right to cross the land in order to have access to the navigable water. This right to cross the land may not include the right to place any structure or material, including a boat docking facility, as defined in s. 30.1335 (1) (a), in the navigable water.

We completely understand the need for the City to have a plan before making major changes. However we also believe that there are some steps that the City could be taking to move this situation towards resolution. First steps that would be extremely easy to take are things such as marking public and private boundary lines along Schiller Court. Additionally, requiring the removal of the staircases at the end of Schiller Court, and eventually the removal of the illegally installed piers behind the homes on Lakeland Avenue are things that can be done that would prepare this

land for the eventual use as a park without drastically affecting how this land it is currently being used.

We understand that you have the historical knowledge on this subject matter and have been our main point of contact recently. However, our group is committed to continuing to advocate for the Parks Department to take back control of these areas and stop the continued encroachment onto these lands. Since it has been difficult to receive a response these past few months, is there another point of contact you can suggest we work through?

In this email, we pose 6 distinct and separate questions related to the encroachment issues (all highlighted in yellow). Since we are voicing concerns of public matters to public officials, and these concerns are in no way frivolous, we believe that the City should provide a response to the above questions that we pose.

Thank you,
The Outdoors Madison Group

On Friday, August 2, 2024 at 08:47:39 AM CDT, Knepp, Eric <eknepp@cityofmadison.com> wrote:

## Greetings,

Thank you for your interest in public park and open spaces. We have not yet finished reviewing your more recent concerns related to potential encroachments on/around Schiller Court. In that area, we will need to review the land records a little more closely with our Attorneys as well as conduct appropriate site visits to evaluate what, if any, encroachments are existing. We will take appropriate action based on the totality of the circumstances from that review and as is customary address any encroachments on a case by case basis directly with any encroaching adjoining property owner. We do not find it an effective approach to discuss or review encroachment matters with non-directly involved individuals. Should an encroachment issue become not resolvable at the staff level, it would go to the Board of Park Commissioners for a hearing. Again, we appreciate you flagging this concern and are actively reviewing the situation.

As it relates to the four properties further west that were the subject of your prior open records request and additional questions, the Parks Division's position on this matter is:

While the parcel at 2203 Lakeland Ave. is publicly owned, it is privately used. Under the terms of the 1927 deed that originally created the current parcels, the owners of 2201 Lakeland Ave. have a perpetual lease over the City's parcel, at no charge, until such time as the property is utilized for park and public drive purposes. They cannot use the property in any way inconsistent with its ultimate use for public park and public drive purposes. This land has not been developed as a public park yet, and the current uses are not inconsistent with their eventual use as a park. These parcels are not available for public use at this time. We are

going to work to get these off the list of mapped park parcels or work to add a notation that they are not publicly available at this time. There are some other parcels that have some similar concerns for me now. The same situation applies to the neighboring properties at 2205, 2209 and 2219 Lakeland Ave. These properties have never been managed or developed as public park land, but the City intends to retain its interest until such time that there is a comprehensive plan to utilize these assets in a manner to improve park access for the community. Though we understand there may be an interest to expand Yahara Place Park as quickly as possible by developing the first three adjoining properties as soon as possible into a park, we are not prioritizing that action at this time and feel the most prudent action is to maintain the status quo until such time that a more complete plan for the area can be completed.

I did reread the email exchanges and questions, but wanted to clarify here, do you have additional open records requests that have not been addressed at this point on any of these issues? I apologize if we have missed something on this one and recognize there have been significant delays in responding. Those delays are related primarily to me, as I have more of the historical knowledge on this issue than most of the staff who were actively trying to assist you quickly. It is certainly complex and I understand how it can appear or feel "not right," but we believe it is in our best interest to have a solid plan of action and prioritized funding to make changes to the nearly 100 years of how these parcels have been managed. There is work to do before they could just "become a park for the public."

