

CITY OF MADISON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

VARIANCE APPLICATION

\$300 Filing Fee

Ensure all information is **typed** or legibly **printed** using blue or black ink.

Address of Subject Property: 4122 Cherok	ee Drive	
Name of Owner: Andrew Fieber		
Address of Owner (if different than above):		
Daytime Phone:608-209-2183	Evening Phone:	608-209-2183
Email Address:atfieber@hotmail.com		
Name of Applicant (Owner's Representative): Same	as above	
Address of Applicant:		
Daytime Phone:	Evening Phone:	
Email Address:		
Description of Requested Variance: The current pro	perty owner at 4122	2 Cherokee Drive would like to
extended the rear of the existing single car garage app		
1941) does not meet the side yard setback requiremen		
setback requirements established by the TR-C1 zoning	district are satisfied	at this property. Extending the
existing single car garage 16' would match the back of the existing home and would not extended into the		
rear yard setback. Please see the included certified survey for property dimensions.		
	vey for property a	TICHSIONS.
	(3)	ee reverse side for more instructions)
FOR OFFICE		
Amount Paid: 300		0/24/13
Receipt: 145718 Filing Date: 8/5/13	Published Date: 10 Appeal Number: 10	
Received By: 224	Appeal Number: 70	2975-7
Received By: PDA Parcel Number: 0709 -321-0628-4	Code Section(s): 28.	1
Zoning District: TR - C1	ode Section(3). 23.	092 (0)
Alder District: 10		

Standards for Variance

The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not grant a variance unless it finds that the applicant has shown the following standards are met:

1. There are conditions unique to the property of the applicant that do not apply generally to other properties in the district.

The property located at 4122 Cherokee Drive, in addition to many of the properties located in the Nakoma neighborhood, do not meet all of the requirements established by the TR-C1 zoning district, because the neighborhood was developed long before the creation of this district. In addition, the property has an overhead electrical easement on the North side of the property (side yard setback in question) and the property has a slight taper from front (50' wide) to back (45').

2. The variance is not contrary to the spirit, purpose, and intent of the regulations in the zoning district and is not contrary to the public interest.

This variance request is not contrary to the spirit, purpose, and intent of the TR-C1 zoning district because the home owner is looking to maintain the current setbacks and simply extend the garage along the existing building line. In addition all other TR-C1 requirements are met...front yard, rear yard, height, lot coverage, open space, etc. Also, the variance is not contrary to public interest because the home owner is not looking to disrupt the property with major changes, i.e., tree removal, use, architectural appeal, etc.

3. For an area (setbacks, etc) variance, compliance with the strict letter of the ordinance would unreasonably prevent use of the property for a permitted purpose or would render compliance with the ordinance unnecessarily burdensome.

It is my belief that compliance of the TR-C1 zoning district is unnecessary burdensome in this instance because the property is being held to a standard that was not enforced during the development of the property. Therefore, the property owner must submit a variance whenever major renovations are completed on the site.

4. The alleged difficulty or hardship is created by the terms of the ordinance rather than by a person who has a present interest in the property.

Correct, the alleged difficulty or hardship is created by the terms of the ordinance and not by the person who has a present interest in the property.

5. The proposed variance shall not create substantial detriment to adjacent property.

The proposed variance does not create detriment to the adjacent property because the proposed garage expansion does not change the current building setback and does not impact any of the vegetation between the properties.

6. The proposed variance shall be compatible with the character of the immediate neighborhood.

The proposed variance does not impact the current character of the home and maintains the compatibility within the neighborhood.

Application Requirements

Please provide the following Information (Please note any boxes left uncheck below could result in a processing delay or the Board's denial of your application):

Ø	Pre-application meeting with staff: Prior to submittal of this application, the applicant is strongly encouraged to discuss the proposed project and submittal material with Zoning staff. Incomplete applications could result in referral or denial by the Zoning Board of Appeals.		
Ø	Site plan, drawn to scale. A registered survey is recommended, but not required. Show the following on the site plan (Maximum size for all drawings is 11" x 17"): Lot lines Existing and proposed structures, with dimensions and setback distances to all property lines Approximate location of structures on neighboring properties adjacent to variance Major landscape elements, fencing, retaining walls or other relevant site features Scale (1" = 20' or 1' = 30' preferred) North arrow		
Ø	Elevations from all relevant directions showing existing and proposed views, with notation showing the existing structure and proposed addition(s). (Maximum size for all drawings is $11'' \times 17''$)		
Ø	Interior floor plan of existing and proposed structure, when relevant to the variance request and required by Zoning Staff (Most additions and expansions will require floor plans). (Maximum size for all drawings is $11'' \times 17''$)		
46	Front yard variance requests only. Show the building location (front setback) of adjacent properties on each side of the subject property to determine front setback average.		
44	Lakefront setback variance requests only. Provide a survey prepared by a registered land surveyor showing existing setbacks of buildings on adjacent lots, per MGO 28.138.		
NA	Variance requests specifically involving slope, grade, or trees. Approximate location and amount of slope, direction of drainage, location, species and size of trees.		
	CHECK HERE. I acknowledge any statements implied as fact require supporting evidence.		
Ø	CHECK HERE. I have been given a copy of and have reviewed the standards that the Zoning Board of Appeals will use when reviewing applications for variances.		
Owner's Signature: Date: 8/5/2015			
(Do not write below this line/For Office Use Only)			
DECISION The Board, in accordance with its findings of fact, hereby determines that the requested variance for (is) (is not) in compliance with all of the standards for a variance.			
Furthe	er findings of fact are stated in the minutes of this public hearing.		
The Z	Zoning Board of Appeals: Approved Denied Conditionally Approved		
	defend to		
	10/24/13		
Zoning Board of Appeals Chair:			
Date:			



















