Madison Arts Commission Grants Sub-Committee Suggested changes to grant scoring system

DRAFT 6.11.13

Points	ARTISTIC MERIT – potential of the project to advance the quality of
	arts in the community
Points	Artistic quality as demonstrated by the submitted work samples
Points	Project advances the artistic capacity of the applicant
Points	Project demonstrates artistic risk-taking
Points	FEASIBILITY – evidence of careful event and financial planning
Points	Budget is accurate, meets all stated requirements, and is based on reasonable expectations of costs/income
Points	Budget includes committed matching funds from a variety of sources
Points	Applicant demonstrates the organizational capacity to manage the project and accomplish the project goals
Points	IMPORTANCE – value to target audience, the City and its residents
Points:	Value to audience/public is demonstrated through a combination of 1.
	Letters of support, 2. Other evidence that the project fills an identified
	community need, 3. Evidence that the project provides access to an
	underutilized area of the arts
Points	Demonstrated potential of the project to advance the availability of arts in
	the community
Points	ACCESS – potential of the project to reach underserved audiences
Points	Applicant provides evidence of partnerships/collaboration to ensure that the
	project is accessible and that audience includes underserved audiences.
Points	Project includes a clearly defined element that is free to the public
Points	REACH – applicant demonstrates the ability to reach its audience and
	measure outcomes
Points	Evidence of careful planning: proposed partners/audiences are on board and committed
Points	Project has a communications plan to maximize audience and reach of the project
Points	Project has clearly defined outcomes and provides a means to measure and evaluate those outcomes