Thanks, Eric Knepp Parks Superintendent

From: outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com <outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2024 10:22 AM

To: Knepp, Eric < <a href="EKnepp@cityofmadison.com">EKnepp@cityofmadison.com</a>>; Shea, Ann < <a href="AShea@cityofmadison.com">AShea@cityofmadison.com</a>>

Cc: Mayor < Mayor@cityofmadison.com >; Park Commission < pacommission@cityofmadison.com >

**Subject:** Re: FOIA Request - City owned parcels along Lake Monona

You don't often get email from outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com. Learn why this is important

Good Morning Eric and City staff,

We are following up to let the City know that our group would like to move forward on several next steps regarding the issues we have identified at Hudson Park.

If the City of Madison would like to make a comment, or partially/fully respond to any of the questions posed on April 11 and/or June 30- please let us know as soon as possible. If there is a reasonable timeframe in which the City anticipates providing a response- we are more than happy to wait, receive the response, and frame our next steps in light of that information.

This message today is not intended to rush the City- rather it is intended to determine if any

response will actually be received.

Sincerely,
The Outdoors Madison Group
On Sunday, June 30, 2024 at 11:52:50 PM CDT, outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com
<outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hello Eric and City Staff,

We are emailing to kindly see if the City has an update on providing a response to the questions posed previously. In addition to checking on a status update, our group would like to provide some additional information and file a formal complaint regarding the other "set" of serious encroachments within Hudson Park. Our group was going to wait to raise these additional concerns until after a response from the initial email was received so that the main issues could begin to be resolved. However, we have decided that it would be best to have all of these Hudson Park encroachment concerns compiled into one overall issue regarding encroachments and public access at Hudson Park.

In addition to the issues identified int the previous emails, there are three additional private property owners who are seriously encroaching into Hudson Park. The addresses where these encroachments are taking place are: 706 Schiller Court, 705 Schiller Court, and 2309 Lakeland Avenue. This is the part of Hudson Park that exists where Schiller Court dead ends at the lake.

Unlike the other addresses identified in the initial email, there is really no uncertainty regarding the ownership or property boundaries in this section of Hudson Park. The land along the lake is owned by the City and the homeowners have no riparian rights, have never been able to install piers, and do not have any authority to maintain the property between their homes and the lake. Our group was unable to find any sort of easement or other legal agreement that allowed these property owners to encroach on this City owned land.

First and foremost- please let us know if we are mistaken and the City is aware of some legal and legitimate agreement that allows these property owners to use this part of Hudson park in the ways that are described below.

#### **Description of the encroachments:**

The encroachments between these parcels and the lake are not only obvious, but also extremely egregious.

## 706 Schiller Court:

The property owners at 706 Schiller Court own a parcel of land that is 45 feet wide (45 feet of frontage on Schiller Court). Their private property ends just a few feet south of their home (see attached "706 Schiller Court Boundary" screenshot). A good estimate of the end of their property is where the sidewalk ends on their side of Schiller Court (see google maps screenshot).

These property owners have encroached in the following ways:

- 1) They have installed a wooden staircase structure directly through Hudson Park and down to the lake. This structure exists entirely on City of Madison land.
- 2) They have maintained a large section of Hudson Park between their home and the lake (see google maps screenshot). This includes planting trees and creating garden beds and other landscaping not approved by the city.

City of Madison official property information for 706 Schiller Court:

<u>Property Details For Parcel 071007102066 - Property Look-up - Property Information - City Assessor - City of Madison, Wisconsin</u>

#### 705 Schiller Court:

The property owners at 705 Schiller Court own a parcel of land that is 59 feet wide (59 feet along Schiller Court).

These property owners have encroached in the following ways:

- 1) They have installed a wooden staircase structure directly through Hudson Park and down to the lake. This structure exists completely within Hudson Park.
- 2) They maintain a section of Hudson Park between their home and the lake. Personal property has been observed to be stored in the park at times.

City of Madison official property information for 705 Schiller Court:

<u>Property Details For Parcel 071007102040 - Property Look-up - Property Information - City Assessor - City of Madison, Wisconsin</u>

#### 2309 Lakeland Avenue:

The property owners at 2309 Lakeland Avenue own a parcel of land that is 60 feet wide (60 feet along Lakeland Avenue). Their parcel is 5039 square feet and terminates well before the lake. Their property boundary on the lake side is in line with 705 Schiller Court.

These property owners have encroached in the following ways:

- 1) They have installed a wooden staircase structure directly through Hudson Park and down to the lake. This structure exists completely within Hudson Park.
- 2) They maintain a section of Hudson Park between their home and the lake. Personal property has been observed to be stored in the park at times.

City of Madison official property information for 2309 Lakeland Avenue: Property Details For Parcel 071007102024 - Property Look-up - Property Information - City Assessor - City of Madison, Wisconsin

#### **Questions:**

Just like the parcels from the initial set of emails, our group has a similar (but slightly different) set of questions that we would greatly appreciate guidance from the city regarding.

- 1) Is the City of Madison aware of a formal agreement or legal document that exists that give these property owners the right to construct permanent staircase structures between their homes and the lake?
- 2) If these homeowners were not given permission to install these structures, will the City of Madison remove them?
- 3) Since these structures exist entirely within a publicly accessible area of Hudson Park, is the City of Madison liable for injuries that a member of the public could potentially sustain on these structures? Relatedly- is the City of Madison's relevant insurance provider aware that these structures exist?

# **Proposed Solution:**

Our group proposes the following solution to these three encroachments.

- 1) Require removal of all "staircase" type structures between these three homes and the lake. The City has never encouraged people to access the lake via this area of Hudson Park. If the City someday wanted to provide a staircase access, it should be built properly and up to relevant codes. These structures really only serve the three homeowners as "private" access points.
- 2) Post property boundary clearly for members of the public to see. An average member of the public could very well believe that these three homes own frontage along Lake Monona. There is no reason for the public to not know where the property boundary for Hudson Park begins. The property boundary should be marked on all corners. The Wisconsin DNR does this for all state parks to allow people to clearly see what is private and public property.

**Note**: Property boundary marking is especially important for 706 Schiller Court. This property owner mows a very large part of Hudson Park, and has a large garden bed in the park. Members of the public should be aware that they have the right to walk on this grass and use this area as a public park.

We believe these two items will completely address the issues along this section of Hudson Park. If the staircases are removed and the property boundaries are marked, members of the public will be able to enjoy this part of Hudson Park just as they enjoy the rest of the park.

We understand this entire ordeal is a lot of information for the City to digest. As we have previously stated, our group wants to see the City maintain control over Hudson Park and take back proper management of City-owned parcels of land.

We kindly submit this formal complaint regarding these three additional parcels of land in addition to our previous emails regarding encroachment issues directly West of this area.

Sincerely, The Outdoors Madison Group

On Thursday, May 16, 2024 at 12:36:18 PM CDT, <u>outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com</u> < <u>outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com</u> > wrote:

Eric,

Thank you for the response, it is much appreciated. We completely understand the complexity of the situation and that the city needs time to sort through this quagmire.

Although it is true we are staying anonymous at the moment, we want the city to know we have been 100% transparent with our intentions (first- figuring out the legal situation with these parcels, and second- advocating to ensure they remain owned by the city and hopefully one day available for the public to use and enjoy as much as the law/legal situation allows).

Thank you again for the response

On Thursday, May 16, 2024 at 08:44:36 AM CDT, Knepp, Eric <eknepp@cityofmadison.com> wrote:

I apologize for the delay, but a proper response here will require thought and conversation with a number of City agencies. There are underlying legal and real estate strategy issues at play. Frankly, given your anonymity, I need to ensure that what we are communicating is completely accurate and not in any way damaging to the City's interest here. This is on the list, and I do plan to respond, but I cannot give you a solid commitment on timeline. My best guess is by early June.

From: outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com <outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2024 8:40 AM

**To:** Knepp, Eric < <a href="EKnepp@cityofmadison.com">EKnepp@cityofmadison.com</a>>

**Cc:** Laschinger, Lisa <<u>LLaschinger@cityofmadison.com</u>>; Mayor <<u>Mayor@cityofmadison.com</u>>;

Park Commission pacommission@cityofmadison.com>

Subject: Re: FOIA Request - City owned parcels along Lake Monona

You don't often get email from outdoors madison @yahoo.com. Learn why this is important

Hello,

We were wondering if the City would be able to tell us if they are planning to respond to the email we sent on April 11? If the City is not or cannot respond to the email, we would appreciate knowing that information. As we continue to look into this situation, we wanted to be sure to give the city a chance to respond.

