
REPORT OF: President's Work Group on Police and Community Relations

TITLE: Recommendations on Police Policies and Procedures

DATE: May 4, 2017

Introduction

The Common Council Organizational Committee¹ Subcommittee on Police and Community Relations (the Subcommittee) held its first meeting on September 14, 2016 and confirmed the following objectives:

- a) Provide a forum for residents and members of the Common Council to discuss police and community goals, priorities and interactions. Build a deeper understanding of policing for elected officials and members of the public; and,
- b) Explore models and options from other communities related to policing and other police policies; and,
- c) Provide a forum for information sharing regarding police training, policies, data and trends including detailed presentations from the Madison Police Department (MPD) related to policing; and,
- d) Make recommendations to the Common Council on short-term policy, procedure and training while waiting for the results of the Ad Hoc Review of Police Policies and Procedures.

Common Council President Marsha Rummel chairs the Subcommittee and Ald. Shiva Bidar-Sielaff serves as vice chair. Ald. Rebecca Kemble, Ald. Sheri Carter and Ald. Denise DeMarb are members of the Subcommittee. The April election required the Subcommittee to conclude its efforts, despite the fact that the report to Common Council had not been finalized. On April 18, 2017 the Subcommittee was reconstituted as the President's Work Group on Police and Community Relations with the same membership and charge. The remainder of this memo utilizes the name of President's Work Group rather than Subcommittee.

¹ In April of 2017, the name of the Common Council Organizational Committee was changed to the Common Council Executive Committee.

Overview of Activities

The President's Work Group has received several presentations from experts on policing, including the following:

Internal Investigations and Discipline

On Monday, October 17, 2016, Capt. James Wheeler and Sgt. Erik Fuhrman presented information on the Madison Police Department (MPD) investigation and discipline process. The officers detailed the process MPD uses to conduct investigations of police misconduct. The vast majority of investigations are handled internally under the leadership of Professional Standards/Internal Affairs (PS/IA). PS/IA is staffed with two officers who rotate into that position for a period of two years.

On occasion, the Chief may conduct special investigations utilizing other departments, such as the Dane County Sheriff. Under Wis. Stats. § 175.47(3) investigations of officer involved deaths must be conducted by at least one investigator that is not employed by MPD. To date all officer-involved fatalities have been investigated by the Wisconsin Department of Criminal Investigation. Wis. Stats. § 175.47(3)(c) permits MPD to conduct an internal review of the incident to determine whether there were any policy violations and whether any discipline should occur. MPD compiles summary information regarding sustained complaints that resulted in discipline in a quarterly report to the Police and Fire Commission. The reports include a final disposition of complaints. However, other information, such as the number of complaints deemed 'non-sustained,' is not readily available to the public.

Legal Authority of the Common Council Related to the MPD

On Wednesday, November 9, 2016, City Attorney Michael May and Assistant City Attorney Marci Paulsen presented information regarding the division of legal authority between the Police Chief, the Mayor and the Common Council in the operation of the police department. Analysts have identified this issue as an area of overlapping authority, which is not resolved by case law or statutes.²

Wisconsin State Statute §62.09(13)(a) states that the chief of police "has the command of the police force" and that command is "under the direction of the mayor." Wis. Stat §62.09(13)(a) also affirms that the police chief must follow the lawful orders of the Mayor or the Common Council.

- (a) The chief of police shall have command of the police force of the city, or the chief of a combined protective services department created under s. 62.13 (2e)
 - (a) 1. shall have command of the combined protective services force, under the direction of the mayor. The chief shall obey all lawful written orders of the mayor or common council.³

These various authorities are further informed by Wis. Stat §62.11(5) which details the power of the common council to control the affairs of the city and to act for the health, safety and welfare of the public.

² Flynn, Matthew J., Police Accountability in Wisconsin. Wisconsin Law Review. Vol. 1974: p. 1131-1166.

Moore, David. Authority of Common Council to Make Changes to the City Police Department's Use-of-Force Policy. Memorandum to Representative Chris Taylor from the Wisconsin Legislative Council. October 26, 2016.

³ Emphasis added.

