

From: [Friends of Sauk Creek](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Legistar File # 87045, Motion to Postpone a Vote on the Sauk Creek Corridor Plan - Environmental Impact Study and Other Reasonable Requests
Date: Sunday, March 9, 2025 12:16:32 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from fosc.msn@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Subject: Motion to Postpone a Vote on the Sauk Creek Corridor Plan - Environmental Impact Study and Other Reasonable Requests

Dear Common Council,

We are writing to express our environmental concerns regarding the **Sauk Creek Corridor Plan (Legistar File # 87045)**, scheduled for review at the **March 11, 2025, Common Council meeting**. While we recognize the City's efforts to manage stormwater and maintain public spaces, the project raises **significant environmental concerns** that require further study. In addition to **undisclosed tree removal and land disturbance** in this **densely wooded area with over 4,800+ trees**, the project area includes **several wetlands** that may be impacted. There are also **key items not disclosed or addressed** in the Sauk Creek Corridor Plan that you should be aware of before casting your vote.

Key Concerns:

Significant Land Disturbance and Tree Removal

- The project will impact **34.9 acres of land**, including the **removal of an undisclosed number of trees** in a **sensitive ecological corridor**.
- Large-scale land disturbances like this—particularly in a riparian greenway—may trigger an **environmental review** to assess risks such as **increased stormwater runoff, soil erosion, habitat loss, and downstream water quality degradation**.

Lack of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

- The **City of Madison** has **only conducted an Ecological and Channel Assessment**, but has **not completed a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS)** to evaluate the project's broader environmental effects.
- Projects requiring **state permits**—such as a **stormwater permit from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR)**—that may require **environmental analysis**. Given the **scale of this project**, we are **recommending that an EIS be required** before any further approvals.

Lack of Transparency on Budget

- The **City has not disclosed an estimated budget** for the project. Without this information, **you and the residents cannot properly assess the plan's fiscal impact**, which will affect all City taxpayers. In the report it is stated on one hand that "with the

City's limited resources, budgeting in advance is important to ensure there is funding for a project" and then it essentially states that as the specific designs occur for each phase a budget will be known.

- Faith Fitzpatrick, Ph.D, a renowned hydrologist with the Upper Midwest Water Science Center, has offered to meet with the City on a **less invasive plan that would save taxpayer dollars** and trees. We **recommend that City staff meet with her** to discuss the recommendations she proposed to the **Board of Public Works**.

Wetlands Protection

- There are **five wetlands in the greenway**; it appears that four of them **may be impacted/disturbed** by the project and **will require a permit from the Wisconsin DNR**.
- Wetlands are **protected due to their role in stormwater filtration, flood control, and wildlife habitat preservation**.

Archaeological Review of Native American Burial Ground Site in Sauk Creek

- The **West Area Plan** noted a **large area in the Sauk Creek Greenway** that **may contain a Native American burial ground site**.
- This **was not addressed or mentioned** in the **Sauk Creek Greenway Plan**.
- **Out of respect for the Ho-Chunk Nation, who historically lived on and stewarded this land, a full archaeological investigation and report should be completed** before proceeding with any approvals.

Request to Postpone a Vote:

Given these serious environmental, fiscal, and cultural concerns, we respectfully request that the **Common Council postpone a vote** on the **Sauk Creek Corridor Plan** until staff presents the below information to you and the public:

1. **A full Environmental Impact Study (EIS) is conducted** and reviewed by the appropriate regulatory agencies.
2. **The City discloses an estimated project budget** and explores **less invasive alternatives** as proposed by **Faith Fitzpatrick**.
3. **A wetland permit review is completed by the Wisconsin DNR**.
4. **A formal archaeological investigation is conducted** to determine the presence of a Native American burial site.

We appreciate your time and consideration reviewing these concerns and urge you to **prioritize environmental responsibility, fiscal transparency, and cultural respect** before proceeding with this project. Thank you for being an environmental steward for our community.

Sincerely,

The Friends of Sauk Creek.

From: [Susan Goetzke](#)
To: [OBrien, Joanna](#); [Mayor](#)
Cc: [Wolfe, James](#); [Schmidt, Janet](#); [Schmidt, Janet](#); [All Alders](#); [Fries, Gregory](#)
Subject: Sauk Creek Restoration
Date: Tuesday, February 11, 2025 3:56:16 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from susangoetzke@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

I am a resident in the Estates at 7526 Red Fox Tr. Please address my questions and concerns at meeting tonight. I do not want an access road, bike path or people beyond my backyard. I understand that the city owns this property, but these actions directly impact my property. I lived in Green Tree prior to this home. All of my neighbors that backed up to the path in that neighborhood would never purchase another home that backs up to a public bike path or park. I would never have purchased my home in the Estates if I knew this was on your agenda. I oppose any access road or bike bike in my yard and the yards of my neighbors.

I, like others in my neighborhood, are raising families and working. To do this without consulting each and every person that backs up to the greenway is disingenuous. I never was given a survey or asked.

- What is the engineering, environmental and neighborhood need to build these roads and 4 concrete bridges that allow crossing the creek?
- Do all Madison Greenways and woods have these access roads?
- Who pays for the roads, since they are for future tree removal access, and not Creek Restoration? Engineers say the Stormwater Fee on your tax bill pays for creek construction.
- Has there been a cost-benefit analysis of the need for these roads vs. staying with current policies to access the creek and removed downed trees in neighbors' yards?
- Will city leaders promise that these roads will not become bike paths as proposed by Madison Bikes, a bike advocacy group which has been active supporter of the city's plans?

Best regards,

Susan