Thank you,

The Outdoors Madison Group

On Thursday, April 11, 2024 at 02:44:15 PM CDT, <u>outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com</u> < <u>outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com</u> > wrote:

Hi Lisa and other City Staff,

First and foremost, I want to thank you and the City parks department for getting this information to us in such a timely manner. We were very thankful for such a quick and professional response to our information request. We would like to specifically thank you and other City staff for your efforts.

As we discussed a few weeks ago, we are following up with several questions. Before we pose our questions, we would like to provide quick summary that gives context to our original information request as well as these follow up questions.

General Background:

We believe that there is some unresolved ambiguity regarding the four parcels along the shoreline of Lake Monona referred to in the original request (the parcels). After reviewing all information, it is apparent that the City of Madison is the owner of these parcels of land. We have not been able to locate a clear legal document or other legitimate agreement that allows the adjacent property owners exclusive use of these parcels and or allows these adjacent property owners to act as riparian owners and place a pier, etc. We acknowledge that we are dealing with old documents, and there could be a formal agreement we have not yet found, but after initial review of the information request, no such legal document or agreement was found.

Overall/ Long Term Goal:

To really sum it up, we eventually would like the city to take proper control of these lands and have them treated as other public park lake front lands are treated. Many pieces of city owned land exist between private homes and the lake, and we believe this can be a great addition of public land for people to enjoy. Again, the ultimate goal would be to never have these parcels sold and eventually have them become proper public park spaces. Footage on Lake Monona is some of the most valuable and finite real estate in Dane County. With the population of Madison and Dane County increasing year after year, lakefront parkland is an extremely important asset. The three parcels furthest west (adjacent to Yahara Place Park), would be an easy and very welcome addition to Yahara Place Park.

We would also like to note that we greatly appreciate the work of the City of Madison, the Parks Department, and City Staff persons. We think of ourselves as an advocate for the preservation continued success of all City of Madison parkland. We understand that these questions are difficult to parse through and may put the City in an uncomfortable situation with the adjacent property owners. However, we believe that this discussion is vital to ensure a fair, and equable solution that benefits the 99.99% of City residents who do not live adjacent to these parcels. If these parcels truly are public lands that should be open and accessible to the people, we believe the correct thing to do would be to start treating them as such, as soon as possible. With that context in mind, we pose the questions below.

\_\_\_\_\_

## **Questions:**

1) Are these parcels of land publicly accessible? (i.e. can members of the public walk along this land, access the water from this land, and otherwise use this land as they would any other city-owned lake frontage parcel)

**2)**Does a formal agreement and/or legal document exist that allows the adjacent property owners to construct permanent buildings or other structures/hardscape on these city-owned parcels?

**Note:** This is especially relevant for the parcel located between the lake and 2201 Lakeland Avenue. The adjacent property owners at that address have constructed a treehouse, a stone wall, and potentially part of a paved driveway on city owned property. See attached screenshot from Google Maps. Other adjacent property owners have permanent structures and/or hardscape as well.

3) Since the adjacent property owners do not own any lake frontage, does a formal agreement and/or legal document exist that gives them the right to act as riparian owners (construct piers, etc) along Lake Monona?

**Notes/Comments Regarding Question 3:** If there is not a formal agreement or legal document giving the adjacent property owners these rights, we believe these property owners may be in violation of Wis. Stat 30.15(d), and potentially several other provisions in Chapter 30 of the Wisconsin Statutes. Additionally If no formal conveyance or other legitimate legal agreement was

previously made, we believe Wis. Stat. 30.133 would prohibit any such agreement from being made at the present day or at any time in the future.