Except as elsewhere in the statutes specifically provided, the council shall have the management and control of the city property, finances, highways, navigable waters, and the public service, and shall have power to act for the government and good order of the city, for its commercial benefit, and for the health, safety, and welfare of the public,⁴ and may carry out its powers by license, regulation, suppression, borrowing of money, tax levy, appropriation, fine, imprisonment, confiscation, and other necessary or convenient means.

Addressing these overlapping authorities with a specific example, the City Attorney referenced a report concerning the legal authority of the Common Council to prevent MPD from utilizing tasers. The report to the Mayor and the Common Council dated April 7, 2005 explains that “[t]here is nothing in the law that prohibits the Mayor and Common Council, by means of an adopted resolution, from requesting that the Police Department cease engaging in a particular practice. The Police Chief is then free to consider such request and exercise his discretion to accept or reject it based upon his assessment of its wisdom, usefulness, practicality, hazard and other such relevant criteria.” The report goes on to explain that these are not simple questions.

The report concluded with the statement that Common Council likely has the authority to adopt a resolution prohibiting the use of tasers, nevertheless there are legal reservations. “Having the Council interject itself into areas which call for technical law enforcement expertise ... may not ultimately be the most prudent and safest course of action for officers and citizens alike. However, the Council’s authority is not limited merely to those actions which outsiders might believe are wise or correct—otherwise its jurisdiction would be unnaturally narrowed indeed.”

Implementation of the United Way/MPD Task Force Report on Use of Force

On November 21, 2016, Capt. Kristen Roman presented information about the 2016 *Special Community/Police Task Force Recommendations Regarding Police ‘Use of Force.’* The United Way of Dane County, the Dane County Chiefs of Police Association and the Dane County Branch of the NAACP issued the report. The City of Madison and MPD contributed to the development of the report and recommendations.

Since the publication of the Report in February of 2016, MPD has implemented some of the recommendations including creating a new Use of Force Coordinator position to track all use of force incidents and provide regular reporting to the Chief on these incidents. Sgt. Kimba Tieu is the new Coordinator and he presented to the President’s Work Group at a later date. Additionally, MPD acquired new software, IA Pro, which provides data management for internal investigations. The Department also developed a new foot pursuit policy and a new Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) on de-escalation.

Use of Force Policies from Other Communities

On December 13, 2016, State Representative Chris Taylor presented her research regarding best practices from other communities and her planned legislative proposals to change use of force policies across WI. She highlighted several principles found in policies and procedures from other communities that she deemed important for Wisconsin communities. Representative Taylor highlighted the following principles:

⁴ Emphasis added.

- *A duty to preserve life* is included as part of NYPD policy. The MPD policy recognizes the “value of life” but does not affirm a duty to preserve life.
- *Deadly force as a last resort* is part of the Department of Justice guidelines. The U.S. DOJ guidelines say that deadly force is reasonable when all other means have failed or would be likely to fail. Madison’s deadly force policy says that such force is authorized when “an officer reasonably believes a lesser degree of force would be insufficient.”
- *The principle of proportionality* is the requirement to only respond at the level of threat. This principle is not included in MPD’s use of force policies.
- *Tailored guidelines for managing resistant subjects who may be mentally ill or intoxicated.* NYPD has an extensive policy related to “emotionally disturbed persons” or EDPs. The NYPD policy provides guidelines for officers to assess, de-escalate, create safety zones, and “if the emotionally disturbed person is armed or violent, no attempt will be made to take the EDP into custody without the specific direction of a supervisor unless there is an immediate threat of physical harm to the EDP or others present.”⁵

A Proposal for Community Control of the Police

On Monday, January 18, 2016, representatives of Freedom Inc., provided a presentation of their proposal regarding community control of the police. The proposal would restructure policing districts to reflect “existing social cohesion of neighborhoods and communities therein.” The residents of those districts would then vote on whether they would like to retain the existing police department or replace the department with a force controlled by district residents. New forces would be run by a Community Police Control Board with the power to establish policies and priorities. Members of the Control Board would be chosen randomly from the districts rather than elected or appointed. Freedom Inc. stated that this proposal is legally plausible under existing state statute § 62.13(2e) which “allows cities to forgo the traditional police department and accompanying board in favor of a Combined Protective Services department.”⁶

Surveillance Technologies and Policies

Also on January 18, 2016, representatives from the ACLU provided a presentation on surveillance technologies and related policies. The ACLU shared information about new technologies related to video and audio surveillance, as well as GPS and drones now in use by some police departments. The ACLU provided a proposal for the City of Madison to consider clarifying rules related to the acquisition, purchase, and use of technology, as well as the management of surveillance technology and data.

