

City of Madison

Meeting Minutes - Approved WATER UTILITY BOARD

Tuesday, August 26, 2008	4:30 PM	119 E. Olin Ave.

These Minutes are in draft form until approved by the Water Utility Board at their meeting of September 23, 2008.

CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Present: 5 -

Dan Melton; Lauren Cnare; Jonathan H. Standridge; Gregory W. Harrington and Thomas Schlenker

Excused: 2 -

George E. Meyer and Michael Schumacher

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes were approved with some changes to wording as requested by Dan Melton in a section where he was speaking. Dan Melton made a motion for approval. Lauren Cnare seconded; unanimously passed.

PUBLIC COMMENT

ADMINISTRATION REPORT

2. General Manager's Report

General Manager Tom Heikkinen said his main focus for the first three weeks had been working on outreach internally and externally, with employees and stakeholders. He attended the Wisconsin Water Association Research Committee meeting last week and got acquainted with some of the aquifer issues. Mark Borchert, Abigail Cantor and Ken Bradbury were some that he met and discussed issues with. Tom said he also met with Lynn Williamson and he thinks it's a great asset that we have such an involved community here in Madison.

Tom said this past Saturday he spoke at the Madison Pentecostal Assembly Career Fair for their youth. He discussed what types of opportunities are available for careers in the water industry. He thinks it's important to get them involved and interested young as we will be facing a labor crunch as the baby boom generation is retiring. He also discussed water throughout the world and the global challenge to water. Tom said employees found a valve that was broken in the open position last week, and there was investigation and innovative repair made with some custom welding. He thanked the crew of Don Russell, Steve Kelso, Dan Wagner, and JJ Larson, and Mike Draper who did the welding—kudos for a job well done. He also thanked Tom Arneson for finding the problem and Karmjit Singh for coordinating it.

Tom said there is a strong contingent of people who will be attending the Wisconsin Water Association Annual Meeting next month in Stevens Point. Most are going for just the day on Wednesday, and there are several employees who are going to compete in the meter madness competition.

On September 11 we are having an employee potluck lunch at the Operations Center, and the Board is invited to attend.

Lauren said the Mayor had a 10-point initiative for the general manager, and suggested Tom dig that up and see where it is at. Tom said he had an idea for making a change to the next agenda and organizing it around five strategic areas the board has set as priorities. Jon thanked Tom and welcomed him.

STAFF REPORTS

3. <u>11711</u> Water Quality Report

Lauren said she assumes the Madison-Dane County Health Department is sampling the wells, so what happens after the first few samples, and how much are we paying the Health Department to do that. Joe DeMorett said half of the money for the sampling comes out of the Landfill Utility and the other half from the Water Utility. Joe said they are estimating \$800 for one round of sampling so the cost to us is \$400 per sample. Lauren asked how it is determined after the first samples if you want to put it out for competitive bid. Joe said our health department is top-notch, that he has worked with them for over 15 years, and our relationship is quite good. He said we did go out for bids occasionally and they always came in quite similar. He recommends trying to stay with our city-county health department as they are very competitive. Dr. Schlenker said the local health department has been a real partner with the Water Utility for quite awhile, and that we have a certified public health laboratory that has guite a relationship in not only doing routine testing but also stepping in when there is urgency. Dr. Schlenker said we are very happy to do that, and what we'd like to do what we do for the more than 32 other water utilities in the Dane County, and maybe some day that will happen. In the meantime, Dr. Schlenker said they are totally open and interested in serving you at our laboratory.

Jon said the lab is certified and our history with them has been good. They've worked lots of overtime hours to accommodate quick turn around times and have done a good job for us in the past.

Dan thanked Joe DeMorett and Joe Grande for the fabulous notes from the committee—3-3 through 3-6. They are very thorough and useful. Dan said he'd look forward to future agenda items under public participation, update on projects, etc. He wants to make sure we don't get too far ahead of ourselves.

Dan said on the middle of page 3, hyphen 4, he glanced at a point that Janet Batista made that she felt that a second sample is necessary; he asked Joe if he remembers that discussion. He said he'd like to take the advice of the committee if at all possible. Al said this is talking about the test well, when we drill a test well and sample it, Janet suggested we take a second sample. Lauren asked if the SOP has one sample ortwo. Al said he hasn't seen the SOP, but would assume since he's doing an SOP he'll probably have two.