## Wis. Stat. 30.15: Penalty for unlawful obstruction of navigable waters.

(1) Obstructions penalized. Any person who does any of the following shall forfeit not less than \$10 nor more than \$500 for each offense:(a) Unlawfully obstructs any navigable waters and thereby impairs the free navigation thereof. (b) Unlawfully places in navigable waters or in any tributary thereof any substance that may float into and obstruct any such waters or impede their free navigation. (c) Constructs or maintains in navigable waters, or aids in the construction or maintenance therein, of any boom not authorized by law. (d) Constructs or places any structure or deposits any material in navigable waters in violation of s. 30.12 or 30.13. (3) Each day a separate violation. Each day during which an obstruction, deposit or structure exists in violation of sub. (1) is a separate offense.

## Wis. Stat. 30.133 Prohibition against conveyance of riparian rights.

(1) Beginning on April 9, 1994, and except as provided in s. 30.1335, no owner of riparian land that abuts a navigable water may grant by an easement or by a similar conveyance any riparian right in the land to another person, except for the right to cross the land in order to have access to the navigable water. This right to cross the land may not include the right to place any structure or material, including a boat docking facility, as defined in s. 30.1335 (1) (a), in the navigable water.

We would greatly appreciate the City of Madison's input on the above three questions. We would like to thank you all again very much for your time and efforts with this information request and subsequent follow up. We understand this is a complicated situation that will likely take some time to investigate and respond to. If there is another city agency or other government body that you believe these questions are better posed to, please advise accordingly.

We can be reached at the following email address: outdoors madison@yahoo.com

Sincerely, The Outdoors Madison Group (T.O.M. G.)

On Thursday, March 14, 2024 at 03:24:48 PM CDT, Laschinger, Lisa <<u>llaschinger@cityofmadison.com</u>> wrote:

Greetings once again,

In addition to the document I provided earlier, I need to follow-up with several additional documents that were not included in the pdf of my original response. These were inadvertently missed when I compiled the pdf.

With this message, my previous message from earlier today, and the attached responsive documents, your request is now closed.

Thank you, Lisa

Lisa Laschinger
Assistant Parks Superintendent
City of Madison Parks Division

From: Laschinger, Lisa

**Sent:** Thursday, March 14, 2024 2:54 PM

To: outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com

Cc: Mayor < Mayor@cityofmadison.com >; Knepp, Eric < EKnepp@cityofmadison.com >; Madison

Parks < parks@cityofmadison.com >

Subject: RE: FOIA Request - City owned parcels along Lake Monona

#### Good Afternoon,

This message and the attached documents are the City's reply to your March 12, 2024 public records request for "information regarding four parcels of land owned by the City of Madison. The parcels in question are located in-between private residences and Lake Monona. The private residences the parcels are located adjacent to are: 2201 Lakeland Avenue, 2205 Lakeland Avenue, 2209 Lakeland Avenue, 2219 Lakeland Avenue"

With the successful electronic transmission of this letter and the attached responsive records, the City considers your record request closed.

Thank you, Lisa

Lisa Laschinger Assistant Parks Superintendent City of Madison Parks Division

From: Laschinger, Lisa

**Sent:** Thursday, March 14, 2024 12:44 PM

To: outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com

Subject: FW: FOIA Request - City owned parcels along Lake Monona

#### Good afternoon,

I am reaching out to let you know we received your request and have begun processing it. We will respond as soon as we are able.

Thank you, Lisa

Lisa Laschinger Assistant Parks Superintendent City of Madison Parks Division

From: Madison Mayor < madisonmayor@cityofmadison.com >

Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 8:33 AM

**To:** Crawley, Katie < <a href="mailto:KCrawley@cityofmadison.com">KCrawley@cityofmadison.com</a>>

Subject: FW: FOIA Request - City owned parcels along Lake Monona

FYI Diana

From: Mayor < Mayor@cityofmadison.com > Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 8:16 AM

To: Madison Mayor < madisonmayor@cityofmadison.com >

Subject: FW: FOIA Request - City owned parcels along Lake Monona

# Nicole Stevens, Executive Assistant to the Mayor

(She/her)

Office of MayorSatya Rhodes-Conway Room 403, City-County Building 210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd. Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Tel 608 266 4611 ● Fax 608 267 8671 Email nstevens@cityofmadison.com

Web <a href="http://www.cityofmadison.com/mayor/">http://www.cityofmadison.com/mayor/</a>

## » » » Please consider the environment before printing this email. « « «

From: outdoors madis on @yahoo.com < outdoors madis on @yahoo.com >

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 12, 2024 10:12 PM

To: Assessor <assessor@cityofmadison.com>; Madison Parks parks@cityofmadison.com>; Mayor

## < Mayor@cityofmadison.com >

Subject: FOIA Request - City owned parcels along Lake Monona

## Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear City of Madison staff,

I am writing today to request information under the Freedom of Information Act.