Dane County’s Efforts to Reduce Disparities in Arrests

On Thursday, February 16, 2017, Colleen Clark-Bernhard, Equity and Criminal Justice Council Coordinator, presented information on the initiatives from the Dane County Criminal Justice Council (CJC) to expand collaboration, data driven justice, and innovation. The CJC has focused on improving data management and capabilities as the foundation of their work and in 2016 hired a research analyst in the County Board Office to add analytical capacity to address issues of equity and transparency. Also in 2016, the CJC announced their partnership with the White House Data Driven Justice Initiative to use data to divert people with mental illness away from the criminal

⁵ NYPD Patrol Guide Tactical Operations Procedure No: 221-12 Mentally Ill or Emotionally Disturbed Persons. Issued 06/01/2016.

⁶ Freedom Inc. Community Control Over the Police Brochure.

<https://madison.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4970445&GUID=892D6EDB-7B83-4727-90AF-D35A1B70B570>

justice system and into community-based treatment. Additionally, Dane County is expanding the Community Restorative Court to all of Dane County. This is an existing area of collaboration with Madison and Dane County. As the CRC expands to serve more local residents Madison and Dane County will have the opportunity to strengthen their collaboration.

Weapons and Use of Force and Use of Deadly Force Policies at MPD

On March 2, 2016 at a special meeting of the Common Council (not a meeting of the President's Work Group), Sgt. Kimba Tieu presented a demonstration of the tools in an officers' belt including a taser, baton, hobble restraints, pepper spray, shotguns with non-lethal rounds and handguns. Sgt. Kimba Tieu also answered questions regarding MPD's Use of Force policies and procedures. Sgt. Tieu explained that MPD believes that policing is done in partnership with the community. The use of force data is now available on the MPD website and Sgt. Tieu is responsible for the data as the Use of Force Coordinator. He is watching for trends in force tactics and analyzes whether officers are getting hurt using a particular type of force as well as the relative effectiveness of the tactics. When asked about specific scenarios and use of force Sgt. Kimba reiterated that officers are authorized to use force if they are acting "reasonably" given the totality of circumstances.

IA Pro Software (internal investigations software)

On Monday, March 20, 2017, Lt. Amy Chamberlin and Assistant Chief Vic Wahl presented detailed information on the implementation of IA Pro Software and the plan to implement an Early Warning System utilizing the IA Pro Software to support internal investigations and personnel management. The program has been in place for one year and all complaints and all use of force data have been entered into the system since 1/1/2016. The use of force data is reviewed daily and the Chief is briefed every Monday about the data. Other data entered into the system includes information related to pursuits and squad crashes, as well as audit results related to squad cars, email and messages. IA Pro allows PS/IA the ability to monitor officers who are on probation or "work rules". IA Pro has a great deal more capability than is currently in use. PS/IA is looking at how best to utilize IA Pro to implement an Early Warning System.

Neighborhood Associations Weigh In

The President's Work Group has also reached out to neighborhood associations directly with a short survey. The goal of the survey was to better understand the types of cooperative activities neighborhood associations have with MPD and to learn more about existing neighborhood watch programs, as well as perceptions of public safety. Over 26 neighborhood associations responded. The most frequent public safety concerns cited were pedestrian safety and traffic/speeding issues, as well as petty theft from autos/garages at night. Other public safety concerns cited by more than one neighborhood included gun violence, vagrancy, home burglaries, vandalism, and drug violence/activity. Many neighborhood associations noted that they have frequent positive interactions with MPD, though few have certified neighborhood watch programs.

Actions To Be Taken

The President's Work Group reviewed a wide range of subjects relating to community and police relations throughout the course of their work. The President's Work Group noted that some of the issues are most appropriate for action by the MPD Policy and Procedure Review Ad Hoc Committee (Ad Hoc Committee) while other issues could be addressed directly to MPD or the Common Council.

Safeguarding Emotionally Disturbed People

The majority of officer-involved shootings in the last three years in the City of Madison have involved a person with a mental health issue or an intoxicated person. The President's Work Group would appreciate further clarification of policies relating to people exhibiting signs of mental illness or intoxication who are resistant to medical assistance or arrest. The New York Police Department (NYPD) defines an Emotionally Disturbed Person (EDP) as "a person who appears to be mentally ill or temporarily deranged and is conducting himself in a manner which a police officer reasonably believes is likely to result in serious injury to himself or others."⁷

The MPD SOP on Mental Health Incidents/Crises⁸ provides some degree of guidance related to this issue. The SOP describes the value in de-escalating crisis situations, the role of Mental Health Officers and the process to assess a person in crisis. However, the SOP does not detail tactics or procedures to de-escalate the situation or establish safety for all people in the area. A specific protocol is needed to clarify how an officer should interact with EDPs.

Action Item 1: The Common Council hereby issues a lawful order to the Chief of Police to issue a SOP that explicitly details the goals, tactics, policies, and procedures to deal with an EDP (including those who are intoxicated). In order to do so MPD should refer to the International Association of Chief of Police's model policy Responding to Persons Affected by Mental Illness or in Crisis (see Appendix) and the NYPD Patrol Guide related to Mentally Ill or Emotionally Disturbed Persons (see Appendix).

The President's Work Group requests that MPD consider incorporating Fyfe's principles for interacting with EDPs. Those principles include 1) keeping a safe distance, 2) avoiding unnecessary and provocative displays of force, 3) working with backup, 4) one officer should interact with the subject, others should remain quiet, 5) the officer interacting with the subject is in charge, no one else should take unplanned action, 6) make it clear officers are there to help not threaten, and finally, 7) officers should take as much time as necessary for an arrest, even hours or days if that is what is required.⁹

Action Item 2: The Common Council directs the Ad Hoc Committee to investigate other possible supports for MPD officers interacting with EDPs. EDPs include individuals whose behavior is altered as a result of intoxication caused by drugs or alcohol. The President's Work Group would encourage further exploration into the types of training and ongoing training strategies that will

⁷ NYPD Patrol Guide Mentally Ill or Emotionally Disturbed Persons. Tactical Operations Procedure No: 221-13. 06/01/2016.

⁸ Effective Date 12/22/2016.

⁹ Fyfe, James J. PhD. Policing the Emotionally Disturbed. Journal of American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law. 28:345-7, 2000.

improve interactions with EDPs. In particular, the President's Work Group would recommend a detailed analysis of ProTraining¹⁰ which is an evidence based practice proven to reduce overall use of physical force and the use of weapon force in police calls.¹¹ The President's Work Group would recommend the Ad Hoc Committee undertake an evaluation of the feasibility of hiring social workers to work with officers to support interactions with EDPs.

Use of Force Policies

The President's Work Group found that the principles of de-escalation and the duty to intercede are included in certain MPD policies but are not incorporated into the MPD Use of Force and Use of Deadly Force SOPs. Incorporation of these principles into the Use of Force SOPs would clarify the duties of officers to put these principles into action especially in scenarios that may require force.

De-escalation tactics and techniques are actions used by officers which seek to minimize the likelihood of the need to use force during an incident. Officers shall attempt to slow down or stabilize the situation so that more time, options and resources are available for incident resolution. The duty to intercede is the principle that officers have a duty to stop other officers who are using excessive force and report them to a supervisor.

Additionally, the President's Work Group found that the MPD Use of Deadly Force SOP recognizes "the dignity of all people and the value of human life" which are important principles. However, other cities' policies utilize stronger language that clarifies an officer's "duty to preserve life." For example NYPD's policy includes the language, "The primary duty of all NYPD officers is to protect human life, including the lives of individuals being placed in police custody."¹²

The President's Work Group appreciated learning about other precautionary use of force principles found in some cities' policies. Those principles presented to the President's Work Group by Representative Chris Taylor included the previously addressed duty to preserve life, duty to intercede and the duty to de-escalate. Additionally, the President's Work Group would like to reiterate the importance of other precautionary principles including:

- Necessity: Deadly force should only be used as a last resort. The necessity to use deadly force arises when all other available means of preventing immediate and grave danger to officers or other persons have failed or would be likely to fail.
- Proportionality: When force is needed, the force used shall be in proportion to the threat posed.
- Reassessment: Officers shall reassess the situation after each discharge of their firearm.

