

June 10th 2010

To Plan Commission Members:

As you know we contacted your office after reading an article in the Capital Times related to Plan B's conditional use status. We were disappointed that we were not given notice that the Plan Commission would be discussing matters related to Plan B's conditional use. When we contacted your office and talked with Brad Murphy he confirmed the report in the article that questions had been raised regarding the construction of the smoking area at Plan B.

In order to come forward with our initial proposal we went through a collaborative process with the neighborhood association which voted in support of all all aspects of the proposal. We also met with the local advisory committee assigned by the ALRC who helped in the formation of the proposal which we submitted. Before appearing the in front of the plan commission the ALRC approved the same proposal. After the vote of the Plan Commission, members made the point that all other alcohol establishments who have requested outdoor beverage service have been granted that approval.

As you know substantial changes were made to the proposal which we presented to the plan commission. Upon reviewing these changes with our architect and other advisors we determined that other options would better enhance Plan B's presence in the neighborhood. Based upon our review and this advice we did not return the signed documentation in order to move forward with this project.

We hope to come before you with another proposal which will benefit all parties involved. We are currently working on that alternative with our architect and other advisors.

Sincerely,

Rico Sabatini & Corey Gresen
Owners of Plan B

COMMUNICATIONS