Dan said he also wants to flag the discussion in the middle of page 35, one item might be of some concern, mapping scale accumulating trace metal, he is very glad that discussion took place. Lauren asked about drilling sentinel wells, this one is surrounded by the park so what is the size going to be. Joe said it will be a stainless steel or aluminum box approximately 12"x12" at the most and may stand up about 18" tall. Joe said it takes around a week to drill the well. Lauren said they are having a neighborhood meeting there so it will be good to inform people as to what is happening. Tom said we could certainly be at the meeting if that would help, and Lauren said good.

Jon said he had a suggestion for a future meeting. For instance with chlorine, it says there were no violations and everything is as it should be. He'd be curious to see what the chlorine values actually are—maybe have that in a context of virus protection that is in our groundwater. Al said we're generally above .3 and most of the time we're above 4.

- 4. <u>11712</u> Water Supply Report
- 5. <u>11713</u> Operations Report

Jon asked what you do when inspecting a private well. Al said Joe Grande's group does that, but he supervised them for quite awhile. They go around the yard and inspect the construction of the well, making sure it meets the DNR code for construction standards. Once they are satisfied with that, they take a sample, test for coliform, and come back later and take a second sample. If that sample is safe, they issue a five-year permit for \$100. Jon asked if many end up abandoned. Al said he doesn't know.

Jon said there is a monthly log of how many meters are read and asked why it varies so much, like 7,000 in one month and 15,000 in another. Ken Key said some of it depends on the actual reporting time, but generally you are reading for a particular billing cycle from mid-month to mid-month, so the number of working days will affect how many reads get done. What has happened over time is growth in some outlying areas has increased some of the sections that we bill. We divide the city into six sections, and some to the far east and far west have gotten larger. Robin and Ken have looked at that to see if there is something in the future they can do to equalize it. It's a very big job because you have to move people's due-dates, etc. Ken said it has, in the past, affected revenue. We've managed with three meter readers.

Jon said we had a presentation three years ago about this sonar-based leak detection equipment, and we still have 10% water loss in the system. He asked if we are using that equipment on a pro-active basis. Dan Rodefeld said there are two parts to it—the part of the system that actually locates leaks and the other part turns itself on during the night. Al said they are located on a valve. You put them around the system and they listen at 2 a.m., if they hear something they listen again at 3 a.m. and if they hear something again they listen at 4 a.m. Then we go by with a radio device that reads them the next day. Al said one of his staff was doing this, doing around 30-50 miles at that time. Then it became a staffing issue, we just don't have the time/staff to do it. Dan said he budgeted for next year for electronic listening devices where we could use the valve turning crew, the flushing crew; they could put this

device on a valve or hydrant before they operate it and if they detect any noise, they would create a job order for it. Jon said he's asking because part of the conservation plan that concerns water we dump flushing, 1% of our total, and leaks are 10% so at some point we might have to get more serious about looking for leaks. Al said our goal should be 5% as some of it's metering. Ken said that's a loss of revenue, not a loss of water. Dan Melton said he'd be interested in this as a future agenda item—what equipment do we have, how much staff and how much does it cost us, and how much money could we save by detecting leaks. He said other municipalities have been doing this.

Lauren said we saved a lot of money on the Well 29 bid, like \$.5 million; and asked what we do with that. Tom said that is money we have to borrow. Robin said that money would be used for future capital projects; we can't use it for operating purposes. It would reduce the amount of money we might have to borrow next year.

- 6. <u>11714</u> Staffing Report
- 7. <u>11715</u> Engineering Report

Lauren asked if we measure how much water gets used for fighting fires, or if it is always an estimate. Al said he's not aware that they estimate water used on fires. He said the St. Raphael's Church fire, we were pumping out of Well 24 well over 4,000 gallons a minute. That is what the system is designed to do, provide for that one fire of the year. The Fire Department says the majority of the fires they put out without ever hooking up to a hydrant; they carry water in a tanker truck.

