AGENDA # 5

City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORTED BACK:

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PRESENTED: April 27, 2011

TITLE: 434-454 West Johnson Street – PUD(GDP- **REFERRED:**

SIP), Ten-Story Hotel with First Floor Commercial Space and Elevated Parking.

REREFERRED:

4th Ald. Dist. (18499)

AUTHOR: William A. Fruhling, Acting Secretary ADOPTED: POF:

DATED: April 27, 2011 **ID NUMBER:**

Members present were: Marsha Rummel, Mark Smith, Dawn O'Kroley, Todd Barnett, Richard Slayton, John Harrington, R. Richard Wagner, and Melissa Huggins.

SUMMARY:

At its meeting of April 27, 2011, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED INITIAL APPROVAL** of a PUD(GDP-SIP) located at 434-454 West Johnson Street. Appearing on behalf of the project were Jeff Kraemer, Gary Brink, Jeff Brenkus, Abbie Moilien, all representing Raymond Management Company, Inc. Registered neither in support or opposition was Randy B. Christianson. Changes to the plans include adding a level of parking to bring the project to 10-stories, raising the parapets of the brick elements near the corner, and extending glass around the corner to the north on the first floor, thus making the corner more visible. Slayton suggested looking at the 18" wall following the sidewalk and keeping it level instead of following the grade. Randy Christianson spoke of his concerns regarding sidewalk leveling, blocking of sunlight and gentrification. Barnett suggested adding another window to the commercial space on the Johnson Street elevation, using a more vertical scoring pattern in the EIFS, and treating the overrun in the same manner, and looking at additional windows on the east elevation on one side or the other (or both) of the center indentation. Rummel inquired about the utility brick and how it fits in with the EIFS. Smith asked if there was a way to get some openings on the north elevation of the parking garage. Huggins questioned the retail space being the major focus of the street and separated from the hotel, which is the primary use of the building, and strongly encouraged a much more shared space with a clearly defined pedestrian hotel entrance. O'Kroley agreed and suggested connecting the hotel entrance with the retail space. She expressed concern with the ground plain and accessibility issues; she doesn't feel the corner entrance is yet accessible. The shape, size and placement of the bollards does not feel consistent with the architecture. Several Commission members discussed the potential for a green roof on the one-story element along Bassett Street. Slayton asked about the shape of the Crabapple trees near the entrance. The treatment of the roof edge for the penthouse should match that of the other copings.

ACTION:

On a motion by Harrington, seconded by Huggins, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED INITIAL APPROVAL** with the following conditions:

- Improve the pedestrian entrance for the hotel on Bassett Street on the corner.
- Resolve the commercial space entrance.

- Study additional windows or other fenestration on the east elevation.
- Study an additional window or architectural treatment on the south elevation adjacent to the main dropoff area for the hotel.
- Study vehicular traffic circulation in the vicinity of the driveway and drop-off area.
- Study the roof edge treatment on the penthouse.
- Study the bollard scale, design and placement and consider adding lighting.
- Study the wall element of the corner following the line of the walk rather than the line of the building.
- Study adding a green roof on the one-story element along Bassett Street.
- Study the shape of the Crabapple trees near the main hotel entrance.
- Study a more vertical coring pattern in the EIFS.
- Study the addition of openings in the parking structure on the north elevation.

The motion was passed on a vote of (6-1) with Rummel voting no.

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 5.5, 6, 6, 6 and 8.

URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PROJECT RATING FOR: 434-454 West Johnson Street

	Site Plan	Architecture	Landscape Plan	Site Amenities, Lighting, Etc.	Signs	Circulation (Pedestrian, Vehicular)	Urban Context	Overall Rating
sā	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.5
	5	7	6	-	-	5	7	6
	8	7	6	-	-	8	8	8
Member Ratings	6	-	6	6	-	6	6	6
mber								
Me								

General Comments:

- Not quite there especially entry at drop-off and secondary entry on Bassett. Not persuaded by explanation for utility brick, it seems too large compared to materials used on surrounding apartment towers.
- Nice improvement to this lot.