¹⁰ Coleman, T. G. and D. Cotton (2014). "TEMPO: Police Interactions. A Report towards improving interaction between police and people living with mental health problems." Mental Health Commission of Canada.

¹¹ Frierson, R. L. (2013). "Commentary: Police Officers and Persons with Mental Illness." Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law Online 41(3): 356-358.

¹² Adapted from NYPD Patrol Guide Tactical Operations Force Guidelines Procedure No. 221-01.

- Totality of officer conduct: The reasonableness of an officer's use of force includes consideration of the officer's tactical conduct and decisions leading up to the use of force. Police officers shall ensure their actions do not precipitate the use of deadly force by placing themselves or others in jeopardy by taking unnecessary, overly aggressive, or improper actions. It is often a tactically superior police procedure to withdraw, take cover or reposition, rather than the immediate use of force.
- Immediate threat: Deadly force is only authorized if the threat is immediate. A threshold of "immediate threat" reflects language in United States Supreme Court decisions. The latest model use of force policy published by the International Association of Chiefs of Police eliminates the term "imminent".

Action Item 3. The Common Council hereby issues a lawful order to the Chief of Police to issue updated MPD Use of Force and the Use of Deadly Force SOPs that explicitly incorporate the duty to intercede and de-escalate which are already included in MPD's Code of Conduct and Core Values and the de-escalation SOP.

Action Item 4. The Common Council hereby issues a lawful order to the Chief of Police to incorporate language to emphasize an officer's duty to preserve life, including the lives of those being placed into police custody into the MPD Use of Force and the Use of Deadly Force SOPs.

Action Item 5. The Common Council directs the Ad Hoc Committee to evaluate the precautionary principles detailed above and determine whether and how they may be addressed in MPD policies, practices and procedures.

Ensuring Officer Well-Being

Officers are regularly exposed to traumatic events at work. In addition, officers must be ever vigilant for life-threatening situations. These conditions can increase the risk for physical and mental illnesses such as PTSD, depression, alcohol and drug abuse and sleep disruptions. In an effort to support officer's physical and mental well being the MPD and the Center for Healthy Minds at the University of Wisconsin-Madison launched a successful pilot project to offer Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction training.

Action Item 6. The Common Council hereby issues a lawful order to the Chief of Police to develop programming to build officers' mental health and resilience utilizing evidence based practices, which may include Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction, and to provide cost estimates and a timeline for this work. The description of programming, timeline and cost-estimates shall be presented at the third quarter (September 2017) report to the Common Council (see Action Item 8).

Waiting for Backup

Officers are at higher risk, and may be more likely to use deadly force because of that risk, when they engage alone in a potentially dangerous situation. Backup is a tactic employed by MPD to increase officer and public safety. Backup is assigned by dispatch to priority calls.

MPD's de-escalation SOP¹³ highlights the importance of backup for safety. The policy states that backup is a strategy to decrease exposure to a potential threat. Also worthy of note, MPD's Use of Non-Deadly Force SOP¹⁴ clarifies the value of backup to allow officers to utilize less lethal weapons. The policy states that if a subject is believed to be armed with a dangerous weapon an officer may not employ an electronic control device, also known as a taser, "unless another officer at the scene has the immediate ability to deliver deadly force. Officers armed with an ECD should continuously monitor and evaluate the ability of other officers present to deliver deadly force."¹⁵ Therefore both MPD's de-escalation and less lethal force procedures demonstrate the value of backup to protect officers and the public.