Al said the origin of his memo is project justification. Al said Black & Veatch made projections for our Master Plan. Al said what will happen if we are successful in saving water. Looking at upper and lower range, we can apply and analyze that information for planning. That is the foundation of the analysis. Lauren said the only thing that would change this is population growth slowing down or taking off. Al agreed and said the other thing is industry—if you had a large bottling plant for instance. This is also based on planning and zoning. Al said these numbers get reviewed every three to five years. Dan Melton said the question is going to be, are we constructing excess capacity. He thinks that will be a key issue with people. We're going to have to show them that this is what we need.

8. Presentation by Graduate Student Ryan Holzem

Greg Harrington gave a little background for the project. The University and Water Utility have had a collaborative agreement since August, 2002, to do Water Utility research. This board has seen three presentations over the last three years. Ryan is the fourth student in the program and just finished his Masters Thesis in July. Ryan grew up in Cleveland, Wisconsin, got his undergraduate degree in Platteville and then started work with the Utility in December of 2006. He is now working for CH2M Hill in their Milwaukee office. Ryan's research focused on our flushing program.

Ryan said the name of his presentation is Turbidity as an Indicator of Unidirectional Flushing Frequency and Prioritization. Turbidity is basically the cloudiness of the water so we want to use that to indicate when and where to flush in the distribution system. Ryan thanked the Water Utility for funding his project. He thanked Al Larson, Joe Grande and Doug DeMaster for all of their help.

Ryan said the main objective was to develop a model based on indicators and target turbidity to determine where and when to flush in the system. First he collected and compiled data from a few different sources. He used the Water Utility's hydraulic model that simulates the entire distribution system. He also used the geographical information system. He went on to explain what he studied and how he studied it.

One of Ryan's recommendations is to determine how much solids were actually being contributed into the distribution system by sources other than the unit wells, specifically by unlined cast iron pipe. We want to know if we stop all the solids contributed by unit wells and if we're still going to see solids in the distribution system, putting a filter at the well is not going to reach our goal. Most of the data is for unit well 8 area and we may need more data to represent the entire system.

Greg said this is the longest master thesis he has ever seen, saying Ryan put a lot of effort and hours into analyzing data and becoming familiar with the system. Greg thanked the engineering staff and Joe Grande. Tom thanked Ryan on behalf of the Water Utility for his work.

Dan Melton asked Ryan what we know about his first recommendation about pipe. Ryan said they really didn't have a lot of data comparing unlined pipe to lined pipe. Tom said unlined pipe does contribute to discolored water. At his former utility, it is all surface water and they didn't really have iron or manganese challenges, but they did have discolored water in the older part of the system. Lining that pipe was a priority for the utility. Dan asked how someone would figure that out quantitatively. Ryan said he thinks if we keep track of the concentration of iron and manganese contributed by each unit well, and if we do some kind of flushing program that measures turbidity coming out of each flushing area, we know what is going in so if we compare between unlined sections of the distribution system to lined sections of the system, we should be able to determine that. Greg said Unit Well 8 is the area served by a high iron and manganese well and there is a lot of unlined pipe in it. There are other areas of the system where we have high iron and manganese wells with very little unlined pipe in it. You've got concentration in the well vs. percentage of lined and unlined pipe. Dr. Schlenker asked Ryan if he considered what was in the realm of being "do-able" in terms of flushing. Ryan said right now, once a year, we flush the entire system. There are some that could be flushed once every five years, but then there are high priority wells that require multiple times a year.

- 9. <u>11716</u> Customer Service Report
- **10.** <u>11717</u> Public Information Officer Report

Jon said he was happy to see that Gail is going to the EPA Training Conference. He thinks the more knowledge we get from the outside through attending conferences like this, the better off the Utility is going to be.

11.11718Fund Balance Report

Robin said the Interest and Principle account numbers are down at the end of July because we made an interest payment on July 1.

12. <u>11719</u> Cash Flow Statement

Jon asked about salary and fringe line, which is about \$1 million under budget, asking if that is because of the 11 vacancies. Robin said in part because of the vacancies. He asked if this will continue and Robin said no, they plan to have some of the positions filled before the end of the year.

Lauren mentioned antenna revenue, and asked Robin if we are maximizing that revenue. Robin said we can't charge a lot more than what we get, that it goes up 4% each year, but we are at the high end. Al said there is no more room for antennas. Tom said we're on the high end, but they want to pay it so it's not too high. He said it is exactly what they were charging back in D.C. so it's the market rate. Tom said we have talked about other revenue sources. One source we talked about was hosting other area utilities showing them our billing and software system. It might be attractive for smaller utilities looking to replace their software system and don't want to have to invest in a new one or are losing a key person, and they'd consider outsourcing to us. Ken said we could also look at meter testing for other suburban utilities.