## **Background:**

I am seeking information regarding four parcels of land owned by the City of Madison. The parcels in question are located in-between private residences and Lake Monona. The private residences the parcels are located adjacent to are: 2201 Lakeland Avenue, 2205 Lakeland Avenue, 2209 Lakeland Avenue, 2219 Lakeland Avenue.

Additionally, I have attached four screenshots from the Wisconsin Statewide Parcel Map with the parcels I am referring to selected and highlighted.

## **Specific Information Requested:**

1) I am specifically requesting all information the City has pertaining to these parcels as it relates to the aforementioned homeowners use of this land. This may include agreements the City has with the homeowners, original deeds the City may have on file, or other historical agreements that were made between private landowners and the City.

Essentially I am requesting any legal documents the City has on file that allow these homeowners to maintain exclusive use of these parcels of land while ownership is still held by the City of Madison. If the homeowners do have exclusive rights to use this land- are there restrictions as to what they can do with it? (ie, build a stone wall, put up a shed, install a pier, etc).

2) Information the City of Madison has regarding who owns the riparian water rights along these parcels in Lake Monona. (Typically, the actual landowner is the only entity who is entitled to riparian rights- in this case the landowner is the City)

#### Context:

In case it helps put context to this request, I am generally hoping to more fully understand the following questions:

- 1) How and why are the private homeowners that border these City owned parcels of land able to use this lakefront property exclusively?
- 2) Why has the City retained ownership of this land / when did the City acquire these parcels?

- 3) Is this land technically accessible to the public?
- 4) Are there restrictions on what type of structures or improvements the homeowners may construct on these parcels?

I can be reached via email at the following address: <a href="mailto:outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com">outdoorsmadison@yahoo.com</a>

I greatly appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely, The Outdoors Madison Group

-ATTACHMENTS BELOW-

On Aug 30, 2019, at 8:54 AM, Swenson, Sally <sswenson@cityofmadison.com> wrote: Of course Jeff Quamme had the deets. ? Per his email:

This is all part of the Park and Pleasure Drive system that was created be several private entities in the early 1900's. Those entities eventually conveyed their rights to the City that they had acquired over the years. It is a convoluted messy thing at times. Believe it or not, in the first months that I was with the City, there was a discussion about these very parcels between Doran, Dan Rodman, Me and Eric and the Assessor's office.

Essentially, yes the City has title to those parcels including the riparian rights. The adjacent parcels have permission to use the parcels. See the attached relevant text from Doran Viste below from the exchange of emails. Looks like the adjacent owners were claiming that the lands were theirs and Doran shut that idea down in 2011.

Here is what I stated back in July, 2011:

Looking just at Maureen's initial inquiry, it appears that our title to the lake front "parcels" on Lots 1, 2, 3 and 5/6 are valid. While somewhat odd, for lots 1, 2 and 5/6 the City acquired the whole lots, then sold the northern portions thereof, while granting permission to those buyers to use the lake front side until the land was converted into park or parkway. Hence, any use by the adjoining property owners since then has been permissive, and our title should remain valid thereto. As for lot 3, this one is a little bit different-but with the same end result. The initial seller sold solely the northern portion of the lot in 1923, giving permission to use the southern portion until its conversion to park/parkway. The City then obtained title to the southern portion in 1928, subject to those same conditions. While the owners of the lots have many years of bad title work to convince them that they own all the way to the lakeshore, the City cannot lose its title simply by the sloppy work of lawyers and title companies 50 plus years ago. Fortunately, because of the way the transfers were handled, the permissive nature of the property owners' uses has protected our title. Furthermore, unlike with the Merry Street parcels (and other Madison Park and Pleasure Drive parcels), the acquisitions by the City were not conditioned upon their use as park land and we are free to sell off these parcels if we want to. Indeed, unless we have plans to the contrary for this stretch, that would seem to be prudent as a way to tax the adjoining parcels fairly for what is in all reality lakefront property.