Previously, MPD officers had the discretion to "call off" backup by telling dispatchers that they could handle the incident on their own. In September 30, 2016 Police Chief Koval issued an email to command staff and sergeants directing them to implement a new policy (effective October 3, 2016) that prevented officers from disregarding backup. In his email Koval noted that officers were calling off backup in an effort to address a large volume of calls quickly. But Chief Koval expressed concern that "'business efficiency' was trumping and potentially compromising officer/public safety."¹⁶

The language that became effective October 3, 2016 reads:

"Officers shall not disregard backup, if so assigned by dispatch. Additionally, officers shall wait for backup before physically approaching any involved subject(s), unless an officer reasonably believes there is a significant risk of bodily injury to any person(s).

Supervisors are expected to routinely monitor calls for service to ensure these guidelines and protocols are being followed. It is realized, however, that it may occasionally be necessary, when circumstances dictate, for a supervisor to direct a course of action outside of these guidelines."

The policy was intended to promote safety of officers and the public by both reducing the vulnerability of officers and reducing the need to utilize force against subjects.¹⁷

Portions of the October 2016 policy have since been rescinded, raising the concern that officers will once again have the discretion to disregard backup. MPD made the most recent change to address concerns from MPD officers regarding an inability to provide service at the scene of an incident once they had assessed it to be safe. The current MPD policy related to back-up states, "*Officers shall not disregard backup, if so assigned by dispatch, prior to arrival at the scene and assessment of the situation.*" This policy allows for officers to assess a scenario and call-off backup.

¹³ Eff. Date 11/16/2016

¹⁴ Eff. Date 05/26/2016

¹⁵ MPD's Use of Non-Deadly Force Standard Operating Procedure. Eff. Date 05/26/2016.

¹⁶ Madison Police Officers No Longer Free to "Call Off" Backup. Lawofficer.com November 13, 2016 <http://lawofficer.com/special-assignment-teams/officer-safety/madison-police-officers-no-longer-free-to-call-off-backup/>

¹⁷ Rivedal, Karen. Internal memos show Madison police officers no longer free to 'call off' backup. Wisconsin State Journal. Nov 13, 2016.

Action Item 7. The Common Council hereby issues a lawful order to the Chief of Police to develop a comprehensive backup policy that addresses the need to protect public safety and officer safety. The backup policy should incorporate the principles of de-escalation and judicious use of force, as described in the relevant SOPs. The backup policy should clearly define procedures to ensure officers request and wait for backup in specific relevant scenarios such as:

- When an officer anticipates a need to use force, but has an opportunity to retreat or is not facing immediate threat;
- When an officer is dealing with an EDP or a resistant intoxicated person;
- When backup is expected to arrive within a certain amount of time;
- When an incident involves violence or violence is anticipated;
- An occurrence involving the use, display or threatened use of a weapon;
- Domestic disputes;
- Areas where communications are known to be deficient; or
- Any occurrence involving a subject posing a threat to self or others.¹⁸

Communication with Common Council

MPD and the Common Council could work together more closely if communication was enhanced. The President's Work Group found great value in the presentations from MPD relating to internal investigations, use of force, data analysis with IA Pro software and implementation of the community task force recommendations on use of force. The Common Council recognizes that MPD is a department that generates a high level of interest for members of the public and hopes that increased reporting will allow for greater understanding and transparency of the work of MPD.

Action Item 8. The Common Council hereby issues a lawful order to the Chief of Police to personally provide quarterly written and verbal updates to Common Council. The updates will be a regular agenda item at the Common Council and will include the following information: 1) any changes to the Code of Conduct or SOPs, 2) any changes in training, 3) any new initiatives, 4) MPD arrest data by reason for arrest and race/ethnicity, and 4) use of force incidents.

Surveillance Policies

Surveillance technologies are rapidly expanding governmental capabilities to gather data on individuals. The City of Madison values the principles of transparency, oversight and accountability and seeks to ensure that residents' civil rights and civil liberties are protected even as the City utilizes surveillance technology to protect public safety. A comprehensive policy governing the purchase and use of surveillance technology is required to ensure these protections.

MPD does have a policy governing use of audio and video surveillance. However, the City of Madison does not yet have citywide surveillance policies. Departments outside of MPD may purchase their own surveillance equipment or utilize equipment borrowed from other departments; this usage is not governed by any existing framework. The proposed policies would address all City employees' and departments' purchase and use of surveillance equipment.

¹⁸ Adapted from the Royal Canadian Mounted Police Operational Manual.