14. <u>11721</u> Income Statement

Robin said this is the operating budget. The first piece is the income statement where we estimate what is going to happen this year and next year. Regarding the operating budget, the highlights indicate we're funding existing services and not looking to include anything we haven't been doing already. The rebate program is a supplemental request. We are reducing three permanent positions through the budget process due to the ending of the lead service replacement program. Two of those positions are going, and Ken had an extra water meter mechanic that we don't presently need, so we've reduced three positions.

Some of the things we, as a utility, don't have any control over are increased costs for 2009, our share of the ELAM, the Enterprise Land and Asset Management system that the City is instituting. It's also going to be used by the Utility as a computerized maintenance and management system, something we've been talking about and the EPA pointed out it was something that a utility our size should use. That's a \$235,000 contribution from the Utility. It has already gone through the Council as funds appropriated from the Utility in 2009, so we had no control over that. We have an increase in Workers Comp charges for next year, about \$100,000. Again, we don't really control that piece of our budget. We're planning to paint two towers next year at a cost of \$700,000. In 2010, our operating budget will see a little relief there. We have a large purchase for a vehicle, a hydro-excavator that is recommended we purchase for \$325,000, which is another unusual item that shows up in the budget.

Our largest cost is salaries and benefits. Number two is purchase of power from the local utilities, which is about \$2.4 million in 2009. Robin said the Comptroller's Office is currently reviewing the budget, and he talked to Eric

Knepp, our budget analyst, yesterday and we found an error in the salary sections where we had to make a couple of corrections. Robin said we had five budgeted positions for the Waterworks Operator 2, and they are paid the same rate as WU Operation Leadworkers, and one had almost \$300,000 listed as the total and one had \$19,000, so we fixed that. Jon asked what percent rate we used for the rate increase. Robin said he included nothing for the 2009 request, but he included 14%, the low end, for additional revenue for the 2008 case. Jon said so if we get 18%, how would that \$23 million change. Robin said it would go up about \$1 million. The reason Robin used the lower number was he had discussion with the PSC and they are looking at giving us a rate of return of 7% rather than the 8.2% we requested. The difference between those two is about \$1 million. Robin used the lower number because it is looking like that is what they are going to give us. Jon said we had to borrow from the city last year and asked if we'll have to borrow again. Robin said it looks like we will have to. The Income Statement shows that we may be in the red by the end of the year by \$1.5 million. Jon asked if that is the amount we'd have to borrow, and Robin said it would be in that area. Jon said if you look at the rate increase and the six months it takes to actually charge the people and get that revenue, are we on the right track that we're done with this borrowing after this time? Robin said it depends how quickly we get this rate increase implemented and get the next one filed. Robin said without any additional increases, in 2009 we'd still come up almost \$750,000 short, about less than what we're going to come up short this year. We are getting there. It could change if we have a drier year next year. We're down 6%--it has improved because of the rain we've had in August; we were down 7.7% pumpage toward the end of July and that is now at 6%. Jon said is the next conclusion that this budget is \$1.5 million too much. Robin said he'd like to think we're on the track to fixing it. The PSC has said what we're going to get in 2008 probably won't get us all the way through 2009, but they know we're going to file another rate increase so they're going to wait for that second one to come in to take a closer look at where we're at in 2009. Robin said we're not doing a whole lot more than the basic service that we're providing to our customers. He said he doesn't know where we could cut \$1 million as we're just doing basic services. If we didn't purchase the hydro-excavator, we could save \$325,000 of cash. We could possibly make a few cuts but he doubts we could come up with \$1 million in cuts.

Robin said the City budgets differently than we do. All of their salaries and benefits are separate in their system. In our system, we budget by service type—meter reading costs, salaries and benefits associated with reading meters. When we repair mains, the labor is included there. We purchase some of those services when we're doing mains, but we're forced to use the City's budgeting system.

Jon asked if it is fair to summarize that our problem is really a revenue problem and not an over expenditure problem. Robin said yes, it takes so long to get those rate increases implemented. We're using a 2008 test year for our rate increase and by the time we get it, 2008 will be over but we won't have any relief due to power going up 10%, health insurance up 10%, wages up 3%-we don't get that until 2009 so we're behind a year again. We have to file faster and get through the process faster to get those revenues where we need them. Jon asked if it would help if we went to monthly or quarterly billing. Robin said it would help a little as it wouldn't take quite as long implement the increase, but we'd still have an 8-month process to get through the process with the PSC.

Jon said so this is to approve the budget? Lauren said yes, this is our last shot

at this. Dan Melton said it probably isn't the Board's job to nit pick on this, but if we just kept purchase services to the 2008 projected level, thats \$.5 million right there. Robin said that part of the \$235,000 is for the Eline System being purchased by the City, and we have no control over that. Robin said we've put quite a bit of effort into this.

Dan Melton said one thing Alder Schumacher has been fond of mentioning from time to time is getting expertise in-house rather than hiring it...EMA, Montgomery Associates etc. How do you evaluate where we're at compared to a year ago? Do you identify that as a budget issue, reducing the EMA, Montgomery expenditures and getting more expertise in-house? For example, are we going to do public participation for the three proposed new wells? Robin said we hope to do that in-house; that is part of that supplementary request for that additional Engineer 3 position is to have additional help to get that plan moving.

Included in this budget is purchase services as we call them under the PSC guideline, \$235,000 for the ELAM (Enterprise Land & Asset Management) system, and included \$50,000 in the Engineering Section for possible hydraulic model updates. There is money for our annual audit from Virchow-Krause. We have \$335,000 set aside for what we call purchase services.

Lauren said we're looking at a potential bond issue of \$11 million. The last time we went out for that kind of bond issue, we got a warning letter from the people that send those kinds of letters, so she wanted to know if we'll get that type of letter again. Robin said he didn't say what kind of bond issue, that we're leaving our options open so if we have other than revenue bonds to pursue, we could do that. He doesn't believe at this point, because one of the things that Moody's said they wanted to see from us before we went back out into the market, is that we have \$2 million in unrestricted funds. From the Fund Balance Report you'll see we have the \$11,000 that Larry helped us procure. We haven't come up any additional unrestricted reserve funds. We're hoping we might be able to sell a couple of pieces of property and put that money aside for unrestricted funds. Things aren't looking good in the real estate market right now. Robin said he'd anticipate that if we did have to go back out and borrow money through revenue bonds, we'd have to fight awfully hard to keep our bond rating, and we fought fairly hard last time to keep what we had. Lauren asked at what point we construct the argument that we are fiscally sound, and these are the steps we're taking. Robin said we'd start in the spring when we have the preliminary audit figures for 2008 and know where we're at with the rate increase, and know what we'll put in 2009 for the rate increase. We might have to wait until we have the 2009 rate increase decision from the PSC before we go to the market, to say we have these rates, they'll be in place and we'll generate these revenues to give them that much more comfort with our ability to repay the dollars we're going to be borrowing. It's an ongoing issue, and we'll be working with our financial advisor. Lauren said on page 15, the high efficiency toilet rebate program, it indicates the Water Utility Board approved the plan on July 22, 2009 - it should be changed to 2008. Robin said he'd get that changed.

Lauren Cnare made a motion to accept all of the reports numbered 2 through 14, with any changes indicated during discussion. Greg Harrington seconded; unanimously passed. Dan noted he was okay with this as long as next year they see the budget before it goes to the Comptroller.

OLD BUSINESS

15. Follow-up on Unit Well 26 incident of June 15, 2008

Joe DeMorett said the well was off-line. Because of the pumping out of service, being off-line, the SCADA system was put into an "out of service" mode. It was done without knowing that alarms would be sent from that site. After we learned that, the site has been left in the "in-service" mode regardless if it's going or not. What we learned in that case was that the site needs to remain in service mode under the system we currently have. Joe said this won't happen again.

NEW BUSINESS

16. <u>11459</u> Authorizing the grant of a license to Denali Spectrum Operations, LLC for the installation of telecommunications equipment on the City's water tower located at 4701 Bunker Hill Lane.

Al Larson said these licenses are standard cell towers on our water facilities. The Bunker Hill Lane one is located just north of East Towne Mall. The second is on Prairie Road, Well 20, on the southwest side of Madison. The third is located at 5815 Milwaukee Street but that is the Sprecher Road tower, located on the city's east side. Al said it is usually a five-year lease and it's renewable for three five-year periods for a total of 20 years. The general fund gets the income from this. High end leases get \$22,000; the industry norm is \$12,000 to \$17,000 per site. Jon asked if neighbors know about this and the representative for the towers said that an alder has to sign off on the applications.

A motion was made by Cnare, seconded by Harrington, to Return to Lead with the Recommendation for Approval to the BOARD OF ESTIMATES. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

17. <u>11464</u> Authorizing the grant of a license to Denali Spectrum Operations, LLC for the installation of telecommunications equipment on the City's water tower located at 2829 Prairie Road.

A motion was made by Cnare, seconded by Harrington, to Return to Lead with the Recommendation for Approval to the BOARD OF ESTIMATES. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

18. <u>11467</u> Authorizing the grant of a license to Denali Spectrum Operations, LLC for the installation of telecommunications equipment on the City's water tower located at 5815 Milwaukee Street.

Lauren Cnare made a motion to approve Items 16, 17 and 18. Greg Harrington seconded; unanimously passed.

A motion was made by Cnare, seconded by Harrington, to Return to Lead with the Recommendation for Approval to the BOARD OF ESTIMATES. The motion

passed by voice vote/other.

Discussion on sending the following resolution to Common Council for Introduction.

19. <u>11701</u> Authorizing the Mayor and the City Clerk to enter into a Memorandum of Agreement with the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin for the purpose of continuing to promote drinking water research that will help to improve water quality within the Madison water system, protect public health, and improve system operations. (City-wide).

Al wanted the Board to discuss this issue before sending the resolution to the Common Council for

introduction. Dan Melton said he goes over the agenda with some of his neighbors to see what they think as

a good sounding board for him. The first thing they said is they thought he'd said the Utility is running in the red and asked if there was money for research. Dan said he answered that he thinks research is an important item in the budget. Al said he thinks it is very important and shouldn't be cut. He said we've learned a lot that has been valuable to having a strong relationship with the University, and it is a good resource to draw on. He'd hate to see it cut as he thinks it would be a big mistake. Jon asked if it is in the budget we just looked at. Robin said it's in the supply. In the PSC format, it's a miscellaneous general expense. Jon said but it's in there. Robin said yes, there is \$38,000 in there. Al said the resolution goes to the Council and they refer it to the respective agencies. Tom said we're looking for a sense if there is a level of comfort in introducing it. Jon thinks it is very important, establishing this relationship with the University, and being open to research. Jon said Mark Borchert came to Larry Nelson and asked for continued support for the virus work and Larry just said no, we're not going to do it, so Jon likes the idea that he can weigh in on this. Jon thinks this is an important expenditure that we've gotten huge value for, and not only us but the entire community.

Dan Melton said he thinks the board should question if we should put out an RFP and identify the areas that we've identified as our priority subject areas for research and see what we get. Jon said you won't find another university who can do this. Greg said what he equates this to is as what many utilities with engineering firms which is to hire them for a three-year general engineering services type agreement for things that come up. Greg says you would not find enough universities who could do it in Wisconsin. Jon said this is a very good bargain, saying if you had a relationship with an engineering firm, it would be a minimum of \$200 an hour. He said Ryan put 1,000 hours on the books and his guess he put more like 1,500 or 2,000 hours into this, so 1,000 hours at \$200 per hour, that is \$200,000 and we got it for \$38,000. Greg said if there is a specific research proposal out there and we don't think it is something the university should be involved in, we wouldn't do it. Tom said this is a separate program to the overall research needs of the community.

CORRESPONDENCE AND SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

NEXT MEETING DATE

September 23, 2008

ADJOURNMENT

Lauren Cnare made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Dan Melton seconded; unanimously passed. Meeting adjourned at 6:38 p.m.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

* Review of Accomplishments of the Major Focus Areas identified at the Special Meeting of March 8, 2007. http://www.cityofmadison.com/water/aboutCommission.cfm

* Discussion of Alternatives for Rehabilitation or Relocation of Paterson Street Operations Facility.

- * Discussion of Financial Audit.
- * Public Participation Process: Update on Projects.