I don't think any additional title work is necessary on this matter right now, unless one of the owners makes some sort of formal claim to this land. However, it may be prudent for Parks to assess the future of these parcels and whether it is better to hold onto our passive ownership, or sell the parcels to the adjoining property owners so that the City may recover the full taxable value of these lands.

I was never contacted by any of the residents following the Aug. 2011 letter.

Let me know of any further questions.

Doran

Sally Swenson T: (608) 266-4862

From: Swenson, Sally

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2019 4:59 PM

To: Rutledge, Kay <krutledge@cityofmadison.com>; Freiwald, Ann

<AFreiwald@cityofmadison.com>

Cc: Schmidt, Janet <jschmidt@cityofmadison.com>
Subject: RE: Hudson Shoreline Misc Parcels

We don't know yet, but I'll let you know what we find. I'll plan to walk these sites with Richie, and we'll bring several maps, so if questions come up, we'll have handouts prepared.

I'll also see if Jeff Q can take a look at the recorded documents for these parcels to be sure there's nothing I'm missing in terms of riparian rights, etc.

Sally Swenson T: (608) 266-4862

From: Rutledge, Kay <KRutledge@cityofmadison.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2019 4:55 PM

To: Freiwald, Ann <AFreiwald@cityofmadison.com>

Cc: Swenson, Sally <sswenson@cityofmadison.com>; Schmidt, Janet

<jschmidt@cityofmadison.com>

Subject: RE: Hudson Shoreline Misc Parcels

If it's a staff person walking along the shoreline, and it's our property, we should be fine. Are there fences in the way?

From: Freiwald, Ann

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2019 2:25 PM

To: Rutledge, Kay <KRutledge@cityofmadison.com>

Cc: Swenson, Sally <sswenson@cityofmadison.com>; Schmidt, Janet

<jschmidt@cityofmadison.com>

Subject: RE: Hudson Shoreline Misc Parcels

The yellow polygons are in people's backyards. Are we sure we want to open this can-o-worms?

#### Ann

From: Rutledge, Kay

Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2019 9:22 PM

To: Freiwald, Ann <AFreiwald@cityofmadison.com>

Cc: Swenson, Sally <sswenson@cityofmadison.com>; Schmidt, Janet

<jschmidt@cityofmadison.com>

Subject: Re: Hudson Shoreline Misc Parcels

Please inspect all of them, then we can discuss next steps, thanks. Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 28, 2019, at 7:57 PM, Freiwald, Ann <AFreiwald@cityofmadison.com> wrote: Thanks for this info. Kay, would you like Sally to inspect these shorelines?

From: Swenson, Sally

Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2019 6:45 PM

To: Freiwald, Ann <AFreiwald@cityofmadison.com>; Schmidt, Janet

<jschmidt@cityofmadison.com>

Subject: Hudson Shoreline Misc Parcels

Hey Ann,

As part of our shoreline mapping efforts, we've taken a closer look at the parcels along Hudson Shoreline. I know I mentioned the parcel off Schiller Court earlier, but I didn't realize the parcels off Dunning Street were also owned by Parks. Technically, the parcels in yellow below are owned by Parks. As you can see, it appears that the adjacent property owners are using these parcels for lake access. I've attached the recorded documents associated with these parcels. I'm not the best at reading these, but from what I can tell, it doesn't give the adjacent owners riparian rights here.

We will inspect the shoreline off Schiller Court, but we will not be inspecting the parcels west of there, unless I hear otherwise from you and Janet.

Just keeping you in the loop!

Thanks!

<image002.jpg>

Sally Swenson, P.E. City of Madison - Engineering Division 210 MLK Jr. Blvd., Room 115 Madison, WI 53703

T: (608) 266-4862 sswenson@cityofmadison.com