Action Item 9: The Common Council will develop a policy governing the purchase and use of all surveillance equipment employed by all City agencies including MPD. The policy will also address data management and storage as well as clear consequences for policy violations. The policy will include an inventory of all City of Madison surveillance equipment as of 12/2017, and the surveillance equipment inventory will be updated annually thereafter.

Oversight of Internal Investigations

Oversight of internal investigations may take many forms. Two ideas presented here include an audit mechanism of internal investigations and external investigations of complaints.

As noted earlier, investigations into police misconduct are traditionally handled internally, however, all officer-involved deaths must be investigated independently as required by state statute. The majority of other Madison cases are handled internally by the MPD PS/IA.

Cities such as Portland, Los Angeles and Tucson utilize auditors outside of the police departments¹⁹ to provide reviews and reports of the investigation process by their police departments and to provide recommendations on a regular basis. Such a system provides the benefits of external accountability at a minimal cost. The auditor would regularly review the process for submitting complaints, investigating and disposing of complaints. Such an auditor can help provide the public and elected officials with an impartial analysis of the department's handling of complaints.

Alternatively, the City may consider external investigations. Given the public interest surrounding policing and the public's frequent demand for independent investigations into misconduct, a policy which directs an external investigator to investigate certain complaints may enhance community trust. There is also a benefit to innocent officers when they are investigated externally. Officers declared innocent of the complaint charge by an external body are more likely to be considered innocent by the public, rather than those officers declared innocent by their own departments. External investigations may "help reassure a skeptical public that the department already investigates citizen complaints thoroughly and fairly."²⁰ Hiring an investigator to investigate complaints submitted to the Police and Fire Commission (PFC) would also provide an independent report on the facts of a case which may prove beneficial since the PFC does not conduct investigations.

Action Item 10: The Common Council directs the Ad Hoc Committee to provide a review of the feasibility of external oversight of MPD internal investigations.

¹⁹ The Portland Auditor is tasked with reviewing investigations of police conduct as well as managing reviews for other city agencies. The Portland Auditor Mary Caballero is elected to her position and has a background in auditing performance management. <https://www.portlandoregon.gov/auditor/27392>. This is not staffed by former law enforcement.

The Tucson Independent Police Auditor is managed by a long-time city employee who previously investigated equal opportunity claims and has an investigator on staff. This is not staffed by former law enforcement.

<https://www.tucsonaz.gov/manager/independent-police-auditor-civilian-investigator>

The Los Angeles Audit Division was established in 2001 as a result of the Consent Decree and is now staffed by over 30 sworn officers and civilian professionals including CPAs, fraud examiners, and professional auditors.

http://www.lapdonline.org/inside_the_lapd/content_basic_view/8772

²⁰ Peter Finn. Citizen Review of Police: Approaches and Implementation. U.S. Department of Justice. National Institute of Justice March 2001. NCJ 184430.

Early Intervention Warning System

Early Warning Systems, also called Early Intervention Systems, are tools to monitor officers who are frequently the subject of citizen complaints or demonstrate behavioral issues. Early Warning Systems are becoming increasingly popular, as of 1999 the most recent survey on early warning systems, 39% of all police forces serving communities of more than 50,000 have a system in place or are planning to implement one.²¹ MPD has purchased police data tracking system called IA Pro, which includes the capabilities of an Early Intervention Warning System. As the Department prepares to implement the early intervention program within IA Pro, it will be valuable to monitor the implementation and the use of the tool.

Action Item 11: The Common Council directs the Ad Hoc Committee to further explore the IA Pro capabilities for early warning and intervention. In addition, the President's Work Group recommends the Ad Hoc Committee speak with the University of Chicago Data Science for Social Good statisticians to explore collaboration to develop a predictive early warning system.

Thorough and Credible Root Cause Analysis

The National Transportation Safety Board and many hospitals utilize root cause analysis processes to determine the factors that may have contributed to an adverse event such as a plane crash or an outbreak of disease. The purpose of root cause analysis is not to assign blame but to enable complex organizations to identify opportunities for improvement. The President's Work Group encourages the Ad Hoc Committee to consider the value of a root cause analysis process and protocol for MPD to examine critical incidents and broader trends.

Best practices for root cause analysis require that such analysis be both "thorough and credible". The Ad Hoc Committee should ensure it utilizes the specific criteria for "thorough and credible" as they apply to root cause analysis. For example criteria for a thorough root cause analysis would include the following elements 1) an analysis of the underlying symptoms, 2) determination of the factors and systems most directly related to the event under investigation, 3) identification of the risk points and their potential contributions to this type of event.²² A root cause analysis process would require robust data analytics, which may be available through expansion of the IA Pro system or other data systems.

Action Item 12: The Common Council directs the Ad Hoc Committee to provide an implementation plan for a root cause analysis process at MPD.

Review the Ordinance and Revise the Charge of the Public Safety Review Committee

The Public Safety Review Committee (PSRC) is a City of Madison Committee established to provide advice to the Mayor and Common Council related to public safety. The PSRC has the authority to "review and make recommendations concerning departmental budgets; review service priorities and capital budget priorities of the Police and Fire Departments; serve as liaison

²¹ Shultz, Ashley. Early Warning Systems: What's New? What's Working. CNA Analysis & Solutions. December 2015. https://www.cna.org/cna_files/pdf/CRM-2015-U-012182.pdf

²² Joint Commission Resources. Root Cause Analysis in Health Care: Tools and Techniques. 5th Edition. 2015 <http://www.jcrinc.com/assets/1/14/EBRCA15Sample.pdf>

between the community and the city on public safety issues; and review annually and make recommendations to the Common Council regarding the annual work plans and long-range goals of the departments.”²³

The President’s Work Group discussed the important role the PSRC could play in ensuring that a permanent city committee regularly examines public safety issues, as well as police and community relations, and provides advice on these issues to the Mayor and the Common Council.

Action Item 13: The Common Council directs the Common Council Executive Committee to undertake a review of the role, membership and charges under ordinance(s) for the Public Safety Review Committee.

Conclusion

The President’s Work Group achieved the objectives established in September 2016 and has created a series of actions to be taken up by the Common Council, the Chief of Police and the Ad Hoc Committee.

The President’s Work Group intends for those actions addressed to the Chief of Police and the Common Council to be implemented as soon as possible. The President’s Work Group also evaluated several other areas of interest related to the ongoing work of the Ad Hoc Committee and has crafted specific action items for those issues. These issues require a more in-depth analysis and familiarity with police policies and procedures for successful completion.

The President’s Work Group learned a great deal through its work and wishes to express its gratitude to the residents of Madison, the MPD, the Ad Hoc Committee and the Common Council for their participation and support of this effort.

²³ Madison General Ordinance Sec. 33.22

APPENDIX

Madison Police Oversight Committees

Madison Police and Fire Commission	Public Safety Review Committee	MPD Policy and Procedure Review Ad Hoc Committee	Common Council Executive Committee President's Work Group on Police and Community Relations
Permanent, established by WI Statute	Permanent, established by Common Council	Temporary, established by Common Council	Temporary, established by Common Council
Madison General Ordinance Sec. 33.06 and State Statutes 62.13 - Appoints the chief of each department; approves or disapproves promotions and supervision of the hiring process, with certification of an eligibility list and approval of those who are finally hired; holds hearings on disciplinary matters brought to its attention either directly or through appeal and imposes discipline if appropriate.	Madison General Ordinance Sec. 33.22 - The board shall be advisory to the mayor and Common Council to assist them in the performance of their statutory duties. The board may review and make recommendations concerning departmental budgets; review service priorities and capital budget priorities of the Police and Fire Departments; serve as liaison between the community and the city on public safety issues; and review annually and make recommendations to the Common Council regarding the annual work plans and long-range goals of the departments.	The Committee's objective is to complete a thorough review of the MPD's policies, procedures, culture and training using the consultant report, other resources and testimony. Creating resolution RES-15-00477, File ID# 37863; effective 5/21/2015	The President's Work Group's objective is to provide a forum for residents, to share information on Madison policies and procedures, to explore police policies and procedures from other communities, and to make short-term policy recommendations while waiting for the results of the MPD Policy and Procedure Review Ad Hoc Committee. Established 9/14/2016.