PROPOSAL REVIEW: Individual Staff Review for 2011-2012 For Community Resources Proposals to be Submitted to the CDBG Committee

- 1. Program Name: Home Modification
- 2. Agency Name: Independent Living
- **3. Requested Amounts: 2011:** \$57,000 **2012:** \$58,710

Prior Year Level: \$41,000

4. Project Type: New \Box Continuing \boxtimes

5. Framework Plan Objective Most Directly Addressed by Proposed by Activity:

- A. Housing Owner occupied housing
- **B.** Housing Housing for homebuyers
- D. Housing Rental housing
- **E. Business development and job creation**
- **F.** Economic development of small businesses
- L. Revitalization of strategic areas
- J. Improvement of services to homeless and special populations
- X. Access to Resources
- **K.** Physical improvement of community service facilities
- 6. Anticipated Accomplishments (Proposed Service Goals) Will provide home modifications and accessibility improvements to 210 elderly or disabled persons in 140 homes.
- 7. To what extent does the proposal meet the Objectives of the <u>Community Development Program Goals and</u> <u>Priorities</u> for 2011-2012?

Staff Comments: Application was originally submitted under CDBG Obj D, Rental Housing, but staff recommends the application be considered under CDBG Obj A, Owner-Occupied Housing. While the program anticipates making home modifications to both owner-occupied and rental units (approx 50/50), the proposed modification activity best meets the housing improvement targets under Obj. A (accessibility, safety and housing and building code improvements). Obj. D is intended for more significant improvements to homes and per Funding Framework requires a promissory note and mortgage for all properties improved.

8. To what extent is the proposed program design and work plan sufficiently detailed to demonstrate the ability to result in a positive impact on the need or problem identified? Staff Comments: Program design and work plan is appropriate to accomplish the proposed objectives of the

Staff Comments: Program design and work plan is appropriate to accomplish the proposed objectives of the application. Specifically, the staffing design includes positions with appropriate training and backgrounds, the program is effectively linked with IL's related housing and service programs to elderly residents as well as health care organizations and senior centers.

9. To what extent does the proposal include objectives that are realistic and measurable and are likely to be achieved within the proposed timeline?

Staff Comments: Objectives are reasonable and measurable.

10. To what extent do the agency, staff and/or Board <u>experience</u>, <u>qualifications</u>, <u>past performance</u> and <u>capacity</u> indicate probable success of the proposal?

Staff Comments: Independent Living (IL) has effectively operated the Home Modification program for many years and has extensive knowledge related to housing accessibility needs of individuals with limited physical abilities. IL has a good track record of meeting their Comm. Development contract goals. Experience and training of staff working in the Home Modification program is appropriate.

IL's expansive housing and service provision to elderly residents provides them with necessary access and relevant professional partnerships appropriate for the program implementation. They have a good track record of implementing the basic Home Share program for many years.

11. To what extent is the agency's proposed <u>budget reasonable and realistic</u>, able to <u>leverage additional resources</u>, and demonstrate <u>sound fiscal planning</u> and management?

Staff Comments: The 2011 budget proposes and increase from \$41,000 (2010) to \$57,000 in 2011 (39% increase) and proposes a comparable increase in service provision. The Home Modification program is a county-wide program with the County CDBG contributing funds for modifications outside the City, and Madison CDBG contributing funds for City

residents. The program budget also leverages \$57,500 in user fees based on ability to pay (approx 35% of program budget).

- 12. To what extent does the agency's proposal demonstrate efforts and success at securing a <u>diverse array of support</u>, <u>including volunteers, in-kind support</u> and securing <u>partnerships</u> with agencies and community groups? Staff Comments: The program is a single agency program. However, the program collaborates with many area service providers serving elderly and physically disabled adults to ensure that home modification services are available to any low or moderate income resident.
- 13. To what extent does the applicant propose services that are accessible and appropriate to the needs of <u>low income</u> <u>individuals</u>, <u>culturally diverse</u> populations and/or populations with specific <u>language barriers</u> and/or <u>physical or</u> <u>mental disabilities?</u>

Staff Comments: The application proposes to translate program brochures into Spanish and Hmong, and to continue to work with case manager from agencies serving individuals from other cultures. The Home Modification program effectively serves low-income, elderly and disabled populations. All program beneficiaries have incomes below 80% ami, with 66% having incomes below 50% ami.

- 14. To what extent does the proposal meet the <u>technical and regulatory requirements</u> and <u>unit cost limits</u> as applicable? To what extent is there clear and precise proposal information to determine eligibility? Staff Comments: The program participants meet income and home assessment regulatory requirements.
- 15. To what extent is the <u>site identified</u> for the proposed project <u>appropriate</u> in terms of minimizing negative environmental issues, relocation and neighborhood or public concerns? Staff Comments: Not applicable.
- 16. Other comments:

Questions:

- 17. Staff Recommendation
 - **Not recommended for consideration**
 - **Recommend for consideration**
 - Recommend with Qualifications Suggested Qualifications: