

# August 20<sup>th</sup> Flood Event

## City Spending Summary

The City incurred \$1.1m in operating expenses as part of the response effort to the flooding event that began on August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2018. The summary below summarizes these expenditures by type of expenditures, where the expenditures occurred, and how these expenditures were funded in 2018. The City continues to work with FEMA to seek reimbursement for these costs.

### ***How the Money was Spent***

- Personnel Costs: \$899k
  - 82% of spending on the flood response was for personnel costs (i.e. permanent wages, overtime, benefits, etc)
  - \$218k was for overtime costs associated with the response; while \$462k was for permanent wages
  - In total, 806 City employees charged 32k hours to the flood response project
- Non-Personnel Costs: \$195k
  - 18% of spending for the flood response was on supply & purchased service expenditures
  - The bulk of this spending (\$150k) was for various work supplies associated with the response efforts
  - Other significant expenditures include:
    - \$10k for equipment supplies
    - \$12k to lease equipment (temporary signs and message boards)

### ***Where the Money was Spent***

- Public Works & Transportation: \$950k
  - 87% of total spending on the flood response was for Public Works & Transportation related activities. These efforts included debris removal, protective measures, and infrastructure related activities.
  - \$480k (or 50%) was for Stormwater related activities; these costs were funded through Stormwater revenues
  - Other significant expenditures include:
    - Streets Division: \$186k (or 19%)
    - Parks Division: \$152k (or 16%)
- Public Safety: \$105k
  - 9% of total spending for the flood response was for Public Safety activities. Most of these expenditures came during the Aug 20<sup>th</sup> flooding event and in the immediate days following as part of the EOC operations.
  - Fire & Police collectively spent \$69k on flood response activities. These expenditures were primarily staffing costs.
  - Public Health spent \$35k for staffing related costs associated with lab work and other protective measures as part of the City's response.
- General Government: \$30k
  - 2% of total spending was for General Government activities associated with managing the response. This included IT efforts in coordinating communication with residents, Finance efforts associated with cost tracking along with

purchasing and payroll functions during the response, and the Attorney's Office efforts.

- Other: \$11k
  - 1% of total spending was for Other expenditures associated with the response. These expenditures were primarily at Monona Terrace (\$8k), the Library (\$2k), and at CDA Housing properties (\$1k). All of these efforts were associated with mitigating the impact of the flooding at City owned public spaces.

***How the Response was Funded***

- The \$1.1m response was primarily funded through the Stormwater Utility (\$483k, or 44%) and the City's General Fund (\$496k, or 45%). The remainder of the response (\$115k, or 11%) was funded through a combination of City funds including the Transit Utility, Public Health, and Water Utility.
- The General Fund was able to absorb these costs within agency budgets.
- The Stormwater Utility funded these costs through the use of reserves.
- FEMA is currently reviewing the City's request for reimbursement.